

VOLUME 2 OF 4

2015-2025 Long Term Plan and associated policies hearing of the Thames-Coromandel District Council

Date	28 April 2015
Time	09:00am
Venue	Mercury Bay Boating Club 93A Buffalo Beach Road Whitianga

Date	29 April 2015
Time	09:00am
Venue	Council Chamber 515 Mackay Street Thames

30 April 2015 is being held as a reserve date in Thames should it be required.

Members

Mayor	GF Leach JP	
Members	HD Bartley DR Connors PL French MK McLean JP	PA Brljevich LA Fox SA Goudie JT Wells

In Attendance

Community Board Chairpersons	P Kelly JP S Peters J Walker QSM	K Johnston B Renton
---	--	------------------------

David Hammond
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

VOLUME 1 - Pages 1 - 432
VOLUME 2 - Pages 433 - 854
VOLUME 3 - Pages 855 - 1276
VOLUME 4 - Pages 1277 - 1689

Table of Contents - by Submission ID

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_1	Friends of the Booms Res (McIntosh, Gavin)	1
LTP15_2	Johnston, Keith	6
LTP15_5	B&B Association NEW ZEALAND (Officer, Kathryn)	10
LTP15_7	Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility (Anderson, Jean)	14
LTP15_8	Albertson, Rodney and Suzanne	47
LTP15_9	APL Property Rotorua Ltd (Barke, Jill)	48
LTP15_10	Goodman, Mr Murray	49
LTP15_11	Thames Rugby & Sports Club (de Groen, Vaughan)	52
LTP15_12	Ford, Mrs Averil	56
LTP15_13	Couper, Mr Paul	58
LTP15_14	McIntosh, Lloyd and Jean	64
LTP15_15	Hamos, Mr Andrew	65
LTP15_16	Bracey, Terry	68
LTP15_17	Spalding, June	69
LTP15_18	Fraser, Mr Craig	74
LTP15_19	Mallowes, Mr David	76
LTP15_20	Wimsett, Ms Jane	80
LTP15_21	Roach, Mr Jeff	85
LTP15_22	Nicholson, Mrs Marilyn	87
LTP15_23	Russell, Ms Karyn	90
LTP15_24	Killick, John and Shirley	92
LTP15_25	Milmine, Mr Mark	93
LTP15_26	Melbourne, Jude	97
LTP15_27	Hooper, Mrs Sallie	98
LTP15_28	Laird, Maurice	101
LTP15_29	Gilroy, Mr & Mrs Colin & Maureen	102
LTP15_30	Nichols, Mr Dave	107
LTP15_31	Falconer, Ms Hilary	111
LTP15_32	Palmano, Dr Kay	113
LTP15_33	Iles, David	119
LTP15_34	Suckling, Mr Lyndon	124
LTP15_35	Morcom, Mrs Sharyn	128
LTP15_36	Smith, Sean and Christine	131
LTP15_37	Harrington, Jim and Carol	132
LTP15_38	Buchan, John and Wendy	133
LTP15_39	Hide-Bayne, Deborah	134
LTP15_40	Manson, Mrs Marion	136
LTP15_41	Herbert, Mr John	138
LTP15_42	Wright, Ms Jan	143
LTP15_43	Stafford, Mrs Rae	145
LTP15_44	Ganley, Mr Rodd	149
LTP15_45	Gill, Ms Andrea	151
LTP15_46	Charlton, John	157
LTP15_48	Strang, Ms Carmen	161
LTP15_49	Bowers, Mrs Michel	166
LTP15_50	Northey, Richard	168
LTP15_51	Matthews, Mr Michael	174
LTP15_52	Brown, Mr Peter	178

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_53	Parson, Mrs Jane	181
LTP15_54	Delellis, Ms Joan	183
LTP15_55	Kregting, Annemieke	188
LTP15_56	Nielsen, Kate	190
LTP15_57	Clapperton, Belinda May	192
LTP15_58	Sedin, Erika	196
LTP15_59	Bradley, Jessica	200
LTP15_60	Appleton, Mr Tim	202
LTP15_61	Towers, Mr Mark	205
LTP15_62	Dodd, Mr David	211
LTP15_63	Harvey, Paula	216
LTP15_64	Dodd, Mrs Stephanie	220
LTP15_65	Roper, Mrs Eve	224
LTP15_66	Bartlett, Mr Eric	228
LTP15_67	Walford, Mrs Diana	232
LTP15_68	Allen, Ms Kerry	234
LTP15_69	Stewart Ball, Mrs Elizabeth Anne	239
LTP15_70	Ball, Mr. Christopher Raymond	251
LTP15_72	Everton, Mrs Sarah	263
LTP15_73	Pennell, Ms Stella	268
LTP15_74	Creative Mercury Bay (Wright, Jan)	272
LTP15_75	Revell, Mr Peter	275
LTP15_76	Colman, Mr Graeme	279
LTP15_77	Claire, Ms Samantha	285
LTP15_78	MB South Residents & Ratepayers Assn (Nicholls, Bob)	288
LTP15_79	Stansfield, Mr Roy	291
LTP15_80	Allan, Mr David	294
LTP15_81	Creative Waikato (Nathan, Sarah)	299
LTP15_82	EECA (Johnson, Alison)	306
LTP15_83	Robinson, Stewart	317
LTP15_84	Gillett, Ms Alyxandra	322
LTP15_85	Coulam, Mrs Fiona	326
LTP15_86	Bruce, Tomoko	330
LTP15_87	Haycock, Mr John	332
LTP15_88	Lovell, Mrs Beverly	338
LTP15_89	McFarlane, Mrs Janice	343
LTP15_90	Battson, Mrs Carol	349
LTP15_91	Junne, Alison	353
LTP15_92	Beck, Jane	355
LTP15_93	Department of Conservation (White, Gemma)	358
LTP15_94	Coulam, Mr Alan	370
LTP15_95	Watt, Mrs Erin	373
LTP15_96	Sinclair, Robyn	377
LTP15_97	Holland, Mr Michael	379
LTP15_98	Fisk, Mr Scott	382
LTP15_99	Deane, Ms Louise	387
LTP15_100	Watts, Trevor	391
LTP15_101	Rijabova, Svetlana	393
LTP15_102	Fregger, Patricia	395

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_103	Leenman, Mr John	399
LTP15_104	Fregger, Dennis. R	404
LTP15_105	Lamason, Mrs Tracey	408
LTP15_106	Cross, Ronald	411
LTP15_107	Roper, Alan	415
LTP15_108	Browne, Margaret	418
LTP15_109	Matchett, Rosemary Gorgina	422
LTP15_110	Mercury Bay Area School (Wright, John)	426
LTP15_111	Destination Coromandel (Dryden, Hadley)	430
LTP15_112	Robinson, Glenda Lynnette	433
LTP15_113	The Coromandel Heritage Trust	436
LTP15_114	Kaeppli, Ms Jillian	439
LTP15_115	Millen, Luke	443
LTP15_117	Por, Ms Andrea	447
LTP15_118	Maine, Allan Geoffrey	452
LTP15_119	Bookabach Ltd (Miles, Peter)	456
LTP15_120	MJ & SA Edens (Edens, Ross)	461
LTP15_121	TRRA Tairua Business Network (Roest, Anton and Mary)	466
LTP15_122	Muir, Mr William	470
LTP15_123	Goodman, Mrs Sarah	475
LTP15_124	Coulam, Mr Ken	479
LTP15_125	Whangamata Ratepayers Association (Rive, John)	484
LTP15_126	Crocker, Mr David	494
LTP15_128	Austen, Vaughan	500
LTP15_129	SLSNZ (Emmett, Chris)	502
LTP15_130	Heritage Hauraki Coromandel (Dunwoodie, Morrie)	516
LTP15_131	Trade Me Limited (Bridges, Daniel)	519
LTP15_132	Thames Community Board	524
LTP15_133	Dunwoodie, Morrie	530
LTP15_134	Lawrey	533
LTP15_135	McCormick, Ms Lesley	537
LTP15_136	Bingham, Mr Kerry	543
LTP15_137	Bayers, Mr Barrie	547
LTP15_138	Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group (McCormick, Lesley)	551
LTP15_139	Odlum, Mrs Pauline	556
LTP15_140	Jacobs, Susan and Anthony	558
LTP15_141	Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust (Christie, Stuart)	563
LTP15_142	Clemens, Mrs Katie	575
LTP15_143	Dove, Ms Rebecca	578
LTP15_144	Procter, Mr Keith	582
LTP15_145	Wilson, Brett	587
LTP15_146	Burfoot Limited (Duthie, John)	592
LTP15_148	Baker, Ms Diane	594
LTP15_149	O'Keefe, Mr Darryl	597
LTP15_150	Skelding, Mark	599
LTP15_151	NZTA (Stone, Jo)	610
LTP15_152	Turner, B	617
LTP15_153	Baddeley, Christopher	620
LTP15_154	King, Lindsay	624

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_155	Pederson, Kield and Shirley	626
LTP15_156	Wilton, Kim	630
LTP15_157	Warrington, Lionel Martin	632
LTP15_158	Goodwin, Paul	634
LTP15_159	Gordon, Valerie	636
LTP15_160	Wicksteed, Grant	639
LTP15_161	Wicksteed, Carmen	641
LTP15_162	Elliot, Judith	643
LTP15_163	Franks, Laurie	647
LTP15_164	Lockington, Barbara	650
LTP15_165	Chick, Margaret	652
LTP15_166	Brown, Rowena	654
LTP15_167	Newton, Marie	657
LTP15_168	Newton, Michael	661
LTP15_169	Ferla, Pamela	665
LTP15_170	Marr, Sharon	668
LTP15_171	Marr, Albert	672
LTP15_172	Aitkenhead, Glenda	676
LTP15_173	Hunter, Robyn	680
LTP15_175	Galloway, Jenny	684
LTP15_176	Lee, Patty	686
LTP15_177	Gosling, Frank	690
LTP15_178	Gosling, Mrs June	694
LTP15_179	Woods, Beverly	698
LTP15_180	Mary, Thomson	699
LTP15_181	Coromandel Community Library Inc (Carmichael, Carlene)	704
LTP15_182	Wight, Eric and Sue	705
LTP15_183	Hughes, Donald	707
LTP15_185	Collier, Craig	711
LTP15_186	Darragh, Emma	712
LTP15_187	Rolfe, David	716
LTP15_188	Anonymous	720
LTP15_189	Kinzett, Wendy	721
LTP15_190	Payne, Dorothy	725
LTP15_191	Payne, Brian	727
LTP15_192	Nevin, Gary	729
LTP15_193	Lockart, Peter	733
LTP15_194	Verner, Colin	737
LTP15_196	Deavoll, Ginney	739
LTP15_197	Muir, Dhyana	741
LTP15_198	Courtney, Natasha	743
LTP15_199	Ivory, Margaret	745
LTP15_200	Murray, Sandie	747
LTP15_201	Taylor, Gail	750
LTP15_202	Murray, Andy	752
LTP15_203	Ross, Beverley	755
LTP15_204	Foster, Judith	759
LTP15_205	Giampietri, Ms Margherita	763
LTP15_206	Calloway, Mr Ian	765

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_207	Turner, Roger	767
LTP15_208	Helms, Raewyn	771
LTP15_209	Webster, Ian	774
LTP15_210	Hough, Mr David	778
LTP15_211	Maplesden, Beverley and John	779
LTP15_212	Leckie, Lizzy	782
LTP15_213	Warner, Kathey	784
LTP15_214	McGregor, John	788
LTP15_215	Reeves, John	792
LTP15_216	Faire, Simon	796
LTP15_217	Gilliam McGregor, Michael Smither	800
LTP15_218	Thames Youth Forum and Thames Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	805
LTP15_219	North, John	812
LTP15_220	Pond, Mrs Wendy	817
LTP15_221	Coates, Gordon	823
LTP15_222	Maenulein, Patrick	827
LTP15_223	Robinson, Guy	831
LTP15_224	Abbott, Jill	835
LTP15_225	Whangamata Youth Forum and Whangamata Youth Supporters Network (Palmer, Hannah)	839
LTP15_226	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	845
LTP15_227	Abbott, Ron	851
LTP15_228	Oudney, Elizabeth	855
LTP15_229	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	859
LTP15_230	Sander, Evelyn	866
LTP15_231	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	870
LTP15_232	Oudney, John	876
LTP15_233	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	880
LTP15_234	Hawkes, Evelyn	884
LTP15_235	Sander, Amos	888
LTP15_236	Devan Rowe, Alison Smith	892
LTP15_237	Jury, Donna	896
LTP15_238	Annan, Ronald	900
LTP15_239	Rushforth, David	904
LTP15_240	Harris, Gwenyth	908
LTP15_241	Drijfhout, Pierkje	912
LTP15_242	Waterman, Brian	916
LTP15_243	Waterman, Beverley	920
LTP15_245	Fanshawe, John	924
LTP15_246	Fanshawe, Joan	928
LTP15_247	Gilbert, Pat	932
LTP15_248	Hayes, Mr Ron	935
LTP15_249	Wright, Susan	939
LTP15_250	McCabe, Mrs Helen	943

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_251	Sangster, Katherine	947
LTP15_253	Sanford, John	949
LTP15_254	Trust Waikato	952
LTP15_255	Penny, Mr Evan	954
LTP15_256	Morcom, Raymond	963
LTP15_257	Wood, Peter	967
LTP15_258	Airey, Brian	973
LTP15_259	Mercury Bay Community Board (Kelly, Paul)	977
LTP15_260	Minoque, Dal	980
LTP15_261	Alloway, Mark	985
LTP15_262	Apers, Johannes and Anna	989
LTP15_263	Prescott, William	992
LTP15_264	Trebes, Mervyn	996
LTP15_265	Prescott, Heather	998
LTP15_266	Finn, Ray and Sheryl	1002
LTP15_267	Taylor and Nelson, Robyn and Carolle	1006
LTP15_268	Geiger, Florian	1011
LTP15_269	Rennie, John	1015
LTP15_270	Withy, Sue	1020
LTP15_271	Wolf, Jenny	1022
LTP15_272	Kinzett, David Raymond	1025
LTP15_273	Donaldson, Mrs Heather	1029
LTP15_274	Morcom, Diane	1032
LTP15_275	Collings, Edward and Betty	1035
LTP15_276	Walker, Terry	1039
LTP15_277	Dunlop, Jan	1044
LTP15_278	Ridings, Penelope	1045
LTP15_279	Hinds, Arthur	1046
LTP15_280	CCS Disability Action (Loveless, Roger)	1047
LTP15_281	Isdale, Mr John	1091
LTP15_282	Te Kura Kaupapa Maori o Harataunga (Maika, Kepa)	1092
LTP15_283	Smith, Paul and Fiona	1106
LTP15_284	Supported Life Style Hauraki Trust (Lee, Samantha)	1110
LTP15_285	Hallman, Aaron	1111
LTP15_286	Pauanui Information Centre (Experience Pauanui & Pauanui Business Association) (Hughes, Jewel)	1112
LTP15_287	Warneford, Stewart	1113
LTP15_288	Waikato Regional Council (Sayer, Anthea)	1114
LTP15_289	Moehau Environment Group (Collicott, Natalie)	1116
LTP15_290	Tairua Information Centre	1117
LTP15_291	Lomas, Veronica	1119
LTP15_292	Marshall, Albert	1124
LTP15_293	Lomas, John	1128
LTP15_294	Serich, Ivan	1131
LTP15_295	Serich, Anouska	1135
LTP15_296	Brewster, William	1139
LTP15_297	Storer, Jillian	1143
LTP15_298	Paterson, Mr Robert	1147
LTP15_299	Paterson, Daphne	1151

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_300	Newell-Harris, Deidre	1155
LTP15_301	Williams, Carol	1159
LTP15_302	Harris, Steve	1163
LTP15_303	Newell, Renee	1167
LTP15_304	Eder, Max	1170
LTP15_305	Eder, Maxi	1174
LTP15_306	Hardie, Mrs Barbara Jill	1178
LTP15_307	Fabricius, Anthony	1182
LTP15_308	Boyd, Derek and Marlene	1186
LTP15_309	Weeks, Peter	1190
LTP15_310	Horne, Anna	1194
LTP15_311	Johnson, Ms Margaret (Maggie)	1200
LTP15_312	Turbitt, Janine	1205
LTP15_313	Jannis, Alex and Judith	1208
LTP15_314	Benge, Claire	1214
LTP15_316	Stevens, Janine	1219
LTP15_317	Lux, Chris	1223
LTP15_318	Tairua Residents and Ratepayers Ass	1224
LTP15_319	Enterprise Whangamata Inc	1227
LTP15_320	T Roopu Tautoko O Harataunga (Hale, Moana)	1231
LTP15_321	Carter, Roy	1233
LTP15_322	Jardine, John	1235
LTP15_323	Waikato Biodiversity Forum (Cursey, Moira)	1239
LTP15_324	Rennie, Gloria	1241
LTP15_325	Coromandel Kauri Dieback Forum (Smith, Alison)	1246
LTP15_326	St Francis School (Johnson, Shelley)	1249
LTP15_327	Handy, Rosalind	1252
LTP15_328	Hauraki-Coromandel Federated Farmers (Sanford, John)	1255
LTP15_329	Pauanui Ratepayers and Residents Association (Bush, Ken)	1258
LTP15_330	Hikuai District Trust (Fowler, Gary)	1262
LTP15_331	Brown, Deborah	1263
LTP15_332	Speerstra, Susan	1268
LTP15_333	Harvey, Warwick	1272
LTP15_334	Creative New Zealand (Pannett, David)	1274
LTP15_335	Strachan, Ash	1277
LTP15_336	Sport Waikato (Bertram, Vikki)	1278
LTP15_337	McNeil, Peter	1288
LTP15_338	McNeil, Valerie	1292
LTP15_339	Tairua-Pauanui Community Board (Renton, Bob)	1296
LTP15_340	Henry, Alison	1302
LTP15_341	Whitianga Community Services (van der Putten, Peter)	1306
LTP15_342	Leighton, Wallace	1312
LTP15_343	Community Waikato (Waetford, Aroha)	1318
LTP15_344	Wallace, Cath	1322
LTP15_345	Brickell, Alastair	1323
LTP15_346	Population Health, Waikato District Heath Board (Kristensen, Kay)	1326
LTP15_347	Ovesen, Sid and Vicki	1331
LTP15_348	Jones, Elizabeth	1333
LTP15_351	Hot Water Beach Holiday Park Limited (McDean, Christian)	1336

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_352	Kauri Trust 2000 (Henry, Alison)	1339
LTP15_353	Sunkist Stay Bike n Hike (Cassidy, Craig)	1342
LTP15_354	Whangamata Community Board (Johnston, Keith)	1344
LTP15_355	Transition Town Thames (Skelding, Mark)	1350
LTP15_356	Coromandel-Colville Community Board (Harrison, Margaret)	1353
LTP15_357	Kopu Development Group	1355
LTP15_358	Pepper, Colin	1360
LTP15_359	Ling, Maria	1363
LTP15_360	The Pinnacle Backpackers (Drijfhout, P)	1364
LTP15_361	Tairua Elim Church (Drijfhout, PJ)	1368
LTP15_362	The Enviroschools Foundation (Nieschmidt, Anke)	1372
LTP15_363	Waikato East Life Education Trust (Trembath, Keith)	1376
RFIN_1	Brewerton, Mr Michael	1377
RFIN_2	Davies, Mrs Amanda	1381
RFIN_3	Ramdin, Mrs Melissa	1384
RFIN_4	Marks, Mr and Mrs Kip and Liz	1388
RFIN_5	Dunn, Martin	1392
RFIN_6	Spromn Holdings Ltd (Spromn Holdings Ltd)	1397
RFIN_7	Williams, P and C	1398
RFIN_8	Isaac, John	1399
RFIN_9	Bingham, Kerry and Lindy	1400
RFIN_10	Parkinson, Fran and Roland	1402
RFIN_11	Tiplady, Michael and Clayton, Dianne	1403
RFIN_12	McLeod, John and Verona	1404
RFIN_13	Trowern, Greg	1405
RFIN_14	Arron, Ed	1406
RFIN_15	Sutton, Ms Angela	1407
RFIN_16	Fiona Kelly, Bill Short	1410
RFIN_17	Reid, Robin	1414
RFIN_21	Roke, Lindsey and Marion	1415
RFIN_22	Sharkey, Nicky	1416
RFIN_23	Couldwell, Clive	1417
RFIN_24	Haworth, Andrew	1420
RFIN_25	Clark, Mrs Helen	1422
RFIN_26	Cudmore, Jerome	1425
RFIN_27	Masset, Sherree and Christian	1426
RFIN_28	Ferguson, Cathy and Reid, Paul	1427
RFIN_29	Savelkouls, Mark	1429
RFIN_30	McDowall, Carole	1430
RFIN_31	Miller, Vicki	1435
RFIN_32	Webster, Deborah	1436
RFIN_33	Enger, Mr Stephen	1439
RFIN_34	Milbank, Warwick and Helen	1440
RFIN_35	Parsons, Tony and Mary	1441
RFIN_36	Richards, Steve	1443
RFIN_37	Howe, Norma	1444
RFIN_38	Stainton, Ginny	1445
RFIN_39	Stevenson, Janet	1446
RFIN_40	Bymolt, Ann	1447

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_41	Rolle, Mr Phil	1448
RFIN_42	Clement, Mr Alastair	1451
RFIN_43	Munch, Robin	1454
RFIN_44	McDonald, Mr Iain	1457
RFIN_45	Hopper Developments Ltd (Young, Evans)	1460
RFIN_46	Walker, Mrs Michele	1463
RFIN_47	Wisneski, Ms Bobbie	1467
RFIN_48	Fryer, Mr John	1471
RFIN_49	Voulk, Mr Robert	1476
RFIN_50	Seay, Mrs Judy	1478
RFIN_51	ONeill, Mrs Cynthia	1480
RFIN_52	Parker, Mr Scott	1482
RFIN_53	Hoyland, Mrs Paulette	1485
RFIN_55	Bell, Miss Tracey	1487
RFIN_56	Hills, Mrs Judith	1491
RFIN_57	Ollington, Joycelyn	1493
RFIN_58	Suisted, John	1495
RFIN_59	Onemana, R	1497
RFIN_60	Jennings, Kadira	1499
RFIN_61	Innes, David	1500
RFIN_62	Grieve, Phil	1501
RFIN_63	Hough, Mr David	1505
RFIN_64	Goldstine, Mark	1506
RFIN_65	Mealing, Sue and Brent	1507
RFIN_66	Hammond, Nina	1508
RFIN_67	Snowball, Max	1509
RFIN_68	Biland, PJ and MA	1513
RFIN_69	Wathen Family Trust (Wathen, Simon)	1515
RFIN_70	Bachcare Ltd (Preston, Leslie)	1516
RFIN_71	Bedford, Mark	1516
RFIN_72	Kelly, Brett	1516
RFIN_73	Gonthier, Patrick	1516
RFIN_74	Evans, Elizabeth and John	1516
RFIN_75	Thomas, Christine and Hussey, Shane	1516
RFIN_76	Gray, Brendon	1516
RFIN_77	Broughton, Pam and Gary	1516
RFIN_78	Beaman, Jarrod and Sarah	1516
RFIN_79	Waterhouse, Sue and Mike	1516
RFIN_80	Degedin, Paul and Glenda	1516
RFIN_81	Hart, Alan and Yvonne	1516
RFIN_82	Miller, Jane	1516
RFIN_83	Howe, Stephen and Lyndley	1516
RFIN_84	Scott, Mark and Jocelyn	1516
RFIN_85	Kirk, Rosemary	1516
RFIN_86	O'Loughlin, Chris and Vince	1516
RFIN_87	Russell, Liz and John	1516
RFIN_88	Macklow, Genaya and Jason	1516
RFIN_90	Holyoake, Laurie and Shirlene	1516
RFIN_91	Moore, Kirsten and Peter	1516

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_92	Mutch, James	1516
RFIN_93	Arthur, Brett	1516
RFIN_94	Payne, Everit and Sheryl	1516
RFIN_95	Opie, Stephen and Christina	1516
RFIN_96	Kelly, Jodie	1516
RFIN_97	Spence, Scott	1516
RFIN_98	Jeans, Kathy	1516
RFIN_99	Tuheke, Wilhelmina and George	1516
RFIN_100	Lawrence, Brian	1516
RFIN_101	Savage, Helen and John	1516
RFIN_102	Mahar, Penelope	1516
RFIN_103	Elley, Dawn	1516
RFIN_104	Lloyd, Jacque	1516
RFIN_105	Hood, Kirsty and Steve	1516
RFIN_106	Backler, Kim	1516
RFIN_107	Burr, Carl	1516
RFIN_108	Bright, Mary	1516
RFIN_109	Lea, Sandra	1516
RFIN_110	Myers, Christine	1516
RFIN_111	Cammell, Matt	1516
RFIN_112	Warrick, Chris and David	1516
RFIN_113	Williams, Mrs Colleen	1516
RFIN_114	Glazebrook, Katrina	1516
RFIN_115	Davidson, Carol and Donald	1516
RFIN_116	Childs, Brian	1516
RFIN_117	Percy, Glenis	1516
RFIN_118	Poor, Mr Jonathan	1516
RFIN_119	Bagnall, Michael and Marianne	1516
RFIN_120	Torstonson, Lynette	1516
RFIN_121	Ingram, Bryan and Julie	1516
RFIN_122	Hoskins, Richard	1516
RFIN_123	McKay, Andrea and Phil	1516
RFIN_124	Hill, Mr Lindsay	1516
RFIN_125	Wilson, Don	1516
RFIN_126	Austen, Allan and Lee	1516
RFIN_127	Keiser, Mabel	1516
RFIN_128	Taylor, Jan	1516
RFIN_129	Crosson, Ken	1516
RFIN_130	Philips, Sandy	1516
RFIN_131	Loughnan, Richard	1516
RFIN_132	Holland, Mark and Heather	1516
RFIN_133	Lay, Linda	1516
RFIN_134	Lucy, Jim and Jenny	1516
RFIN_135	Rice, Paddy	1516
RFIN_136	Jowsey, Kahn and Janelle	1516
RFIN_137	Brooker, Angela and Robert	1516
RFIN_138	Adams, Peter	1516
RFIN_139	Prendergast, Darryl	1516
RFIN_140	Greenhalgh, Angela	1516

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_141	Nickel, Jennifer	1516
RFIN_142	Skinner, Ewen	1516
RFIN_143	Ripley, Ron	1516
RFIN_144	Gundry, Denise	1516
RFIN_145	Fredrison, Steve and Joanne	1516
RFIN_146	Clark, Grant	1516
RFIN_147	Jamieson, Gary and Maree	1516
RFIN_148	Avison, Peter	1516
RFIN_149	Anderson, Peter	1516
RFIN_150	Taylor, Marian	1516
RFIN_151	Lawson, Craig and Lynn	1516
RFIN_152	Waters, Brian	1516
RFIN_153	McAdam, Peter and Jen	1516
RFIN_154	Bassett, Annette	1516
RFIN_155	Mclaren, Margaret	1516
RFIN_156	Petch, Ivan and Kae	1516
RFIN_157	Jarvis, Cushla and Ray	1516
RFIN_158	Johnson, Helen	1516
RFIN_159	Dovey, Colin and Jeanette	1516
RFIN_160	Brownson, Margaret and Greg	1516
RFIN_161	Price, Grant	1533
RFIN_162	Senior, B A	1537
RFIN_163	Waterhouse, Andrew, Tracie, Ava	1538
RFIN_164	McKenzie, Peter	1539
RFIN_165	Horne, Anna	1540
RFIN_166	Lindsay, Mr David	1543
RFIN_167	Chartre Manor Bed and Breakfast (Trebilcock, Pauline and Dennis)	1545
RFIN_168	Hot Water Beach Ratepayers Association (Knight, Trevor)	1547
RFIN_169	Mercer, Karen	1549
RFIN_170	Freebairn, William and Colleen	1550
RFIN_171	Edwards, Kevin and Jenny	1551
RFIN_172	Corner, Mrs Raewyn	1554
RFIN_173	Beston, Anne	1555
RFIN_174	Osborne, Mark and Shelley	1556
RFIN_175	Scheltus, Mr Herwi	1560
RFIN_176	Stark, Chris	1563
RFIN_177	Young, Carol and Graeme	1564
RFIN_178	Mayhead, Beverley	1566
RFIN_179	Horsley, Glenn	1568
RREM_1	Jones, Elizabeth	1580
RREM_2	Fryer, Mr John	1581
RREM_3	Farrell, Mrs Debbie	1583
RREM_4	Cressey, Ruth	1585
RREM_5	Corner, T	1587
RREM_6	White, ED and ZM	1589
RREM_7	Patten, Delece	1591
RREM_8	Golding, Percy and Eva	1593
RREM_9	Taylor, Rae	1595
RREM_10	Petrie, Mary	1597

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RREM_11	Brouwer, Jannetje	1599
RREM_12	Morgan, Shirley	1601
RREM_13	Boyle, Joy	1603
RREM_14	Low, David	1605
RREM_15	MacKereth, Catherine and Bruce	1607
RREM_16	Barley, J	1609
RREM_17	Clark, M R	1611
RREM_18	McLeod, Alec	1613
RREM_19	McLeod, J	1615
RREM_20	Howe, Mr Bernard	1617
RREM_21	Bremner, Malcolm and Shirley	1619
RREM_22	Nix, A. A.	1621
RREM_23	Burton, Edith F	1623
RREM_24	Kroot, M	1625
RREM_25	Twentyman, Claire	1627
RREM_26	Howard, Peggy Maureen	1629
RREM_27	Sanders, Verna	1631
RREM_28	Brokenshire, Gwenda Rosaily	1633
RREM_29	Goodall, Gael	1635
RREM_30	Moore and Dunn, Bruce and Ruth	1638
RREM_31	Heslop, Melva Lorraine	1640
RREM_32	Davis, David and Rhoda	1642
RREM_33	Gray, Beatriz and Gary	1644
RREM_34	Irwin, Frances and Owen	1646
RREM_35	Sanders, Eric	1648
RREM_36	Baigent, Jack	1650
RREM_37	Robertson, Shirley	1652
RREM_38	Ladd, Ronald, QSM	1654
RREM_39	Edwards, Selwyn	1656
RREM_40	Edmonds, John	1658
RREM_41	Horsley, Glenn	1660
DC_1	Hopper Developments Ltd (Young, Evans)	1672
DC_2	Fryer, Mr John	1675
DC_3	Wharekaho 2013 Limited (McAlley, Ian)	1677
DC_4	Johnson, Ms Margaret (Maggie)	1681
DC_5	Horne, Anna	1687

Table of Contents - Alphabetical by Submitter

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_224	Abbott, Jill	835
LTP15_227	Abbott, Ron	851
RFIN_138	Adams, Peter	1516
LTP15_258	Airey, Brian	973
LTP15_172	Aitkenhead, Glenda	676
LTP15_8	Albertson, Rodney and Suzanne	47
LTP15_80	Allan, Mr David	294
LTP15_68	Allen, Ms Kerry	234
LTP15_261	Alloway, Mark	985
RFIN_149	Anderson, Peter	1516
LTP15_238	Annan, Ronald	900
LTP15_188	Anonymous	720
LTP15_262	Apers, Johannes and Anna	989
LTP15_9	APL Property Rotorua Ltd (Barke, Jill)	48
LTP15_60	Appleton, Mr Tim	202
RFIN_14	Arron, Ed	1406
RFIN_93	Arthur, Brett	1516
RFIN_126	Austen, Allan and Lee	1516
LTP15_128	Austen, Vaughan	500
RFIN_148	Avison, Peter	1516
LTP15_5	B&B Association NEW ZEALAND (Officer, Kathryn)	10
RFIN_70	Bachcare Ltd (Preston, Leslie)	1516
RFIN_106	Backler, Kim	1516
LTP15_153	Baddeley, Christopher	620
RFIN_119	Bagnall, Michael and Marianne	1516
RREM_36	Baigent, Jack	1650
LTP15_148	Baker, Ms Diane	594
LTP15_70	Ball, Mr. Christopher Raymond	251
RREM_16	Barley, J	1609
LTP15_66	Bartlett, Mr Eric	228
RFIN_154	Bassett, Annette	1516
LTP15_90	Battson, Mrs Carol	349
LTP15_137	Bayers, Mr Barrie	547
RFIN_78	Beaman, Jarrod and Sarah	1516
LTP15_92	Beck, Jane	355
RFIN_71	Bedford, Mark	1516
RFIN_55	Bell, Miss Tracey	1487
LTP15_314	Benge, Claire	1214
RFIN_173	Beston, Anne	1555
RFIN_68	Biland, PJ and MA	1513
RFIN_9	Bingham, Kerry and Lindy	1400
LTP15_136	Bingham, Mr Kerry	543
LTP15_119	Bookabach Ltd (Miles, Peter)	456
LTP15_49	Bowers, Mrs Michel	166
LTP15_308	Boyd, Derek and Marlene	1186
RREM_13	Boyle, Joy	1603
LTP15_16	Bracey, Terry	68
LTP15_59	Bradley, Jessica	200

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RREM_21	Bremner, Malcolm and Shirley	1619
RFIN_1	Brewerton, Mr Michael	1377
LTP15_296	Brewster, William	1139
LTP15_345	Brickell, Alastair	1323
RFIN_108	Bright, Mary	1516
RREM_28	Brokenshire, Gwenda Rosaily	1633
RFIN_137	Brooker, Angela and Robert	1516
RFIN_77	Broughton, Pam and Gary	1516
RREM_11	Brouwer, Jannetje	1599
LTP15_331	Brown, Deborah	1263
LTP15_52	Brown, Mr Peter	178
LTP15_166	Brown, Rowena	654
LTP15_108	Browne, Margaret	418
RFIN_160	Brownson, Margaret and Greg	1516
LTP15_86	Bruce, Tomoko	330
LTP15_38	Buchan, John and Wendy	133
LTP15_146	Burfoot Limited (Duthie, John)	592
RFIN_107	Burr, Carl	1516
RREM_23	Burton, Edith F	1623
RFIN_40	Bymolt, Ann	1447
LTP15_206	Calloway, Mr Ian	765
RFIN_111	Cammell, Matt	1516
LTP15_321	Carter, Roy	1233
LTP15_280	CCS Disability Action (Loveless, Roger)	1047
LTP15_46	Charlton, John	157
RFIN_167	Chartre Manor Bed and Breakfast (Trebilcock, Pauline and Dennis)	1545
LTP15_165	Chick, Margaret	652
RFIN_116	Childs, Brian	1516
LTP15_77	Claire, Ms Samantha	285
LTP15_57	Clapperton, Belinda May	192
RFIN_146	Clark, Grant	1516
RREM_17	Clark, M R	1611
RFIN_25	Clark, Mrs Helen	1422
LTP15_142	Clemens, Mrs Katie	575
RFIN_42	Clement, Mr Alastair	1451
LTP15_221	Coates, Gordon	823
LTP15_185	Collier, Craig	711
LTP15_275	Collings, Edward and Betty	1035
LTP15_76	Colman, Mr Graeme	279
LTP15_343	Community Waikato (Waetford, Aroha)	1318
RFIN_172	Corner, Mrs Raewyn	1554
RREM_5	Corner, T	1587
LTP15_181	Coromandel Community Library Inc (Carmichael, Carlene)	704
LTP15_325	Coromandel Kauri Dieback Forum (Smith, Alison)	1246
LTP15_226	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	845
LTP15_229	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	859

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_231	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	870
LTP15_233	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	880
LTP15_356	Coromandel-Colville Community Board (Harrison, Margaret)	1353
LTP15_94	Coulam, Mr Alan	370
LTP15_124	Coulam, Mr Ken	479
LTP15_85	Coulam, Mrs Fiona	326
RFIN_23	Couldwell, Clive	1417
LTP15_13	Couper, Mr Paul	58
LTP15_198	Courtney, Natasha	743
LTP15_74	Creative Mercury Bay (Wright, Jan)	272
LTP15_334	Creative New Zealand (Pannett, David)	1274
LTP15_81	Creative Waikato (Nathan, Sarah)	299
RREM_4	Cressey, Ruth	1585
LTP15_126	Crocker, Mr David	494
LTP15_106	Cross, Ronald	411
RFIN_129	Crosson, Ken	1516
RFIN_26	Cudmore, Jerome	1425
LTP15_186	Darragh, Emma	712
RFIN_115	Davidson, Carol and Donald	1516
RFIN_2	Davies, Mrs Amanda	1381
RREM_32	Davis, David and Rhoda	1642
LTP15_99	Deane, Ms Louise	387
LTP15_196	Deavoll, Ginney	739
RFIN_80	Degedin, Paul and Glenda	1516
LTP15_54	Delellis, Ms Joan	183
LTP15_93	Department of Conservation (White, Gemma)	358
LTP15_111	Destination Coromandel (Dryden, Hadley)	430
LTP15_236	Devan Rowe, Alison Smith	892
LTP15_62	Dodd, Mr David	211
LTP15_64	Dodd, Mrs Stephanie	220
LTP15_273	Donaldson, Mrs Heather	1029
LTP15_143	Dove, Ms Rebecca	578
RFIN_159	Dovey, Colin and Jeanette	1516
LTP15_241	Drijfhout, Pierkje	912
LTP15_277	Dunlop, Jan	1044
RFIN_5	Dunn, Martin	1392
LTP15_133	Dunwoodie, Morrie	530
LTP15_304	Eder, Max	1170
LTP15_305	Eder, Maxi	1174
RREM_40	Edmonds, John	1658
RFIN_171	Edwards, Kevin and Jenny	1551
RREM_39	Edwards, Selwyn	1656
LTP15_82	EECA (Johnson, Alison)	306
RFIN_103	Elley, Dawn	1516
LTP15_162	Elliot, Judith	643
RFIN_33	Enger, Mr Stephen	1439
LTP15_319	Enterprise Whangamata Inc	1227

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_74	Evans, Elizabeth and John	1516
LTP15_72	Everton, Mrs Sarah	263
LTP15_307	Fabricius, Anthony	1182
LTP15_216	Faire, Simon	796
LTP15_31	Falconer, Ms Hilary	111
LTP15_246	Fanshawe, Joan	928
LTP15_245	Fanshawe, John	924
RREM_3	Farrell, Mrs Debbie	1583
RFIN_28	Ferguson, Cathy and Reid, Paul	1427
LTP15_169	Ferla, Pamela	665
LTP15_266	Finn, Ray and Sheryl	1002
RFIN_16	Fiona Kelly, Bill Short	1410
LTP15_98	Fisk, Mr Scott	382
LTP15_12	Ford, Mrs Averil	56
LTP15_204	Foster, Judith	759
LTP15_163	Franks, Laurie	647
LTP15_18	Fraser, Mr Craig	74
RFIN_145	Fredrison, Steve and Joanne	1516
RFIN_170	Freebairn, William and Colleen	1550
LTP15_104	Fregger, Dennis. R	404
LTP15_102	Fregger, Patricia	395
LTP15_1	Friends of the Booms Res (McIntosh, Gavin)	1
RFIN_48	Fryer, Mr John	1471
RREM_2	Fryer, Mr John	1581
DC_2	Fryer, Mr John	1675
LTP15_175	Galloway, Jenny	684
LTP15_44	Ganley, Mr Rodd	149
LTP15_268	Geiger, Florian	1011
LTP15_205	Giampietri, Ms Margherita	763
LTP15_247	Gilbert, Pat	932
LTP15_45	Gill, Ms Andrea	151
LTP15_84	Gillett, Ms Alyxandra	322
LTP15_217	Gilliam McGregor, Michael Smither	800
LTP15_29	Gilroy, Mr & Mrs Colin & Maureen	102
RFIN_114	Glazebrook, Katrina	1516
RREM_8	Golding, Percy and Eva	1593
RFIN_64	Goldstine, Mark	1506
RFIN_73	Gonthier, Patrick	1516
RREM_29	Goodall, Gael	1635
LTP15_10	Goodman, Mr Murray	49
LTP15_123	Goodman, Mrs Sarah	475
LTP15_158	Goodwin, Paul	634
LTP15_159	Gordon, Valerie	636
LTP15_177	Gosling, Frank	690
LTP15_178	Gosling, Mrs June	694
RREM_33	Gray, Beatriz and Gary	1644
RFIN_76	Gray, Brendon	1516
RFIN_140	Greenhalgh, Angela	1516
RFIN_62	Grieve, Phil	1501

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_144	Gundry, Denise	1516
LTP15_285	Hailman, Aaron	1111
RFIN_66	Hammond, Nina	1508
LTP15_327	Handy, Rosalind	1252
LTP15_306	Hardie, Mrs Barbara Jill	1178
LTP15_15	Harmos, Mr Andrew	65
LTP15_37	Harrington, Jim and Carol	132
LTP15_240	Harris, Gwenyth	908
LTP15_302	Harris, Steve	1163
RFIN_81	Hart, Alan and Yvonne	1516
LTP15_63	Harvey, Paula	216
LTP15_333	Harvey, Warwick	1272
LTP15_328	Hauraki-Coromandel Federated Farmers (Sanford, John)	1255
LTP15_234	Hawkes, Evelyn	884
RFIN_24	Haworth, Andrew	1420
LTP15_87	Haycock, Mr John	332
LTP15_248	Hayes, Mr Ron	935
LTP15_208	Helms, Raewyn	771
LTP15_340	Henry, Alison	1302
LTP15_41	Herbert, Mr John	138
LTP15_130	Heritage Hauraki Coromandel (Dunwoodie, Morrie)	516
RREM_31	Heslop, Melva Lorraine	1640
LTP15_39	Hide-Bayne, Deborah	134
LTP15_330	Hikuai District Trust (Fowler, Gary)	1262
RFIN_124	Hill, Mr Lindsay	1516
RFIN_56	Hills, Mrs Judith	1491
LTP15_279	Hinds, Arthur	1046
RFIN_132	Holland, Mark and Heather	1516
LTP15_97	Holland, Mr Michael	379
RFIN_90	Holyoake, Laurie and Shirlene	1516
RFIN_105	Hood, Kirsty and Steve	1516
LTP15_27	Hooper, Mrs Sallie	98
RFIN_45	Hopper Developments Ltd (Young, Evans)	1460
DC_1	Hopper Developments Ltd (Young, Evans)	1672
LTP15_310	Horne, Anna	1194
RFIN_165	Horne, Anna	1540
DC_5	Horne, Anna	1687
RFIN_179	Horsley, Glenn	1568
RREM_41	Horsley, Glenn	1660
RFIN_122	Hoskins, Richard	1516
LTP15_351	Hot Water Beach Holiday Park Limited (McDean, Christian)	1336
RFIN_168	Hot Water Beach Ratepayers Association (Knight, Trevor)	1547
LTP15_210	Hough, Mr David	778
RFIN_63	Hough, Mr David	1505
RREM_26	Howard, Peggy Maureen	1629
RREM_20	Howe, Mr Bernard	1617
RFIN_37	Howe, Norma	1444
RFIN_83	Howe, Stephen and Lyndley	1516
RFIN_53	Hoyland, Mrs Paulette	1485

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_183	Hughes, Donald	707
LTP15_173	Hunter, Robyn	680
LTP15_33	Iles, David	119
RFIN_121	Ingram, Bryan and Julie	1516
RFIN_61	Innes, David	1500
RREM_34	Irwin, Frances and Owen	1646
RFIN_8	Isaac, John	1399
LTP15_281	Isdale, Mr John	1091
LTP15_199	Ivory, Margaret	745
LTP15_140	Jacobs, Susan and Anthony	558
RFIN_147	Jamieson, Gary and Maree	1516
LTP15_313	Jannis, Alex and Judith	1208
LTP15_322	Jardine, John	1235
RFIN_157	Jarvis, Cushla and Ray	1516
RFIN_98	Jeans, Kathy	1516
RFIN_60	Jennings, Kadira	1499
RFIN_158	Johnson, Helen	1516
LTP15_311	Johnson, Ms Margaret (Maggie)	1200
DC_4	Johnson, Ms Margaret (Maggie)	1681
LTP15_2	Johnston, Keith	6
LTP15_348	Jones, Elizabeth	1333
RREM_1	Jones, Elizabeth	1580
RFIN_136	Jowsey, Kahn and Janelle	1516
LTP15_91	Junne, Alison	353
LTP15_237	Jury, Donna	896
LTP15_114	Kaeppli, Ms Jillian	439
LTP15_352	Kauri Trust 2000 (Henry, Alison)	1339
RFIN_127	Keiser, Mabel	1516
RFIN_72	Kelly, Brett	1516
RFIN_96	Kelly, Jodie	1516
LTP15_24	Killick, John and Shirley	92
LTP15_154	King, Lindsay	624
LTP15_272	Kinzett, David Raymond	1025
LTP15_189	Kinzett, Wendy	721
RFIN_85	Kirk, Rosemary	1516
LTP15_357	Kopu Development Group	1355
LTP15_55	Kregting, Annemieke	188
RREM_24	Kroot, M	1625
RREM_38	Ladd, Ronald, QSM	1654
LTP15_28	Laird, Maurice	101
LTP15_105	Lamason, Mrs Tracey	408
RFIN_100	Lawrence, Brian	1516
LTP15_134	Lawrey	533
RFIN_151	Lawson, Craig and Lynn	1516
RFIN_133	Lay, Linda	1516
RFIN_109	Lea, Sandra	1516
LTP15_212	Leckie, Lizzy	782
LTP15_176	Lee, Patty	686
LTP15_103	Leenman, Mr John	399

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_342	Leighton, Wallace	1312
RFIN_166	Lindsay, Mr David	1543
LTP15_359	Ling, Maria	1363
RFIN_104	Lloyd, Jacque	1516
LTP15_193	Lockart, Peter	733
LTP15_164	Lockington, Barbara	650
LTP15_293	Lomas, John	1128
LTP15_291	Lomas, Veronica	1119
RFIN_131	Loughnan, Richard	1516
LTP15_88	Lovell, Mrs Beverly	338
RREM_14	Low, David	1605
RFIN_134	Lucy, Jim and Jenny	1516
LTP15_317	Lux, Chris	1223
RREM_15	MacKereth, Catherine and Bruce	1607
RFIN_88	Macklow, Genaya and Jason	1516
LTP15_222	Maenulein, Patrick	827
RFIN_102	Mahar, Penelope	1516
LTP15_118	Maine, Allan Geoffrey	452
LTP15_19	Mallowes, Mr David	76
LTP15_40	Manson, Mrs Marion	136
LTP15_211	Maplesden, Beverley and John	779
RFIN_4	Marks, Mr and Mrs Kip and Liz	1388
LTP15_171	Marr, Albert	672
LTP15_170	Marr, Sharon	668
LTP15_292	Marshall, Albert	1124
LTP15_180	Mary, Thomson	699
RFIN_27	Masset, Sherree and Christian	1426
LTP15_109	Matchett, Rosemary Gorgina	422
LTP15_51	Matthews, Mr Michael	174
RFIN_178	Mayhead, Beverley	1566
LTP15_78	MB South Residents & Ratepayers Assn (Nicholls, Bob)	288
RFIN_153	McAdam, Peter and Jen	1516
LTP15_250	McCabe, Mrs Helen	943
LTP15_135	McCormick, Ms Lesley	537
RFIN_44	McDonald, Mr Iain	1457
RFIN_30	McDowall, Carole	1430
LTP15_89	McFarlane, Mrs Janice	343
LTP15_214	McGregor, John	788
LTP15_14	McIntosh, Lloyd and Jean	64
RFIN_123	McKay, Andrea and Phil	1516
RFIN_164	McKenzie, Peter	1539
RFIN_155	McLaren, Margaret	1516
RREM_18	McLeod, Alec	1613
RREM_19	McLeod, J	1615
RFIN_12	McLeod, John and Verona	1404
LTP15_337	McNeil, Peter	1288
LTP15_338	McNeil, Valerie	1292
RFIN_65	Mealing, Sue and Brent	1507
LTP15_26	Melbourne, Jude	97

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_169	Mercer, Karen	1549
LTP15_110	Mercury Bay Area School (Wright, John)	426
LTP15_141	Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust (Christie, Stuart)	563
LTP15_259	Mercury Bay Community Board (Kelly, Paul)	977
RFIN_34	Milbank, Warwick and Helen	1440
LTP15_115	Millen, Luke	443
RFIN_82	Miller, Jane	1516
RFIN_31	Miller, Vicki	1435
LTP15_25	Milmine, Mr Mark	93
LTP15_260	Minoque, Dal	980
LTP15_120	MJ & SA Edens (Edens, Ross)	461
LTP15_289	Moehau Environment Group (Collicott, Natalie)	1116
RREM_30	Moore and Dunn, Bruce and Ruth	1638
RFIN_91	Moore, Kirsten and Peter	1516
LTP15_274	Morcom, Diane	1032
LTP15_35	Morcom, Mrs Sharyn	128
LTP15_256	Morcom, Raymond	963
RREM_12	Morgan, Shirley	1601
LTP15_197	Muir, Dhyana	741
LTP15_122	Muir, Mr William	470
RFIN_43	Munch, Robin	1454
LTP15_202	Murray, Andy	752
LTP15_200	Murray, Sandie	747
RFIN_92	Mutch, James	1516
RFIN_110	Myers, Christine	1516
LTP15_192	Nevin, Gary	729
LTP15_303	Newell, Renee	1167
LTP15_300	Newell-Harris, Deidre	1155
LTP15_167	Newton, Marie	657
LTP15_168	Newton, Michael	661
LTP15_30	Nichols, Mr Dave	107
LTP15_22	Nicholson, Mrs Marilyn	87
RFIN_141	Nickel, Jennifer	1516
LTP15_56	Nielsen, Kate	190
RREM_22	Nix, A. A.	1621
LTP15_219	North, John	812
LTP15_50	Northey, Richard	168
LTP15_151	NZTA (Stone, Jo)	610
LTP15_139	Odlum, Mrs Pauline	556
LTP15_149	O'Keeffe, Mr Darryl	597
RFIN_57	Ollington, Joycelyn	1493
RFIN_86	O'Loughlin, Chris and Vince	1516
RFIN_51	ONEILL, Mrs Cynthia	1480
RFIN_59	Onemana, R	1497
RFIN_95	Opie, Stephen and Christina	1516
RFIN_174	Osborne, Mark and Shelley	1556
LTP15_228	Oudney, Elizabeth	855
LTP15_232	Oudney, John	876
LTP15_347	Ovesen, Sid and Vicki	1331

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_32	Palmano, Dr Kay	113
RFIN_52	Parker, Mr Scott	1482
RFIN_10	Parkinson, Fran and Roland	1402
LTP15_53	Parson, Mrs Jane	181
RFIN_35	Parsons, Tony and Mary	1441
LTP15_299	Paterson, Daphne	1151
LTP15_298	Paterson, Mr Robert	1147
RREM_7	Patten, Delece	1591
LTP15_286	Pauanui Information Centre (Experience Pauanui & Pauanui Business Association) (Hughes, Jewel)	1112
LTP15_329	Pauanui Ratepayers and Residents Association (Bush, Ken)	1258
LTP15_191	Payne, Brian	727
LTP15_190	Payne, Dorothy	725
RFIN_94	Payne, Everit and Sheryl	1516
LTP15_155	Pederson, Kield and Shirley	626
LTP15_73	Pennell, Ms Stella	268
LTP15_255	Penny, Mr Evan	954
LTP15_358	Pepper, Colin	1360
RFIN_117	Percy, Glenis	1516
RFIN_156	Petch, Ivan and Kae	1516
RREM_10	Petrie, Mary	1597
RFIN_130	Philips, Sandy	1516
LTP15_7	Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility (Anderson, Jean)	14
LTP15_220	Pond, Mrs Wendy	817
RFIN_118	Poor, Mr Jonathan	1516
LTP15_346	Population Health, Waikato District Heath Board (Kristensen, Kay)	1326
LTP15_117	Por, Ms Andrea	447
RFIN_139	Prendergast, Darryl	1516
LTP15_265	Prescott, Heather	998
LTP15_263	Prescott, William	992
RFIN_161	Price, Grant	1533
LTP15_144	Procter, Mr Keith	582
RFIN_3	Ramdin, Mrs Melissa	1384
LTP15_215	Reeves, John	792
RFIN_17	Reid, Robin	1414
LTP15_324	Rennie, Gloria	1241
LTP15_269	Rennie, John	1015
LTP15_75	Revell, Mr Peter	275
RFIN_135	Rice, Paddy	1516
RFIN_36	Richards, Steve	1443
LTP15_278	Ridings, Penelope	1045
LTP15_101	Rijabova, Svetlana	393
RFIN_143	Ripley, Ron	1516
LTP15_21	Roach, Mr Jeff	85
RREM_37	Robertson, Shirley	1652
LTP15_138	Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group (McCormick, Lesley)	551
LTP15_112	Robinson, Glenda Lynnette	433
LTP15_223	Robinson, Guy	831
LTP15_83	Robinson, Stewart	317

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_21	Roke, Lindsey and Marion	1415
LTP15_187	Rolfe, David	716
RFIN_41	Rolle, Mr Phil	1448
LTP15_107	Roper, Alan	415
LTP15_65	Roper, Mrs Eve	224
LTP15_203	Ross, Beverley	755
LTP15_239	Rushforth, David	904
RFIN_87	Russell, Liz and John	1516
LTP15_23	Russell, Ms Karyn	90
LTP15_235	Sander, Amos	888
LTP15_230	Sander, Evelyn	866
RREM_35	Sanders, Eric	1648
RREM_27	Sanders, Verna	1631
LTP15_253	Sanford, John	949
LTP15_251	Sangster, Katherine	947
RFIN_101	Savage, Helen and John	1516
RFIN_29	Savelkouls, Mark	1429
RFIN_175	Scheltus, Mr Herwi	1560
RFIN_84	Scott, Mark and Jocelyn	1516
RFIN_50	Seay, Mrs Judy	1478
LTP15_58	Sedin, Erika	196
RFIN_162	Senior, B A	1537
LTP15_295	Serich, Anouska	1135
LTP15_294	Serich, Ivan	1131
RFIN_22	Sharkey, Nicky	1416
LTP15_96	Sinclair, Robyn	377
LTP15_150	Skelding, Mark	599
RFIN_142	Skinner, Ewen	1516
LTP15_129	SLSNZ (Emmett, Chris)	502
LTP15_283	Smith, Paul and Fiona	1106
LTP15_36	Smith, Sean and Christine	131
RFIN_67	Snowball, Max	1509
LTP15_17	Spalding, June	69
LTP15_332	Speerstra, Susan	1268
RFIN_97	Spence, Scott	1516
LTP15_336	Sport Waikato (Bertram, Vikki)	1278
RFIN_6	Spromn Holdings Ltd (Spromn Holdings Ltd)	1397
LTP15_326	St Francis School (Johnson, Shelley)	1249
LTP15_43	Stafford, Mrs Rae	145
RFIN_38	Stainton, Ginny	1445
LTP15_79	Stansfield, Mr Roy	291
RFIN_176	Stark, Chris	1563
LTP15_316	Stevens, Janine	1219
RFIN_39	Stevenson, Janet	1446
LTP15_69	Stewart Ball, Mrs Elizabeth Anne	239
LTP15_297	Storer, Jillian	1143
LTP15_335	Strachan, Ash	1277
LTP15_48	Strang, Ms Carmen	161
LTP15_34	Suckling, Mr Lyndon	124

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
RFIN_58	Suisted, John	1495
LTP15_353	Sunkist Stay Bike n Hike (Cassidy, Craig)	1342
LTP15_284	Supported Life Style Hauraki Trust (Lee, Samantha)	1110
RFIN_15	Sutton, Ms Angela	1407
LTP15_320	T Roopu Tautoko O Harataunga (Hale, Moana)	1231
LTP15_361	Tairua Elim Church (Drijfhout, PJ)	1368
LTP15_290	Tairua Information Centre	1117
LTP15_318	Tairua Residents and Ratepayers Ass	1224
LTP15_339	Tairua-Pauanui Community Board (Renton, Bob)	1296
LTP15_267	Taylor and Nelson, Robyn and Carolle	1006
LTP15_201	Taylor, Gail	750
RFIN_128	Taylor, Jan	1516
RFIN_150	Taylor, Marian	1516
RREM_9	Taylor, Rae	1595
LTP15_282	Te Kura Kaupapa Maori o Harataunga (Maika, Kepa)	1092
LTP15_132	Thames Community Board	524
LTP15_11	Thames Rugby & Sports Club (de Groen, Vaughan)	52
LTP15_218	Thames Youth Forum and Thames Youth Supporters Network (Lunjevich-West, Darian)	805
LTP15_113	The Coromandel Heritage Trust	436
LTP15_362	The Enviroschools Foundation (Nieschmidt, Anke)	1372
LTP15_360	The Pinnacle Backpackers (Drijfhout, P)	1364
RFIN_75	Thomas, Christine and Hussey, Shane	1516
RFIN_11	Tiplady, Michael and Clayton, Dianne	1403
RFIN_120	Torstonson, Lynette	1516
LTP15_61	Towers, Mr Mark	205
LTP15_131	Trade Me Limited (Bridges, Daniel)	519
LTP15_355	Transition Town Thames (Skelding, Mark)	1350
LTP15_264	Trebes, Mervyn	996
RFIN_13	Trowern, Greg	1405
LTP15_121	TRRA Tairua Business Network (Roest, Anton and Mary)	466
LTP15_254	Trust Waikato	952
RFIN_99	Tuheke, Wilhelmina and George	1516
LTP15_312	Turbitt, Janine	1205
LTP15_152	Turner, B	617
LTP15_207	Turner, Roger	767
RREM_25	Twentyman, Claire	1627
LTP15_194	Verner, Colin	737
RFIN_49	Voulk, Mr Robert	1476
LTP15_323	Waikato Biodiversity Forum (Cursey, Moira)	1239
LTP15_363	Waikato East Life Education Trust (Trembath, Keith)	1376
LTP15_288	Waikato Regional Council (Sayer, Anthea)	1114
LTP15_67	Walford, Mrs Diana	232
RFIN_46	Walker, Mrs Michele	1463
LTP15_276	Walker, Terry	1039
LTP15_344	Wallace, Cath	1322
LTP15_287	Warneford, Stewart	1113
LTP15_213	Warner, Kathey	784
RFIN_112	Warrick, Chris and David	1516

Submission #	Submitter	Page #
LTP15_157	Warrington, Lionel Martin	632
RFIN_163	Waterhouse, Andrew, Tracie, Ava	1538
RFIN_79	Waterhouse, Sue and Mike	1516
LTP15_243	Waterman, Beverley	920
LTP15_242	Waterman, Brian	916
RFIN_152	Waters, Brian	1516
RFIN_69	Wathen Family Trust (Wathen, Simon)	1515
LTP15_95	Watt, Mrs Erin	373
LTP15_100	Watts, Trevor	391
RFIN_32	Webster, Deborah	1436
LTP15_209	Webster, Ian	774
LTP15_309	Weeks, Peter	1190
LTP15_354	Whangamata Community Board (Johnston, Keith)	1344
LTP15_125	Whangamata Ratepayers Association (Rive, John)	484
LTP15_225	Whangamata Youth Forum and Whangamata Youth Supporters Network (Palmer, Hannah)	839
DC_3	Wharekaho 2013 Limited (McAlley, Ian)	1677
RREM_6	White, ED and ZM	1589
LTP15_341	Whitianga Community Services (van der Putten, Peter)	1306
LTP15_161	Wicksteed, Carmen	641
LTP15_160	Wicksteed, Grant	639
LTP15_182	Wight, Eric and Sue	705
LTP15_301	Williams, Carol	1159
RFIN_113	Williams, Mrs Colleen	1516
RFIN_7	Williams, P and C	1398
LTP15_145	Wilson, Brett	587
RFIN_125	Wilson, Don	1516
LTP15_156	Wilton, Kim	630
LTP15_20	Wimsett, Ms Jane	80
RFIN_47	Wisneski, Ms Bobbie	1467
LTP15_270	Withy, Sue	1020
LTP15_271	Wolf, Jenny	1022
LTP15_257	Wood, Peter	967
LTP15_179	Woods, Beverly	698
LTP15_42	Wright, Ms Jan	143
LTP15_249	Wright, Susan	939
RFIN_177	Young, Carol and Graeme	1564

Make Submission

Consultee	Glenda Lynnette Robinson (59717)
Address	99 Kowhai Drive RD1 Coromandel 3581
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Glenda Lynnette Robinson
Submission ID	LTP15_112
Response Date	7/04/15 11:16 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787405.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	The Coromandel Heritage Trust (59704)
Email Address	info.thetreasury@gmail.com
Company / Organisation	The Coromandel Heritage Trust
Address	PO Box 75 Thames 3540
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	The Coromandel Heritage Trust (The Coromandel Heritage Trust)
Submission ID	LTP15_113
Response Date	9/04/15 11:18 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

. Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

Request for funding for a proportion of the operational costs of The Treasury and/or for salaries

The Coromandel Heritage Trust has established an archive and family research centre which is a key facility in Thames, the Grahamstown Historic Precinct and in the entire Thames Coromandel District. The Centre has been, and is still, currently run by approximately 70 enthusiastic and dedicated volunteers but there is now a pressing need to have a full time qualified archivist and a curator who have the expertise to properly record, preserve and repair the valuable documents and records that have been donated by local families and businesses for safe and secure storage.

Many local and international heritage enthusiasts, genealogists and researchers visit The Treasury and The Trust requests that allocation be made in the Ten Year Plan for funding for a proportion of the operational costs of The Treasury and/or for salaries to enable The Trust to employ qualified staff to ensure the continuity and high standards that are required for recognised archival repositories.

With an archivist and curator in place The Trust is able to seek national recognition of the Archive and this will have a long term benefit for the District in that it will encourage more visitors and add to the economic opportunities of the area. In this way The Trust believes that the provision of funding will enhance the Council outcomes for a prosperous economy.

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.**Submission to reinstate the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity**

The Coromandel Heritage Trust's submission is to reinstate the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity with an accompanying budget to continue the co-ordination of heritage groups, initiatives and activities between the Council and community across the District. There is no reference to the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity in the Ten Year Plan and there is no recognition of the importance of our heritage and the economic benefit it brings to the District in terms of the many tourist attractions that educate and inform about the historical activities that were so prevalent in our past and formed a vital part in the settlement of the area.

The Coromandel Heritage Trust requests that the Council demonstrates support for the importance of the District's heritage by making the commitment to continue the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity. This will encourage ongoing preservation of heritage values in the community and align with Council outcomes for the District such as achieving a prosperous economy and a being a place where people want to visit and enjoy living and working in.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone

0212555482

Email

Email

info.thetreasury@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jillian Kaeppli (59611)
Email Address	paradise@kaepplis.co.nz
Address	40 Gray Ave Kuaotunu West Whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Jillian Kaeppli
Submission ID	LTP15_114
Response Date	9/04/15 11:37 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

These informations centres are not only promoting their immediate area but also other area's on the Peninsula. Therefore they should all be district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

On the one hand TCDC is wanting promote growth on the other it is discouraging anyone whom wishes to offer tourists a Kiwi Hospitality experience. It is totally and utterly unacceptable that accommodation providers are being targeted. These operators and owners are not big businesses, they are providing a service to tourists so as they can come and enjoy the peninsula and in doing so bring \$\$\$\$ to each area they visit, thus boosting the economy. It is a very seasonal business whereby especially Bed & Breakfasts are making a living in the summer to get them through the winter, if they are lucky. It is not only accommodation providers that work from home, what is of the artists, contractors, property maintenance, etc etc. Why target those that bring the tourists into the area? Don't bite the hand that feeds you as if you do many others will suffer.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

Again what is the reason for this - a Bed and Breakfast is never in the same league as a motel with 4-8 units. A B&B has totally different guests than a motel, guests wishing to interact with their hosts and learn about the area, country and people. This will only encourage all that have more than three rooms to reduce their business thus cutting down on bed numbers. In the summer season there are now not enough beds for the people coming to the Coromandel, if there is nowhere to stay then they will by pass the Coromandel. Less rooms less money to spend on whatever we need therefore all in the community will suffer.

On the rates comparisons on the TCDC site if this was to go ahead my rates would increase by \$577.16. I therefore will opt to decrease or close completely my B&B which I have operated as a succesful business for 21 years. Is this progress as TCDC see it ????

Are you not here to encourage business growth?? If so this is certainly not the way to go about it. I beg you to reconsider.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? I agree with the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride but not the extended fees for the Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

On the one side TCDC is proposing to invest in economic development and on the other side penalise those that are endeavouring to sustain an economic business and provide a tourist service.

Robbing Peter to pay Paul.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078662445

Email

Email paradise@kaeppelis.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Luke Millen (59729)
Email Address	luke.millen@veolia.com
Address	43 Hornsea Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Luke Millen
Submission ID	LTP15_115
Response Date	7/04/15 11:39 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.5

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787391.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Andrea Por (59680)
Email Address	shmandrea@live.ca
Address	104 Alfred Street Thames 3500
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Andrea Por
Submission ID	LTP15_117
Response Date	9/04/15 12:11 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding?	Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.
---	--

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?	Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.
--	--

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?	Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.
--	--

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

I propose a 'Development Officer' that would engage and stimulate economic, environmental and social development equally.

I agree with the proposed measures as long as we increase the methods with which economic development are monitored and evaluated. The "triple bottom line" method (economic+environment+social) shows that in addition to reporting on the most familiar 'bottom line' of financial accounting, companies should be preparing a 'people account' measuring social responsibility, and a 'planet account', measuring environmental responsibility. Assessments done in this way take into account entire impact on the world, and can then make a realistic assessment of all the costs and benefits associated with their activities."

- 1 Local economic development projects should have to demonstrate and achieve a commitment towards minimizing waste.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

Art is a great economic developer, consider arts funding within these proposed measures...through the development of a District wide arts strategy.

Other

Further comments.

In order to have a prosperous, clean and green district, Council members should consider:

- 1 the districts future vision "coromandel (green) heritage region" can mention environment and geographic location which are crucial to the overall experience of the coromandel
- 2 a salt water swimming pool
- 3 Any future Council funded developments MUST demonstrate waste minimizing plans including recycling facilities: from construction phase all the way through to final use. Ex. Public toilet facilities, multisport facility and library improvement
- 4 All Council procurement processes should be based on best value rather than lowest cost (taking into account social, environmental impacts, etc.)
- 5 Local economic development projects should have to demonstrate and achieve a commitment towards minimizing waste.
- 6 Commit to working together towards a zero waste region:

-To protect our communities, land, water and air from harmful and hazardous waste.

-To encourage resource efficiency and beneficial reuse that creates sustainable, economic growth.

I also agree with the following statements provided by Mark Skelding:

- 1 *i support the provision of electric vehicle [EV] charge points in Thames and surrounds. at present, this major tourism destination has no provision for travellers in Evs.*
- 2 *cycling requires a higher profile in Thames and across the District. TCDC (with Hauraki District Council) should encourage and facilitate land-owners and land-managers to work with interest groups, industry, and volunteers to develop a network of cross country cycle tracks to connect the Hauraki RailTrailheads (Thames and Waihi) with the other 5 main centres on the peninsula. better cyclist provision (bike racks, cycle paths, cycle ways marked on roads etc) around the peninsula would add to the success of the District as a destination, and to the RailTrail as a visitor experience.*
- 3 *i support greater TCDC assistance for householders to access insulation and energy savings initiatives, **including provision of individual rain water barrels and composting buckets.***
- 4 *i support TCDC fulfilling its obligations to have clear and visible climate change planning that detail and acknowledge Government perceived maximum and minimum anticipated impacts over 15, 30, 50 and 100 years. these need to be available on the TCDC site, and to people contemplating developments on marginal or flood prone land, and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy.*
- 5 *i support encouragement and facilitation of climate change resilience and transition positive relocalisation projects to build economic resilience and community across district. these would be trans-sectorial - ie: social, environmental and art/cultural co-operation to raise awareness and achieve outcomes. for example:*

a particular focus on food production and supply would reduce food miles and build local industry and local identity. a "homegrown" scheme (eg: promotional label on foodstuffs and in restaurants and shops) would cost little and create high visibility and awareness of local food and specialities. encouraging all communities of 750 people or more to establish and maintain community gardens would encourage food awareness, community cohesion, and re-establish vanishing skills. encouraging retired people to share know-how and skills bridges the generation skills gap, enhances intergenerational understanding, and adds meaning and purpose to people's lives. advancing community fruit tree and other growing projects (eg: community coppicing for fuel etc) complements community garden projects. maintenance can be achieved through working closely with rehabilitation and probation groups, as well as making use of community recycling programs (eg: for mulch and compost). a focus on renewable and clean energy would be in line with the Urban Vision for Thames (2013) and provide opportunities for local employment, and to build energy resilience. encouraging businesses along Pollen Street to lay the foundations for a solar network would be a good start at no financial cost. having key council buildings fitted out with solar panels a focus on waste reduction through zero waste policy, developing recycling activities with local groups and Smart environmental (or similar), raising awareness on food waste, promoting composting programs at dumps and waste collection centres, increasing waste collection costs and using this to subsidise making composting and waste reduction "technology" available to householders.

6. promoting local and district linked business association commitment to promoting local energy efficiency and generation, encouraging local food production, raising a "Taste Coromandel" profile (or similar), and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy.

7. Extending a clear place in local governance conversation to tangata whenua, and showing how this is happening as part of its communication policy.

8. Commit to Heritage as being about how we attend to our past AND future. On page 22, it says: " Another initiative being pursued as part of the Economic Development package is the potential for the Coromandel to become a Heritage Region. There are no project costs associated with this project as, in its conceptual stage, it will only require staff resource." however, this seems unlikely since i note the recent loss of TCDC paid and financed district heritage provision. this needs to be reinstated if we are to fulfill the promise of truly being "a heritage district".

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Allan Geoffrey Maine (59758)
Email Address	ajmaine@xtra.co.nz
Address	52 Windsor Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Allan Geoffrey Maine
Submission ID	LTP15_118
Response Date	4/04/15 12:11 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.6

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

How many are there??

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? I agree with the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride but not the extended fees for the Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787390.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Peter Miles (59646)
Email Address	peter@bookabach.co.nz
Company / Organisation	Bookabach Ltd
Address	PO Box 52-091 Kingsland Auckland 1352
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Bookabach Ltd (Mr Peter Miles)
Submission ID	LTP15_119
Response Date	9/04/15 12:17 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	Bookabach submission on LTP

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Background

Bookabach provides an online holiday rental marketplace. We have around 1,200 properties in the Coromandel. Short-term holiday rental (holiday rental) on these properties is either managed by their owners or via property managers who have local representatives in the Coromandel.

Bach owners in the Coromandel earn on average \$10,000/year from short-term holiday rental (median: \$7,700/yr, lower quartile: \$3,800/yr , upper quartile: \$14,000/yr) [1] and this money is largely used to offset expenses such as rates and maintenance – making owning a holiday home slightly more justifiable, i.e. renting an individual bach out is not a commercially viable business on its own.

We object to the \$200 rate levy for Economic Development

We understand the plan would be to charge bach owners who provide short term holiday rental a targeted rates bill or levy that includes a \$200 levy. This is expected to raise around \$250,000, with these funds to be used for economic development activity.

We object to this plan on a number of grounds (see Specific Objections below). But, ultimately there are some underlying philosophical questions that TCDC must ask themselves in relation to both the LTP and the PDP:

Do absentee owners have the same rights as permanent residents of the Coromandel? They pay rates like permanent residents. Should they have the right to raise income from their properties when they are not using them in the same way someone who rents permanently might?

Does TCDC want to support or inhibit holiday rental activity in the Coromandel? Ultimately the LTP and PDP changes proposed are not “holiday rental friendly” messages. Holiday rental is a critical part of the visitor accommodation mix. It is the preferred accommodation for many visitors (domestic and inbound) and visitor satisfaction levels are exceedingly high.

The changes proposed threaten both supply and demand of this type of accommodation. It puts existing and potential bach owners on notice that they will be treated differently if they provide holiday rental in the Coromandel – and this will effect peoples’ decision on where to purchase a bach.

Specific objections to the \$200 rate levy

Bookabach objects to the proposal to target bach owners who provide holiday rental with a \$200 rate levy on the following grounds:

Absentee bach owners already contribute

Bach owners pay rates and a portion of these rates are used to fund ED activities.

We believe that while holiday rental owners benefit directly from TCDC’s ED activities they also bring visitors to the region at no cost to TCDC.

Permanent residents also benefit from ED

Permanent residents have the direct and indirect benefit of visitors to the region and the cultural and sporting events regional ED funds. Asking absentee bach owners disproportionately to fund ED ignores this.

Baches have a lower drain on resources than permanent residents

Baches and holiday homes spend much of their time empty and hence have lower on-going costs to council than those that are permanently occupied. The obvious example here is rubbish collection.

While we understand the arguments around it being a “peek-load” problem in high seasons. We would argue that this relates to core planning decisions; that absentee rate payers have the right to these services when they - or their rental tenants - are present; and it is up to council to provide these services.

Our recommendation

Should an additional \$250,000 be required for Economic Development it should be raided through a universal rates increase – not by targeting bach owners.

Submitted by:

Peter K Miles

General Manager

Bookabach Ltd

mob: 021 310 310

day: 09 366 6403

[1] Based on Bookabach data for all recorded rental bookings completing in the 12 months to 31 st March 2015

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. None of these options describe me

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [Bookabach submission on LTP](#)

Background

Bookabach provides an online holiday rental marketplace. We have around 1,200 properties in the Coromandel. Short-term holiday rental (holiday rental) on these properties is either managed by their owners or via property managers who have local representatives in the Coromandel.

Bach owners in the Coromandel earn on average \$10,000/year from short-term holiday rental (median: \$7,700/yr, lower quartile: \$3,800/yr, upper quartile: \$14,000/yr)¹ and this money is largely used to offset expenses such as rates and maintenance – making owning a holiday home slightly more justifiable, i.e. renting an individual bach out is not a commercially viable business on its own.

We object to the \$200 rate levy for Economic Development

We understand the plan would be to charge bach owners who provide short term holiday rental a targeted rates bill or levy that includes a \$200 levy. This is expected to raise around \$250,000, with these funds to be used for economic development activity.

We object to this plan on a number of grounds (see Specific Objections below). But, ultimately there are some underlying philosophical questions that TCDC must ask themselves in relation to both the LTP and the PDP:

Do absentee owners have the same rights as permanent residents of the Coromandel? They pay rates like permanent residents. Should they have the right to raise income from their properties when they are not using them in the same way someone who rents permanently might?

Does TCDC want to support or inhibit holiday rental activity in the Coromandel? Ultimately the LTP and PDP changes proposed are not “holiday rental friendly” messages. Holiday rental is a critical part of the visitor accommodation mix. It is the preferred accommodation for many visitors (domestic and inbound) and visitor satisfaction levels are exceedingly high.

The changes proposed threaten both supply and demand of this type of accommodation. It puts existing and potential bach owners on notice that they will be treated differently if they provide holiday rental in the Coromandel – and this will effect peoples’ decision on where to purchase a bach.

¹ Based on Bookabach data for all recorded rental bookings completing in the 12 months to 31st March 2015

Specific objections to the \$200 rate levy

Bookabach objects to the proposal to target bach owners who provide holiday rental with a \$200 rate levy on the following grounds:

Absentee bach owners already contribute

Bach owners pay rates and a portion of these rates are used to fund ED activities. We believe that while holiday rental owners benefit directly from TCDC's ED activities they also bring visitors to the region at no cost to TCDC.

Permanent residents also benefit from ED

Permanent residents have the direct and indirect benefit of visitors to the region and the cultural and sporting events regional ED funds. Asking absentee bach owners disproportionately to fund ED ignores this.

Baches have a lower drain on resources than permanent residents

Baches and holiday homes spend much of their time empty and hence have lower on-going costs to council than those that are permanently occupied. The obvious example here is rubbish collection.

While we understand the arguments around it being a "peak-load" problem in high seasons. We would argue that this relates to core planning decisions; that absentee rate payers have the right to these services when they - or their rental tenants - are present; and it is up to council to provide these services.

Our recommendation

Should an additional \$250,000 be required for Economic Development it should be raised through a universal rates increase – not by targeting bach owners.

Submitted by:

Peter K Miles
General Manager
Bookabach Ltd

mob: 021 310 310
day: 09 366 6403

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Ross Edens (59736)
Email Address	blacksinglet@hotmail.com
Company / Organisation	MJ & SA Edens
Address	844 Black Jack Road Whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	MJ & SA Edens (Mr Ross Edens)
Submission ID	LTP15_120
Response Date	9/04/15 12:17 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

Communities money is spent in other areas. Maybe less money spent on management and writing big documents about it and more money spent on the physicals.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

Public toilets are generally used by persons outside of the immediate local area and should be funded at the District Level.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

They are providing extra tourism to the District and TCDC is going to penalise them? Initially it will be \$200 but will increase in the further years till it is unfeasible to operate some short term accomondation.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

They are providing extra tourism to the District and TCDC is going to penalise them? Many of these operations would be marginal.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

We already have plenty of native forests here that can be named or honoured to these persons. This money would better be spent on Infrastructure.

Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

Road funding for the Mercury Bay ward needs to have moneys set aside specifically to the upgrading, widening and sealing of the dangerous gravel road between Otama and Opito Bay.

There seems to be a lot of money designated to upgrade Whitianga that will ultimately promote more tourism and more permanent residents. Sections of the road between Otama and Opito have been in a similar gravel, hair-pin corners, lack of vision, and dangerous condition for a number of years, some in the same condition for over 20 years. Opito Bay is been increasingly used by day-trippers from all over the Coromandel Peninsula, especially since the completion of the sealing of SH25 between Whitianga and Coromandel.

To not have money specifically set aside for the upgrade, widening and sealing of the road from Otama to Opito in the LTP would be irresponsible. This would indicate that the road will be in the same condition for the next 20 years while the entire district grows and develops around it. A major goal of the LTP is to promote tourism, sealing of this road would realise the full potential for Opito Bay as a destination.

Most road users who now use these roads are inexperienced on gravel roads and broken glass on the road from headlights is a common sight on most of the hairpins during peak times. Historically the gravel sections of SH25 prepared these drivers for the road but now, persons are driving from an urban

centre such as Auckland or overseas drivers to this narrow dangerous road with little experience on gravel roads.

Sections of the road were built with pick and shovel during the 1930's depression. Surely 85 years later we can bring this road section into the modern age.

Road funding for the Mercury Bay ward needs to have moneys set aside specifically to the upgrading, widening and sealing of the dangerous gravel road between Otama and Opito Bay.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0278848105

Email

Email blacksinglet@hotmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Anton and Mary Roest (59763)
Email Address	antonroest@clear.net.nz
Company / Organisation	TRRA Tairua Business Network
Address	198 Paku Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	TRRA Tairua Business Network (Anton and Mary Roest)
Submission ID	LTP15_121
Response Date	9/04/15 12:20 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that TCDC fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years. The Tairua Info Centre services the whole Coromandel not just Tairua.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787387.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr William Muir (58388)
Email Address	muir@kuaotunubay.co.nz
Address	8 State Highway 25 Kuaotunu Wharekaho whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr William Muir
Submission ID	LTP15_122
Response Date	8/04/15 12:43 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

The Community have carried the Developers for far too long. This was the deal they bought into. In fact the original figure given to the community regarding the costs of 3 waste water plants was \$24, million. We have been misled all the way. Now close to \$100 million.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

TCDC should stay with its core business. i.e. storm water etc.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

The new 1-Site arrangement is not effective for business compared to the previous 15 years, no communication, no families etc.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

TCDC has such little confidence in their 200 plus staff and 28 elected members to manage Economic Development they have appointed 3 unelected members at considerable cost. Two of which have outstanding conflicts of interest. One who has been described on National television by the Mayor as having "too much closeness" short memories! Any need for ED will be met by the private sector, any interference by council will lead to incompetence and corruption i.e., 2004 deed with Hoppers Waterways. Most ratepayers come to the district to be away from major development.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

The effect of the proposal to make B&Bs commercial properties is not well thought e.g. a 4 bedroom quality B&B decided to reduce to 3 rooms from 4 and given that that business runs for 7 months of the year that is potentially 200 room nights less, 400 people and \$60,000 less spent on the Peninsula. The Mayor used false figures during the "informative" meeting to sway those present. He used my business as an example of comparison with a 3 unit Motel. In fact the Motel is a 5 unit business and can accommodate 20 people. My business has 4 rooms and can accommodate 8 guests. This is the kind of spin constantly used by TCDC in the public forum...not acceptable. It makes this document null and void.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

Is this to aid retired residents or developers building retirement villages.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

?

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

?

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

?

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

?

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

The kauri 2000 project is successful because it is community run by volunteers. As well-meaning as this memorial Forest is most volunteers in our area are fully committed to Kauri 2000 and Bruce Smiths Rings Beach Walk.

Council should stick to its core business providing basic services i.e. a footpath between East and West Kuaotunu...this has been on Councils Agenda for 20 years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787386.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mrs Sarah Goodman (59774)
Email Address	sarahkanebb@gmail.com
Address	8 Brenton Place Whangamata 3691
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mrs Sarah Goodman
Submission ID	LTP15_123
Response Date	9/04/15 12:47 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Bach owners pay the same rates as residents but do not use anywhere near the resources, why charge them more for attracting more people in with their rentals who in turn spend money here. Makes no sense! If a property is rented out full time, there is no levy although those tenants are using the libraries,

footpaths, rubbish collection etc etc etc Why on earth would it seem fair to you to charge bach owners that only rent out short term? Are you wanting to push people into buying baches elsewhere? This is the same as the freedom camping debarcle - why are you so set on making peoples experience of our beautiful region so unpleasant? Surely we want people here spending their money - not going to other regions without such ridiculous rules. I am astounded that you are so short-sighted, again!!

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

Because it then is a commercial activity, ask IRD!

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

They've all paid their dues over the years, give them a break. Compare rates with super income - impossible to pay unless they have savings as well as Super income.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

Please, please, please put a walking and biking path on SH25, Old Tairua Road. The number of people that use this circuit is huge. Please don't wait for a few deaths before addressing this. It is lethal with logging trucks in particular but even small vehicles are not able to leave room for pedestrians and cyclists and there are many blind corners.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

It is dangerous for all road users out there but no point unless the road is sealed.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Ken Coulam (59636)
Email Address	kcoulam@hotmail.com
Address	223a Kiwi Rd Whangamata 3620
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Ken Coulam
Submission ID	LTP15_124
Response Date	9/04/15 12:51 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

Growth was not the main reason for the plant upgrades so it is appropriate to scale back the amount to be collected from development.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

However, I expect Whangamata to have a similar level of service provision to Thames if funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

I feel it is not the local ratepayers using the service but tourists and visitors. Therefore, district-wide funding is fair.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

This proposal is based on the assumption that only Thames and Whitianga provide key visitor information for the whole Peninsula. I would have liked to see the evidence to support this. I have spoken to volunteers to work in the Whangamata information centre and I am told they are constantly providing advice about the whole peninsula, particularly about eastern attractions such as Hot Water Beach and Cathedral Cove. For tourists travelling up from the south, Whangamata is the gateway to the Peninsula, it is hard to see how Whitianga is a gateway. The information centres are a vital economic tool and I would like to see more funding provided to them to allow them to operate more effectively.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

I strongly disagree with this proposal. Almost all short term accommodation providers are not GST registered so the rate should be described as \$230, not \$200. My reasons for opposing the rate are as follows;

1 The rate is likely to reduce the accommodation available and will work against Economic Development. On Page 16 of the LTP it is stated "*Our economy is strongly reliant on the discretionary spent of visitors....*" I agree with this statement but far more visitors stay in baches than in motels and I know of some bach owners who will reconsider renting out their bach if they have to pay \$230. Already, for major occasions such as Beach Hop, visitors rent baches in Whiritoa and Waihi because the town is full. Do you really want to reduce the pool of accommodation available and send more visitors out of the district?

2 On Page 23 you state that "*moteliors pay the commercial portion of the Economic Development Rate and their competitors do not.*" In my opinion, baches and small B&Bs do not compete with motels as they provide a different accommodation experience. Baches are often rented out to groups of friends or families and these visitors do not want to stay in a motel. Many short term accommodation providers only make their properties available over New Year/January and Beach Hop when motels have the "no vacancy" sign out and without the short term accommodation there would be no where for visitors to stay.

3 The rate cannot be applied evenly as many rented properties are "invisible" as they are rented out by word of mouth. It is unfair to target those on the likes of Bookabach only.

4 The rate of \$230 has been arbitrarily set and is unreasonable. I am led to understand that the figure of \$200 plus GST was arrived at because anything less than that would be too expensive to administer and collect. There appears to be no evidence to link the level of the charge to the benefits received. I also have been advised that 57% of commercial rating units pay less than \$230.

By way of example, a motel in Whangamata has 11 units and pays \$612 Economic Development Rate in total or \$56 a unit. How can \$230 a unit be justified for short term accommodation providers, especially when it is considered that most are only rented for a few weeks a year and are likely to be used by the owners or be vacant for far greater periods.

In my opinion the rate of \$230 could well be unreasonable using the Wednesbury test and I urge the Council to drop this proposal.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

The Council needs to ensure the benefit does actually go back to the residents. However, Council should be advocating for the Government to pick up this cost, not the ratepayers.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Please tell us why.

Only if the flat is not permanently rented.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

This is a safety issue and many roads (including Kiwi Rd) flood regularly because of no kerbing and channeling. Better street light would also be appreciated.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

While I don't oppose this at some stage I would rather the money is spent on footpaths and kerbing and channeling in the urban area first.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Further comments on the Whangamata Community Spaces activity.

The last remaining camping ground in Whangamata is up for sale. The town needs a camp ground and I would like Council to look at it providing such a facility on Council land. By way of example, Park Ave reserve is not used a lot and could be used for camping over the summer months. I realise this would probably need Resource Consenting and some facilities built but it would be a valuable asset that would attract and retain a lot of visitors.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0211956953

Email

Email kcoulam@hotmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr John Rive (59493)
Email Address	j-rive@clear.net.nz
Company / Organisation	Whangamata Ratepayers Association
Address	PO Box 62 Whangamata 3643
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Whangamata Ratepayers Association (Mr John Rive)
Submission ID	LTP15_125
Response Date	9/04/15 1:08 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	Submission on the TCDC Draft Long Term Plan - April 2015 (1)

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

- 1 Again, we cannot support these changes.
- 1 The Council have named Whangamata a "Hub" Centre, so how can we be so poorly treated? Also Tairua and Pauanui are very different communities, but they deserve to have their own I-Site.
- 1 The previous status quo appeared to work, so why not fund it district wide at the previous level.
- 1 The funds could be dispersed by way of two levels. The three large ratepayer areas of Thames, Mercury Bay and Whangamata getting say 22% each, and Coromandel, Tairua and Pauanui each getting say 11% each.
- 1 The Community Boards would still be able to rate their own ratepayers if that was not considered to be enough.
- 2 The current system is not working with the (so-called) sub-regional I-SITE in Mercury Bay not cooperating with our Information Centre in Whangamata.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

- 1 We understand the Council's desire to bring a "User Pays" approach here, and agree with the principle, but we cannot support the current proposal.
- 1 Without the current "Cottage Industry" approach there would not be enough beds to service events like the Scallop Festival and Beach Hop. This would undoubtedly reduce the economic activity on the Peninsula
- 1 The IRD is not interested in the small operator who makes less than \$4000 per year, and we believe that the Council should also follow this threshold. Over that level it is a commercial concern and as such these businesses should pay an equitable rate for Economic Development.
- 1 A declaration system such as operates now for 1 or 2 dwellings or the upstairs/downstairs situation should suffice.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

- 1 We understand the Council's desire to bring a "User Pays" approach here, and agree with the principle, but we cannot support the current proposal.
- 1 Without the current "Cottage Industry" approach there would not be enough beds to service events like the Scallop Festival and Beach Hop. This would undoubtedly reduce the economic activity on the Peninsula
- 1 The IRD is not interested in the small operator who makes less than \$4000 per year, and we believe that the Council should also follow this threshold. Over that level it is a commercial concern and as such these businesses should pay an equitable rate for Economic Development.
- 1 A declaration system such as operates now for 1 or 2 dwellings or the upstairs/downstairs situation should suffice.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

Not relevant to Whangamata.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

Not relevant to Whangamata.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

- 1 Providing the footpaths are only on main thoroughfares we support this with one caveat. Footpaths can and should be provided without kerb and channel which will only add to the necessity for more stormwater infrastructure.
- 1 We support the Kerb and Channelling if it is merely a neat edge to the road, but if it is proper kerbing and channelling we believe that this is an unnecessary expense. Many people like the slightly unformed, beachy, holiday look that we currently have.
- 1 As stated above, kerbing and channelling will add substantially to stormwater problems and create additional expenditure as a consequence.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

- 1 We cannot support this.
- 1 The Wentworth Valley Road Upgrade would benefit a very few ratepayers at considerable cost to the 99.9% of ratepayers who would receive little or no benefit from this.
- 1 Apparently this would cost all Whangamata ratepayers an extra \$50 per year (approximately) in perpetuity.

- 1 The ratepayers living on the road purchased a rural property and should not expect “town” amenities.

- 1 The Cycle Track is a good idea but should not go ahead without a sizeable subsidy (from Central Government?).

- 1 The Cycle Track is not necessarily dependant on the road upgrade.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

Please note that our attachment has more detail regarding the questions above and also addresses other issues which are not included above

Further comments on Rates/Debt.

1) Rates and Debt Levels

- 1 We believe that driving the External Debt down by \$55.5M (\$5.55M per year) to almost zero over the 10 years runs counter the notion of “Intergenerational Equity” as espoused by Local Government.
- 1 The poor old Ratepayer is meeting the total cost of the Eastern Seaboard Wastewater Plants to the tune of \$93M (repayment of the loan, interest on the loan, and depreciation), and now we are expected to pay off the external debt portion of this in a mere ten years when the plants probably have a life of 40 years.
- 1 We have done some calculations (attached) which show that by delaying \$10M of Capital Expenditure from the first 5 years to the second 5 years, and reducing the loan repayments to \$27.6M instead of \$54.5M, after allowing additional interest of \$3.9M, a rate reduction of \$23M would be achieved.
- 1 This would reduce the rates increase from a planned 28.1% to 23.7%.
- 1 This would still leave \$75M of possible additional external debt before the ceiling of \$105M is reached. This ceiling was calculated on our proposed rates for 2024/25 of \$70M (10 Year Plan \$72.4M).
- 1 Loans on Wastewater Plants can be likened to a mortgage on the family home which are generally set up for a 20 to 30 year term. Very few home owners have the ability to pay off a mortgage in 10 years.
- 1 The 10 Year Plan also shows that over the 10 years, residential rates (Land Value \$400k will increase by between 16% in Coromandel (lowest) and 23% in Whangamata (highest) while business rates are reduced by 9% in Thames and remain the same in Whangamata and Mercury Bay. There may be good and valid reasons for doing this, but nobody has explained to the residential ratepayers why businesses are paying less or the same while they are paying up to 23% more.
- 1 In the unlikely event that the Council accepts our plan, any reduction should be applied only to residential rates as business rates have already been treated leniently, when compared with the residential.

2) Accounting Practices

- 1 Under the guise of “Intergenerational Equity” TCDC and Councils up and down the country routinely revalue their assets and thus inflate the depreciation which is then charged as part of the rates.
- 1 To illustrate the difference that this makes; the Revaluation Reserve is projected to be \$800M at 30 June 2015 and increase to \$1167M at 30 June 2025. This is an increase of \$367M in 10 years, and is more than either the projected depreciation or capital expenditure, and not much less than both of these added together.

- 1 This pernicious practice allows Councils access to ever increasing reserves so they can also increase internal debt while all the time telling the ratepayer they are reducing external debt.
- 1 The effect of this practice on a large asset with a long life such as the Wastewater Plants is very significant. At an inflation rate of 1% for 40 years, additional depreciation of \$44M would be charged to ratepayers, while if the rate was 3% a truly eye-watering \$202M would be charged.
- 1 The interest paid on internal debt is currently hidden and should be disclosed by way of a note in the TCDC Accounts.

Other

Further comments.

- 1 We appreciate that there have been major changes to the Council, the Council staff, and the way things are now being done compared with the bad old days of the previous regime.
- 1 However, there are a significant number of major wastewater and water projects in particular across the peninsula.
- 1 The Eastern Seaboard Wastewater Plants loom large in the ratepayer memory banks and the Mercury Bay Sports Project still has its problems.
- 1 We trust that there are no repeats of the past problems.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [Submission on the TCDC Draft Long Term Plan - April 2015 \(1\)](#)

Whangamata Ratepayers Association Submission on the TCDC Draft Long Term Plan 2015/16 to 2024/25

Generally our association has supported this Council and its endeavours, but we wish to comment on the following subjects where we have a differing opinion: -

- 1) Rates and Debt levels
- 2) Accounting Practices
- 3) Bed and Breakfast – New Charges
- 4) Information Centre Changes
- 5) Wentworth Valley Road and Cycle Track
- 6) Whangamata Footpaths, and Kerb and Channelling
- 7) Council’s Ability to Handle Major Projects

1) Rates and Debt Levels

- We believe that driving the External Debt down by \$55.5M (\$5.55M per year) to almost zero over the 10 years runs counter the notion of “Intergenerational Equity” as espoused by Local Government.
- The poor old Ratepayer is meeting the total cost of the Eastern Seaboard Wastewater Plants to the tune of \$93M (repayment of the loan, interest on the loan, and depreciation), and now we are expected to pay off the external debt portion of this in a mere ten years when the plants probably have a life of 40 years.
- We have done some calculations (attached) which show that by delaying \$10M of Capital Expenditure from the first 5 years to the second 5 years, and reducing the loan repayments to \$27.6M instead of \$54.5M, after allowing additional interest of \$3.9M, a rate reduction of \$23M would be achieved.
- This would reduce the rates increase from a planned 28.1% to 23.7%.
- This would still leave \$75M of possible additional external debt before the ceiling of \$105M is reached. This ceiling was calculated on our proposed rates for 2024/25 of \$70M (10 Year Plan \$72.4M).
- Loans on Wastewater Plants can be likened to a mortgage on the family home which are generally set up for a 20 to 30 year term. Very few home owners have the ability to pay off a mortgage in 10 years.
- The 10 Year Plan also shows that over the 10 years, residential rates (Land Value \$400k will increase by between 16% in Coromandel (lowest) and 23% in Whangamata (highest) while business rates are reduced by 9% in Thames and remain the same in Whangamata and Mercury Bay. There may be good and valid reasons for doing this, but nobody has explained to the residential ratepayers why businesses are paying less or the same while they are paying up to 23% more.
- In the unlikely event that the Council accepts our plan, any reduction should be applied only to residential rates as business rates have already been treated leniently, when compared with the residential.

2) Accounting Practices

- Under the guise of “Intergenerational Equity” TCDC and Councils up and down the country routinely revalue their assets and thus inflate the depreciation which is then charged as part of the rates.
- To illustrate the difference that this makes; the Revaluation Reserve is projected to be \$800M at 30 June 2015 and increase to \$1167M at 30 June 2025. This is an increase of \$367M in 10 years, and is more than the either the projected depreciation or capital expenditure, and not much less than both of these added together.
- This pernicious practice allows Councils access to ever increasing reserves so they can also increase internal debt while all the time telling the ratepayer they are reducing external debt.
- The effect of this practice on a large asset with a long life such as the Wastewater Plants is very significant. At an inflation rate of 1% for 40 years, additional depreciation of \$44M would be charged to ratepayers, while if the rate was 3% a truly eye-watering \$202M would be charged.
- The interest paid on internal debt is currently hidden and should be disclosed by way of a note in the TCDC Accounts.

3) Bed and Breakfast – New Charges

- We understand the Council’s desire to bring a “User Pays” approach here, and agree with the principle, but we cannot support the current proposal.
- Without the current “Cottage Industry” approach there would not be enough beds to service events like the Scallop Festival and Beach Hop. This would undoubtedly reduce the economic activity on the Peninsula
- The IRD is not interested in the small operator who makes less than \$4000 per year, and we believe that the Council should also follow this threshold. Over that level it is a commercial concern and as such these businesses should pay an equitable rate for Economic Development.
- A declaration system such as operates now for 1 or 2 dwellings or the upstairs/downstairs situation should suffice.

4) Information Centre Changes

- Again, we cannot support these changes.
- The Council have named Whangamata a “Hub” Centre, so how can we be so poorly treated? Also Tairua and Pauanui are very different communities, but they deserve to have their own I-Site.
- The previous status quo appeared to work, so why not fund it district wide at the previous level.
- The funds could be dispersed by way of two levels. The three large ratepayer areas of Thames, Mercury Bay and Whangamata getting say 22% each, and Coromandel, Tairua and Pauanui each getting say 11% each.
- The Community Boards would still be able rate their own ratepayers if that was not considered to be enough.

- The current system is not working with the (so-called) sub-regional I-SITE in Mercury Bay not cooperating with our Information Centre in Whangamata.

5) Wentworth Valley Road Upgrade and Cycle Track

- We cannot support this.
- The Wentworth Valley Road Upgrade would benefit a very few ratepayers at considerable cost to the 99.9% of ratepayers who would receive little or no benefit from this.
- Apparently this would cost all Whangamata ratepayers an extra \$50 per year (approximately) in perpetuity.
- The ratepayers living on the road purchased a rural property and should not expect “town” amenities.
- The Cycle Track is a good idea but should not go ahead without a sizeable subsidy (from Central Government?).
- The Cycle Track is not necessarily dependant on the road upgrade.

6) Whangamata Footpaths, and Kerb and Channelling

- Providing the footpaths are only on main thoroughfares we support this with one caveat. Footpaths can and should be provided without kerb and channel which will only add to the necessity for more stormwater infrastructure.
- We support the Kerb and Channelling if it is merely a neat edge to the road, but if it is proper kerbing and channelling we believe that this is an unnecessary expense. Many people like the slightly unformed, beachy, holiday look that we currently have.
- As stated above, kerbing and channelling will add substantially to stormwater problems and create additional expenditure as a consequence.

7) Council’s Ability to Handle Major Projects

- We appreciate that there have been major changes to the Council, the Council staff, and the way things are now being done compared with the bad old days of the previous regime.
- However, there are a significant number of major wastewater and water projects in particular across the peninsula.
- The Eastern Seaboard Wastewater Plants loom large in the ratepayer memory banks and the Mercury Bay Sports Project still has its problems.
- We trust that there are no repeats of the past problems.

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr David Crocker (59737)
Email Address	crosswords@xtra.co.nz
Address	304 Brown Street THAMES Thames 0930
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr David Crocker
Submission ID	LTP15_126
Response Date	9/04/15 1:09 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

No the rate payers should not have to pay the whole cost there should be considerable 'development contributions' from people who are building new subdivisions / houses etc in the area. These developers should pay the majority of the costs.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

Yes - the cost should be shared across a greater number of people.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

The cost of toilets should be shared across a greater number of people.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

Keep them district funded until more data is collected re how much they are used. You need to collect data re how much foot traffic/ how many customers are they getting and what info are they giving out; is it just local info or do people in Tairua ask for info on Thames etc.

Do those businesses advertised in the Info Centres pay a small levy? Should they pay a levy?

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

No keep the status quo at the moment, because they aren't occupied all year round, so for the majority of the year there is no burden on facilities amenities etc. They are only occupied for few months a year.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

Yes because running a B and B is usually a commercial business enterprise.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

Yes those residents who would normally get a rate rebate because of their small income should still be entitled to one.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Please tell us why.

Some 'granny flats' are occupied all the time (by teenagers, or elderly family members) so the full rates should be paid. It's too hard for TCDC to check which flats are only used by casual visitors and which are occupied all the time. So there should be no rebate.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

Yes, so long as locals are exempt, everyone else should pay.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

Yes, we need to promote Thames, because we need visitors to stop in Thames (throughout the year) and not use it as the "Gateway to the Coromandel". We don't get the big boost from tourism in the summer months that the 'beach' towns do get. We need a flourishing economy all year round, as we have a permanent population in Thames that needs employment, customers, income etc. We also have the climate that means walks and cycle trails can be used all year round.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

I don't know enough about this issue or the area to comment.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

Yes - Let's have more initiatives that will encourage people to visit the area and will provide activities that are healthy and fun for locals and tourists too.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

Yes - sounds interesting. It is always good to plant native trees, and more places for people to walk will be beneficial for the region.

Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

As part of this initiative to promote Thames, I also feel there also should be an "Arts Strategy" in the Plan with a budget allocated for the Arts. This is needed for the Coromandel region to draw people here all year round and especially in the 'off season'. We have lots of artists and crafts people in the area and a colourful history of arts and crafts; we should enhance this aspect of our region utilise these people and promote what we have on offer to tourists etc. We could be an arts and crafts destination which would have social and economic benefits for all. We need an arts and crafts 'promoter' for the Coromandel area as well as Thames promoter.

Thames - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

Also to make Thames attractive for locals and visitors we also to tidy up our act re our grass verges, berms, weeds etc in public areas. A lot of Thames looks untidy and although a "Request for Service" means the job is done, it would be better if it was kept tidy all the time. Once places start to look untidy, vandalism and tagging often follows.

Further to my previous comment re promoting Thames, I think there should be a serious push to pedestrianise Grahamstown (especially on market days). The Grahamstown Saturday market already draws people to Thames but, due to the lack of space, it is now at full capacity (for the number of stalls).

So we need to make space for more stalls by closing the road. Stopping cars going through, will also make the whole 'market experience' more enjoyable, and of course a bigger market means more customers (from outside of Thames) more money for our people. This is one initiative that the "Thames Promoter' could take on board ASAP.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Vaughan Austen (59508)
Email Address	vaughan@kopu.co.nz
Address	53 kopu road Thames 3578
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Vaughan Austen
Submission ID	LTP15_128
Response Date	9/04/15 1:11 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

. Thames - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

We would like to propose a Commercial Wharf in Kopu. There are many benefits for this.

Will support and bring work to the area of Kopu.

Fishing Charter possibilities, Ferry service to Coromandel, Auckland etc

River cruises to Paeroa, and back from Kaiaua (after bikers have used the new Kopu-Kaiaua rail trail)

Boat repairs at Kopu

Entry point to the new Kopu-Kaiaua Rail Trail

We would be happy to support financially to some degree.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078687571

Email

Email vaughan@kopu.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Chris Emmett (59776)
Email Address	chris.emmett@surflifesaving.org.nz
Company / Organisation	SLSNZ
Address	PO Box 4667 Mt Maunganui 3149
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	SLSNZ (Mr Chris Emmett)
Submission ID	LTP15_129
Response Date	9/04/15 1:19 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	TCDC Draft Long Term Plan Submission 2015 - 2018.pdf

. Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

[Submitter has included table on pages 5 and 6 of their submission which supports their requests for funding]

4. Regional Lifeguard Funding Requirements

4.1 Maintaining Current Core Service Levels

2015-2018 2015 – 2016 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35 -

Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56 -

Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92 -

Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70 -

Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44 -

Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84 -

Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

2016 – 2017 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35 -

Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56 -

Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92 -

Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70 -

Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44 -

Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84 -

Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

2017 – 2018 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35 -

Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56 -

Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92 -

Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70 -

Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44 -

Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84 -

Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

5.1 Reporting

Surf Life Saving New Zealand will undertake a full review of the service on completion of the services provided. This review along with a written report will be completed and reported back to the Council within one (1) month of the contract completion.

Report provided to each Council will include:

- Summary of patrol statistics

- Type of rescues performed, equipment used

- Details on types of first aids performed and cause

- Detail of any influences on the delivery of the service, e.g. weather conditions, king tides, events occurring in the area.

Any other information that will assist in the delivery of the service now and in the future.

Any recommendations to improve the service, or the safety of beach goers.

5.2 Surf Life Saving New Zealand Responsibilities

Surf Life Saving New Zealand will be responsible for and supply the following:

1. Recruitment, appointment and human resource management related to this service along with any transportation of personnel and equipment.
2. Supply of rescue and first aid equipment, IRB's (inflatable rescue boats), communication equipment at locations where a SLSNZ affiliated Club is located.
3. Supply of Clubrooms for administering first aid, storage of all equipment, and staff requirements at locations here where a SLSNZ affiliated Club is located.

. Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

Priority One: Tidal Patrol Season Extension - Hot Water Beach - \$9,000.00

- Active Lifesaving Services need to be extended through the whole of the month of March (5 Weeks), this would mean extending the Tidal Patrols of 3 Lifeguards for 6 hours a day during midweek periods.
- Evidence supports that this extension in service is critical to maintaining a safe environment at Hot Water Beach.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016 Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017 Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018 Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

. Tairua-Pauanui Community Space

Further comments on the Tairua-Pauanui Community Space activity.

Priority Four: Anniversary Weekend Extension – Tairua & Pauanui - \$5,300.00

- For this up and coming season Auckland Anniversary Weekend is observed on the 1st of February 2016, this will extend the period where people will take their Holidays into the last week of January. This has been the case in previous seasons when this has happened

- We would like to extend the Regional Lifeguard Patrolling Season at both the Tairua and Pauanui venues.
 - This would be a flagged area with 3 lifeguards stationed at each area.
- Funding Consideration 2015/2016 Tairua/Pauanui Community Board \$5,300.00

· Whangamata Community Spaces

Further comments on the Whangamata Community Spaces activity.

Priority Two: Whangamata Wharf Green Zones – Whangamata Wharf - \$7,800.00

- With the opening up of the Whangamata Wharf Green Zones there is a demonstrated need for this to be patrolled, currently we are recommending a 7 day a week three week period from Friday 25th of December through to Sunday 10th of January.
- To fall within our own Health and Safety Regulations this satellite service would need to have 2 lifeguards stationed at all times.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016 Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017 Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018 Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

Priority Three: Whangamata Main Beach Patrol Extension – Whangamata - \$4,000.00

- The traditional holiday season is stretching out at Whangamata and we are now recommending keeping a flagged zone in operation during the midweek periods up until Waitangi Weekend.
- This would be operated by 3 Regional Lifeguards.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016 Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017 Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018 Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0274571023

Email

Email chris.emmett@surflifesaving.org.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [TCDC Draft Long Term Plan Suibmission 2015 - 2018.pdf](#)

Surf Life Saving New Zealand Provision of a Regional Lifeguard Service 2015 - 2018 Thames Coromandel District Council



Prepared By: Chris Emmett – Eastern Region Manager
Date: 05th April 2015

Contents:

1. Executive Summary
2. Community Needs Identified
3. Recommended Service Provision 2015 - 2018
4. Regional Lifeguard Funding Requirements
5. Reporting & Responsibilities

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Introduction

Surf Lifesaving New Zealand's purpose and vision is "To save lives by protecting communities through our people"; this is a massive undertaking and remains a constant challenge for the organisation. To be effective we must build strong partnerships on a national, regional and local level so we can integrate our safety messages and water culture throughout the entire country. Maintaining a strong partnership with Thames Coromandel District Council is an essential element of protecting the community and its visitors within the Coromandel Region.

Surf Lifesaving Eastern Region consists of 19 clubs stretching from Hot Water Beach in the Northern Coromandel Area through to Midway Beach the Gisborne/Poverty Bay Area. We are aligned nationally with three other Regions (Northern/Central/Southern) and together we provide Regional Lifeguard Services across beaches throughout New Zealand. This allows us to take a consistent and aligned approach to funding submissions and service delivery.

We are currently focused producing outcomes of:

- **Vibrant, active clubs** with strong membership bases.
- **Financial sustainability** for the movement.
- **Increased capability** for operational excellence.
- **Credibility** - as a frontline rescue organisation and as a sport.
- **A greater presence in prevention and education.**

2. Community Needs Identified

2.1 Objectives

The Regional Lifeguard Service covers the mid-week periods during summer School Holidays and peak tourism season including all Public Holidays, volunteers from the individual clubs cover the weekends from Labour Weekend to Easter. It is essential that in the eyes of the public what is projected onto the beach is as seamless as possible and a high level of professionalism is maintained. This is achieved through having one Regional body working in conjunction with the Coromandel Area Clubs. The main objective of the Regional Lifeguard Service is to provide a safe aquatic environment in and around the beaches which in turn will assist maximising the Coromandel Area tourism potential, aquatic usage and safety.

Key supporting factors to achieving the above objective is to reduce the number of rescues required by performing preventative actions. This may include advising against swimming in a designated area because of:

- Sea conditions such as rips, holes, strong undertows, and the size of the surf and force of waves which may be considered dangerous.
- Presence of stingers in the water such as jellyfish and stingrays.
- Presence of dangerous/high risk sea life such as sharks.
- Pollution problems.
- Inappropriate or incorrect use of surfboards, boogie boards or other floatation devices used in the water.
- Warning swimmers who are venturing past safe limits in relation to their swimming abilities.

Proactive preventative actions aim to prevent beach users from getting into danger while at the beach and educate them in ways to enjoy the sea environment safely through interaction with the surf lifeguards. If conditions are deemed unsafe for swimming, the lifeguards remain on duty to advise the public against swimming, and perform any preventative actions or rescues as required throughout the day.

Should people become endangered, the safe return of people to the beach, without drowning or injury, is a surf lifeguard's main objective.

Regional Lifeguards also provide the following services to the public and emergency services should the need arise:

- Administer first aid.
- Carry out searches (shore or sea based).
- Call emergency services should the seriousness of an incident require it.
- Work with the Coastguard and Police as required.
- Provide important information to the public.
- Deliver public education messages proactively and directly to beach users.
- Informing public of council bylaws with regards to animals.

2.2 Who Will Benefit

- People of all ages, gender and cultural backgrounds
- Local residents
- Beach going public
- Visitors and Tourism within the Coromandel Area
- The Regional Lifeguard Service provides employment for local youth
- Local businesses

2.3 Link to Thames-Coromandel District Council Vision

Providing a Regional Lifeguard Service links into the Thames-Coromandel District Council Vision in several key Areas:

- We will be a leading district council in New Zealand through the provision of quality services and facilities which are affordable, and delivered with a high standard of customer service. *The Regional Lifeguard Service is a quality service that is affordable and works to a high standard.*
- We will earn respect, both as a good community citizen and through our support of community organisations, economic development and the protection of the environment. *The Regional Lifeguard Service is based around a solid community backbone that is part of the Coromandel area fabric.*
- Through our actions, the Coromandel will be the most desirable area of New Zealand in which to live, work and visit. *Actions of having safe beaches contribute to making the Coromandel a desirable area to live in.*

3. Recommended Service Provision 2015 - 2018

3.1 Core Service Regional Lifeguard Service Provision for the Coromandel Area

The Recommended Core Level of Service outlined below will be mirrored on corresponding weeks for the 2015/2016 Season, 2016/2017 and the 2017/2018 season.

Week	Location	Number of Lifeguards (Head/Senior/Junior)
Week 1 Training Week 14 th Dec – 20 th Dec	2 Day Training/Induction for all Coromandel Regional Lifeguards	5 x Head Regional Lifeguards 15 x Senior Lifeguards 7 x Junior Lifeguards
Week 2 14 th Dec – 20 th Dec	Hot Water Beach (TP) Whangamata (MB)	3 (1/1/1) 3 (1/1/1)
Week 3 Christmas Week 21 st Dec – 27 th Dec	Hot Water Beach Tairua Pauanui Whangamata (MB) Whangamata (SB)	5 (1/2/2) 4 (1/2/1) 4 (1/2/1) 5 (1/2/2) 3 (0/1/2)
Week 4 New Year's Week 28 th Dec – 3 rd Jan	Hot Water Beach Tairua Pauanui Onemana Whangamata (MB) Whangamata (SB)	7 (1/3/3) 4 (1/2/1) 5 (1/2/2) 3 (1/1/1) 5 (1/2/2) 3 (0/1/2)
Week 5 4 th Jan – 10 th Jan	Hot Water Beach Tairua Pauanui Onemana Whangamata (MB) Whangamata (SB)	7 (1/3/3) 4 (1/2/2) 5 (1/2/2) 3 (1/1/1) 5 (1/2/2) 3 (0/1/2)
Week 6 11 th Jan – 17 th Jan	Hot Water Beach Tairua Pauanui Onemana Whangamata (MB)	5 (1/2/2) 3 (1/1/1) 4 (1/2/1) 3 (1/1/1) 5 (1/2/2)

512

Week 7 18 th Jan – 24 th Jan	Hot Water Beach Tairua Pauanui Onemana Whangamata (MB)	5 (1/2/2) 3 (1/1/1) 4 (1/2/1) 3 (1/1/1) 4 (1/2/1)
Week 8 25 th Jan – 31 st Jan	Hot Water Beach Whangamata (MB)	4 (1/2/2) 4 (1/2/1)
Week 9 1 st Feb – 7 th Feb	Hot Water Beach (TP)	3 (1/1/1)
Week 10 8 th Feb – 14 th Feb	Hot Water Beach (TP)	3 (1/1/1)
Week 11 15 th Feb – 21 st Feb	Hot Water Beach (TP)	3 (1/1/1)
Week 12 22 nd Feb – 28 th Feb	Hot Water Beach (TP)	3 (1/1/1)

Notes:

- a) Hot Water Beach (TP): This means Tidal Patrol and is 6 hours per day and works around the low tides.
- b) Whangamata (MB): Whangamata Main Beach Patrol Area.
- c) Whangamata (SB): Whangamata South Beach Patrol Area.
- d) Week 2 at Whangamata (SB) Regional Lifeguards Start on Christmas Day making this a 3 day week.
- e) South Beach Regional Lifeguard Patrols are 7 days a week as per Appendix 2.

4. Regional Lifeguard Funding Requirements

4.1 Maintaining Current Core Service Levels 2015-2018

2015 – 2016 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35

- Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56
- Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92
- Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70
- Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44
- Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84
- Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

2016 – 2017 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35

- Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56
- Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92
- Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70
- Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44
- Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84
- Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

2017 – 2018 Regional Lifeguard Service Total Expenditure - \$165,949.35

- Hot Water Beach – 11 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$52,692.56
- Tairua – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$22,396.92
- Pauanui – 5 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$26,829.70
- Onemana – 4 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$15,010.44
- Whangamata Main Beach – 7 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$35,760.84
- Whangamata South Beach – 3 Week Regional Lifeguard Service - \$13,259.19

Funding Requested 2015/2016

Thames Coromandel District Council \$132,000.00

Hamilton City Council \$19,000.00

Waikato Regional Council \$15,000.00

4.2 Additional Regional Lifeguard Service Priorities

4.2.1 Priority One: Tidal Patrol Season Extension - Hot Water Beach - \$9,000.00

- Active Lifesaving Services need to be extended through the whole of the month of March (5 Weeks), this would mean extending the Tidal Patrols of 3 Lifeguards for 6 hours a day during midweek periods.
- Evidence supports that this extension in service is critical to maintaining a safe environment at Hot Water Beach.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016

Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017

Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018

Whitianga Community Board \$9,000.00

4.2.2 Priority Two: Whangamata Wharf Green Zones – Whangamata Wharf - \$7,800.00

- With the opening up of the Whangamata Wharf Green Zones there is a demonstrated need for this to be patrolled, currently we are recommending a 7 day a week three week period from Friday 25th of December through to Sunday 10th of January.
- To fall within our own Health and Safety Regulations this satellite service would need to have 2 lifeguards stationed at all times.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016

Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017

Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018

Whangamata Community Board \$7,800.00

4.2.3 Priority Three: Whangamata Main Beach Patrol Extension – Whangamata - \$4,000.00

- The traditional holiday season is stretching out at Whangamata and we are now recommending keeping a flagged zone in operation during the midweek periods up until Waitangi Weekend.
- This would be operated by 3 Regional Lifeguards.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016

Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

Funding Consideration 2016/2017

Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

Funding Consideration 2017/2018

Whangamata Community Board \$4,000.00

4.2.4 Priority Four: Anniversary Weekend Extension – Tairua & Pauanui - \$5,300.00

- For this up and coming season Auckland Anniversary Weekend is observed on the 1st of February 2016, this will extend the period where people will take their Holidays into the last week of January. This has been the case in previous seasons when this has happened
- We would like to extend the Regional Lifeguard Patrolling Season at both the Tairua and Pauanui venues.
- This would be a flagged area with 3 lifeguards stationed at each area.

Funding Consideration 2015/2016

Tairua/Pauanui Community Board \$5,300.00

5. Reporting & Responsibilities

5.1 Reporting

Surf Life Saving New Zealand will undertake a full review of the service on completion of the services provided. This review along with a written report will be completed and reported back to the Council within one (1) month of the contract completion.

Report provided to each Council will include:

- Summary of patrol statistics
- Type of rescues performed, equipment used
- Details on types of first aids performed and cause
- Detail of any influences on the delivery of the service, e.g. weather conditions, king tides, events occurring in the area.
- Any other information that will assist in the delivery of the service now and in the future.
- Any recommendations to improve the service, or the safety of beach goers.

5.2 Surf Life Saving New Zealand Responsibilities

Surf Life Saving New Zealand will be responsible for and supply the following:

1. Recruitment, appointment and human resource management related to this service along with any transportation of personnel and equipment.
2. Supply of rescue and first aid equipment, IRB's (inflatable rescue boats), communication equipment at locations where a SLSNZ affiliated Club is located.
3. Supply of Clubrooms for administering first aid, storage of all equipment, and staff requirements at locations here where a SLSNZ affiliated Club is located.

Make Submission

Consultee	Morrie Dunwoodie (59351)
Email Address	dunwoodiemorrie@gmail.com
Company / Organisation	Heritage Hauraki Coromandel
Address	505 The Terrace Thames 3500
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Heritage Hauraki Coromandel (Morrie Dunwoodie)
Submission ID	LTP15_130
Response Date	9/04/15 1:48 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

As a regular attendee at the Heritage Hauraki Coromandel meetings, over the last five years, convened by Council's Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity Manager, I was nominated to make a submission requesting to reinstate the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity with an accompanying budget, to continue the co-ordination of heritage groups, initiatives and activities between the Council and community across the District. There is no reference to the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity in

the Ten Year Plan and there is no recognition of the importance of our heritage and the economic benefit it brings to the District in terms of the many tourist attractions that educate and inform about the historical activities that were so prevalent in our past and formed a vital part in the settlement of the area.

There needs to be support for the proposed Coromandel Heritage Region which I understand is a 20-50 year vision and retaining Council's Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity Manager is extremely important to continue coordinating the heritage groups across the district. The Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity was insignificant/miniscule in terms of Council budget and it served the following purposes:

- it provided a single 'district level' contact point within TCDC for matters relating to heritage (i.e. to help coordinate initiatives and activities e.g. heritage content on the Destination Coromandel website, Heritage Hauraki Coromandel Forum, etc)
- it signalled that TCDC put at least some priority on the preservation of heritage values (even if the budget was not sufficient)
- it was a way to coordinate heritage matters across TCDC and was a way to avoid duplication across Council

The members of Heritage Hauraki Coromandel request that Council make a commitment to continue the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity and also make provision to update the Council's 'Heritage Strategy' which was last reviewed in 2008. Not a lot of financial commitment is required, but coordination and commitment is necessary.

Heritage should be recognised as an important economic development driver in the TCDC area and heritage should be recognised as important in terms of the following Council outcomes:

"A prosperous district - the Coromandel Peninsula has a prosperous economy"

"A liveable district - the Coromandel Peninsula is a preferred area of New Zealand in which to live, work and raise a family and have a safe and satisfying life"

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0274727698

Email

Email dunwoodiemorrie@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Daniel Bridges (59801)
Email Address	daniel@trademe.co.nz
Company / Organisation	Trade Me Limited
Address	PO Box 11042 Manners Street Wellington 6011
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Trade Me Limited (Mr Daniel Bridges)
Submission ID	LTP15_131
Response Date	9/04/15 1:51 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Files	Opposition to the \$200 fee in the TCDC LTP

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Introduction

Trade Me operates the Holiday Houses website, which advertises about one thousand holiday houses in the Coromandel region. Our clients are the owners and property managers of these holiday houses, and the bulk of our listings are from individual owners who occasionally rent out their holiday house.

The Long Term Plan (LTP) consultation document states, "in the footer of Council emails is a tag line which reads 'Coromandel will be New Zealand's most desirable place to live, work and visit.'" The proposed \$200 targeted rate on holiday house rentals, however, would make at least the last of those goals more difficult.

Absentee owners of holiday houses rent their house to offset the costs of owning a holiday house, and to have their own “slice of paradise” to enjoy with family and friends. While they are not using it, though, their house is useful for tourists – both domestic and international.

Applying rules and charges that make it harder to own a holiday house would make them a less attractive investment and would begin to limit the supply of holiday rentals, which are an increasingly important part of the accommodation mix. This would mean fewer options for tourists, and consequently Coromandel would be a less desirable place to visit.

Summary of opposition

Trade Me opposes the \$200 fee, proposed in the LTP, for three key reasons:

- 1 absentee holiday house owners already contribute to economic development through standard rates,
- 2 holiday house rental would become less affordable, posing a risk to the attractiveness of the Coromandel as a tourist destination and
- 3 the fee would not increase investment in economic development, it is merely a means of slightly decreasing one portion of the uniform annual general charge (the UAGC).

Owners already contribute

Council has stated that 55% of residential ratepayers are absentee owners, and that the economic development budget is funded 56% by the UAGC and just 44% from Commercial rates. Therefore about 30% of the entire economic development budget already comes from absentee holiday house owners. Adding an additional charge targeted at absentee owners is out of balance with the benefits they enjoy from activities funded out of the economic development budget.

We understand absentee holiday house owners already pay more than their fair share and receive far less benefit than permanent residents when you consider that their houses are occupied so infrequently.

Rentals would be less affordable

The \$200 fee, and the proposed restrictions we've opposed during the District Plan process, are forming a pattern of active disincentives to own and maintain a holiday house in the Coromandel. Simply put, it appears as if TCDC is trying to have fewer holiday houses in the region by making it more expensive to own one.

A natural consequence of more regulation and increased costs will be a reduction of holiday house stock, or at the very least a reduction in the maintenance and improvements of those houses as owners will find it more difficult to rent their properties out.

This will reduce spending on improvements (to builders, plumbers, and other service providers) and will mean poorly-maintained housing stock, and fewer quality options for those tourists who prefer to stay in the homely, spacious accommodation that holiday houses provide.

No change to economic development investment

The proposed fee will not see any more investment in economic development. Council has not even provided any guarantees that the \$252,000 expected to be earned through this fund will go specifically towards tourism initiatives.

The only thing the targeted fee achieves is a slight reduction in the UAGC – just \$8.91 per ratepayer – by tipping funding out of one bucket and into another.

We've already shown that absentee owners pay more than their fair share for economic development given their actual usage and benefit. All the fee means is that these absentee owners will be subsidising the whole ratepayer base.

Recommendation

We believe the \$200 fee should be dropped because it is unfair and counter to the Council's stated objective. It is one of a group of changes in this area that threaten to make the Coromandel a less desirable place to visit.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 021896186

Email

Email daniel@trademe.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is not based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [Opposition to the \\$200 fee in the TCDC LTP](#)
[Opposition to the \\$200 fee in the TCDC LTP](#)
Please find attached the detail of my opposition

Opposition to the proposed \$200 targeted rate on holiday houses

Trade Me Limited, on behalf of owners listed on holidayhouses.co.nz

9th April, 2015

Introduction

Trade Me operates the Holiday Houses website, which advertises about one thousand holiday houses in the Coromandel region. Our clients are the owners and property managers of these holiday houses, and the bulk of our listings are from individual owners who occasionally rent out their holiday house.

The Long Term Plan (LTP) consultation document states, “in the footer of Council emails is a tag line which reads ‘Coromandel will be New Zealand’s most desirable place to live, work and visit.’” The proposed \$200 targeted rate on holiday house rentals, however, would make at least the last of those goals more difficult.

Absentee owners of holiday houses rent their house to offset the costs of owning a holiday house, and to have their own “slice of paradise” to enjoy with family and friends. While they are not using it, though, their house is useful for tourists – both domestic and international.

Applying rules and charges that make it harder to own a holiday house would make them a less attractive investment and would begin to limit the supply of holiday rentals, which are an increasingly important part of the accommodation mix. This would mean fewer options for tourists, and consequently Coromandel would be a less desirable place to visit.

Summary of opposition

Trade Me opposes the \$200 fee, proposed in the LTP, for three key reasons:

1. absentee holiday house owners already contribute to economic development through standard rates,
2. holiday house rental would become less affordable, posing a risk to the attractiveness of the Coromandel as a tourist destination and
3. the fee would not increase investment in economic development, it is merely a means of slightly decreasing one portion of the uniform annual general charge (the UAGC).

Owners already contribute

Council has stated that 55% of residential ratepayers are absentee owners, and that the economic development budget is funded 56% by the UAGC and just 44% from Commercial rates. Therefore about 30% of the entire economic development budget already comes from absentee holiday house owners. Adding an additional charge targeted at absentee owners is out of balance with the benefits they enjoy from activities funded out of the economic development budget.

We understand absentee holiday house owners already pay more than their fair share and receive far less benefit than permanent residents when you consider that their houses are occupied so infrequently.

Rentals would be less affordable

The \$200 fee, and the proposed restrictions we've opposed during the District Plan process, are forming a pattern of active disincentives to own and maintain a holiday house in the Coromandel. Simply put, it appears as if TCDC is trying to have fewer holiday houses in the region by making it more expensive to own one.

A natural consequence of more regulation and increased costs will be a reduction of holiday house stock, or at the very least a reduction in the maintenance and improvements of those houses as owners will find it more difficult to rent their properties out.

This will reduce spending on improvements (to builders, plumbers, and other service providers) and will mean poorly-maintained housing stock, and fewer quality options for those tourists who prefer to stay in the homely, spacious accommodation that holiday houses provide.

No change to economic development investment

The proposed fee will not see any more investment in economic development. Council has not even provided any guarantees that the \$252,000 expected to be earned through this fund will go specifically towards tourism initiatives.

The only thing the targeted fee achieves is a slight reduction in the UAGC – just \$8.91 per ratepayer – by tipping funding out of one bucket and into another.

We've already shown that absentee owners pay more than their fair share for economic development given their actual usage and benefit. All the fee means is that these absentee owners will be subsidising the whole ratepayer base.

Recommendation

We believe the \$200 fee should be dropped because it is unfair and counter to the Council's stated objective. It is one of a group of changes in this area that threaten to make the Coromandel a less desirable place to visit.

Make Submission

Consultee	Thames Community Board (58240)
Email Address	larissa.doherty@tcdc.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Thames Community Board
Address	Private Bag Thames 3540
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Thames Community Board (Thames Community Board)
Submission ID	LTP15_132
Response Date	9/04/15 1:58 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.9

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
 - . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.
- Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .*

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

Thames Community Board Area - Local Economic Development

Decisions sought:

If the proposed funding of \$90,000 per annum for promotion and marketing activity is not approved by Council that a budget of \$15,000 per annum be adopted for provision of town centre flags along with other marketing and promotion activity.

Reasons

If the Thames Community Board Area - Local Economic Development proposal is adopted it is intended that part of the budget be specified for town centre flags.

Thames currently has one set of 'Happy Holidays' flags that are at the end of their useful life.

The Community Board is often approached by the public asking why flags aren't flown more throughout the year.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

Landscaping for Thames WW100 Memorial Forest

Decisions sought:

That additional budget be included of \$10,000 to prepare the Thames WW100 Memorial Forest site prior to planting.

Reason

To ensure the site is ready for planting that is scheduled to start in 2016.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

District Arts Strategy

Decisions sought:

That the Council allocate funding towards development and implementation of a district Arts Strategy.

Reason

Feedback from the community has been that a district Arts Strategy is important for the district but there is currently no budget provided to advance or implement the proposed strategy.

. Thames - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

Additional budget for Brown Street toilets upgrade

Decisions sought:

That additional budget be included in year one for Brown Street Toilet minor upgrade of \$22,000.

That additional budget be included in year four for replacement of Brown Street toilets of \$150,000.

Reasons

The Brown Street toilets are considered below standard. Minor upgrade work in year one would bring the toilets up to a reasonable standard for the short term, until a full replacement can take place in year four as part of a future Victoria Park upgrade.

Minor upgrade work in year one would include painting and terraflapping, new signs, taps, LED lighting and door furniture.

Thames Monument Restoration and Reserve Landscaping

Decisions sought:

That the current budget for the Thames Monument Restoration and Reserve Landscaping be increased in year one from \$15,000 to \$35,000

Reason

An application for funding for a Monument Restoration Plan has been lodged with Lotteries Heritage Fund.

It is intended that once the Monument Restoration Plan is completed that the Thames Community Board would apply for Lotteries funding to undertake restoration and landscaping works to upgrade the monument for the on-going WW100 commemorations.

The Lotteries application will expect Council to provide one third of the total estimated cost of \$105,000.

Grants Fund for Thames Goldfields Discovery 150 th Anniversary

Decisions sought:

That additional budget of \$50,000 for a contestable grants fund specifically for celebratory events and projects in and around Thames to celebrate the sesquicentennial anniversary of the Goldfields discovery in 2017.

Reason

This is an important anniversary for Thames and this one-off contestable fund would be used to help facilitate projects and events throughout 2017.

Landscaping for relocated Cooks Landing Monument

Decisions sought:

That additional budget be included in year one of \$15,000 for landscaping the when the Cooks Landing Monument is relocated.

Reason

The Monument is currently in storage and the Kopu community has requested that a new site be established as soon as possible.

The Monument is the property of the Ministry of Culture and Heritage and they will cover the cost of relocating it to its new site in Kopu.

The Monument is to be sited so that it becomes an attraction for users of the Hauraki Rail Trail and it will be a focal point for the anniversary of Cooks Landing in 2019.

Thames Central Business District Specimen Trees

Decisions sought:

That an additional budget of \$12,000 be provided for the replacement of specimen trees in Pollen Street, Thames

Reason

The 15 existing Melia trees in Pollen Street have outgrown their in-ground containers and are structurally unsound and will continue to decline in health.

Increase to the original capital contribution from the Thames Community Board to the Thames Skate Park project

Decisions sought:

That the current capital contribution of \$80,000 in 2014/2015 be increased by \$50,000 in year one subject to:

- 1 . Additional \$50,000 community and /or corporate contribution to the project
- 2 . Public grants being confirmed at \$100,000
- 3 . Expression of interest process confirming a deliverable skate park within the amended budget

Reason

The costs for the provision of the skate park have come back higher than was expected.

As a result the Thames Community Board resolved to continue with the project with an increased budget subject to the conditions listed under 'Decisions sought'

. Other

Further comments.

TOPIC - District Heritage Funding

Decisions sought

That the Council reinstate the Heritage Assistance Fund of \$30,000 per annum that used to sit in the Natural and Cultural Heritage Activity.

Reason

To assist with the district Heritage Park concept initiative.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078680380

Email

Email larissa.doherty@tcdc.govt.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. None of these options describe me

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3794718.pdf>

Submission Point	Reasons
<p><u>TOPIC - Increase to the original capital contribution from the Thames Community Board to the Thames Skate Park project</u></p> <p><u>Decisions sought:</u> That the current capital contribution of \$80,000 in 2014/2015 be increased by \$50,000 in year one subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional \$50,000 community and /or corporate contribution to the project • Public grants being confirmed at \$100,000 • Expression of interest process confirming a deliverable skate park within the amended budget 	<p>The costs for the provision of the skate park have come back higher than was expected.</p> <p>As a result the Thames Community Board resolved to continue with the project with an increased budget subject to the conditions listed under 'Decisions sought'</p>

Make Submission

Consultee	Morrie Dunwoodie (59807)
Email Address	dunwoodiemorrie@gmail.com
Address	505 The Terrace Thames 3500
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Morrie Dunwoodie
Submission ID	LTP15_133
Response Date	9/04/15 2:05 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Lawrey (59779)
Email Address	splitbox1@gmail.com
Address	79 northland rd. northland. wellington 6012
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Lawrey
Submission ID	LTP15_134
Response Date	9/04/15 2:12 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

There should not be a charge to short term accommodation providers as they bring in new visitors and income into the region. They are attracting people who may well not come otherwise. Not all visitors wish to stay in a row of motel units or a holiday park. Let's celebrate and encourage innovative accommodation options.

Furthermore this is just another cash cow for the council. How about a bit of equality for ratepayers.

As a property owner in the north why is there no reduction in my rates for not using council supplied sewerage and water supply services? We provide our own at great cost including council permission for it. User pays applies both ways.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Lesley McCormick (59775)
Email Address	lesleymcc@xtra.co.nz
Address	PO Box 422 Whitianga 3542
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Lesley McCormick
Submission ID	LTP15_135
Response Date	9/04/15 2:21 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

The Council has no alternative but to move the debt to rates.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

Stormwater planning has been addressed by each community. The local community have to date determined the level of service that is acceptable to them then set in place the best solutions to meet those expectation. The graph only shows the impact in year one and dose not reflect the impact of capital expenditure that is planned over the ensuing yrsrs, therefore is very deceptive. The community that has the largest problem that needs to be addressed is Thames, due to its location and proximity to the hills. The Thames has a costly time ahead and to me it appears they are looking for ways to spread the burden across everyone. Stormwater is site and catchment specific, therefore local funding should remain.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

This Council has one of the highest number of public conveniences per ratepayer than any of the neighboring Districts, and probably a similar number as Auckland. The reason being we are a coastal district with high visitor numbers to our many beaches many in isolated locations. This activity was moved to District funding to better reflect the people cost of these facilities. In my calculations Mercury bay has 31 of the 84 toilets and proposes to build 2 more new facilities. These facilites are at locations of high tourist destinations. The graphs show that in year one Mercury Bay ratepayers benefit from the move to local funding in year one. It does not take into consideration the \$1mil it intends to spend over the first 3 years of the plan. Mercury Bay ratepayers will be much greater impacted by the provision of more public conveniences for the tourists. Also I cannot beleive that as mercury bay has the greatest number of public conveniences thatthe operational costs will be greater than other areas. This is definitely one activity that must remain District funded, as the provision of Public Conveniences is directly related to visitors/tourists.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

Once again this district has more cemeteries than most Districts. Hamilton city for example has one! The only area providing a new cemetery is Mercury Bay with the land purchase and the initial development being funded by Mercury Bay, while at this time Mercury Bay ratepayers were helping fund all the other District wide cemteries. Add to this the additional impact on the small Coromandel Community of 2500 ratepayers to pay for there cemeteries which are historic.This activity should remain District funded and if Council is concerned about the development costs of the new Mercury Bay cemetery then charge the Mercury Bay ratepayers for the development costs with the operational costs of all cemeteries shared equally across the District.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

Information Centres are an integral part of the visitor destination services people have come to expect, however alot more of this information is available on-line. I believe the communities other than Thames and Whitianga need time to determine what is the best level of information service that is appropriate for their local community. I believe there is a great opportunity for such services to be combined with other Council services, eg libraries, service centres, DOC offices, community halls and tourist operators.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

I believe the cost of determining the specific properties and then administering the charge will be over and above the benefit recieved. This Council has over 60% non-resident ratepayers, yet it does most of its planning to meet peak demand. This will not change and all the property owner is doing in many cases is using the revenue gained to pay their rates. Adding to that burden without providing anything in addition service sends the wrong signal about the Peninsula being a desirable place to "live work and play"!

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

While aspects of this proposal for the larger B&Bs I can see merit in I believe, as with the proposal above, the cost of determining and administering this policy along with monitoring will add additional burden and costs to Council and ratepayers for minimal gain.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

I understand the rationale for this policy and as we move into a greater portion of our residents potentially living in these situations I believe the cost to the ratepayers overall will be minimal.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

It has always been Councillor Fox's aim to only introduce fees when improvements have been made at boat ramps. Many wanted this to occur much sooner than now. The MCB proposes to spend money improving both the Dundas Street boat ramp and Robinson Road Boat ramp so it is overdue these charges were introduced.

In keeping with the user pays principle and provision of greater services comes at a cost. The residents of Hahei have been living with the traffic issues as a result of tourist/visitors accessing Cathedral Cove it is timely that charges be introduced for parking and the park&ride service.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

This is a locally funded initiative and therefore for the Thames community to determine, not me.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

This is a locally funded initiative and therefore for the Whangamata community to determine, not me.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

This is a locally funded initiative and therefore for the Whangamata community to determine, not me.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

While the project has merit I believe there are plenty of existing schemes that are re-building our peninsula forests that currently do not draw on any Council funding. Overtime I feel we are making a rod for the ratepayers back.

Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

Mercury Bay:

Whitianga Harbour erosion at Robinson Road Estuary Walkway.

I am part of a group of residents that is submitting to Council to get an action plan in place to stop and control the erosion that is occurring of the reserve edge along the Robinson Road walkway particularly from Lovers Rock towards the waterways canal entrance. The increased number of boats that travel up the channel to their anchorage is seeing the estuary bank eroding at an alarming rate.

We are seeking:

1. Enforcement of the 5knot speed limit of all boats.
2. A replenishment, compaction, contouring and re-vegetation plan along the harbour edge, utilising the dredging's that currently undertaken in the harbour.
3. Communication and monitoring of the erosion withthe local adjacent residents.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0277413230

Email

Email lesleymcc@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Kerry Bingham (59847)
Email Address	kerry.lindy@gmail.com
Address	25B Parker Lane RD2 Pukekohe 2677
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Kerry Bingham
Submission ID	LTP15_136
Response Date	9/04/15 2:28 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? I agree with the extended fees for Mercury Bay boat ramp and trailer parking but not the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
 - . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.
- Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .*

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

Tairua requires adequate support for growth and development. Encourage permanent residents.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Barrie Bayers (59826)
Email Address	barrie.sayers@gmail.com
Address	7 Marquet PLace Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Barrie Bayers
Submission ID	LTP15_137
Response Date	9/04/15 2:30 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

How can Thames and Whitianga be seen as the gateway to the Coromandel . Most visitors bypass Thames and have to go through Tairua to get to Whitianga

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Further comments on the Tairua-Pauanui Community Space activity.

Where I live in Tairua, we have no stormwater drains and are faced with long water restrictions . We have two one lane bridges which in the event of tsunami could cause fatalities. I know they are not the council's responsibilities but council support could help . We have huge problems with our industrial area at Red Bridge road that can only be fixed by Council. Maybe Council should stop building cycle tracks and wasting money on sporting facilities (Whitianga) and get their priorities sorted out for the benefit of the ratepayers

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Lesley McCormick (59886)
Email Address	lesleymcc@xtra.co.nz
Company / Organisation	Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group
Address	PO Box 422 WHITIANGA 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group (Lesley McCormick)
Submission ID	LTP15_138
Response Date	9/04/15 2:33 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	<u>Submission : On behalf Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group</u>

Coastal and Hazard Management

Further comments on the Coastal and Hazard Management activity.

Issue:

The harbour edge bank that borders the Robinson Road walkway reserve and our properties from Lovers Rock to the Waterways entrance is eroding at an alarming rate, and we want to work with Council to provide a long term sustainable solution to halt the erosion and rebuild the bank.

Background:

The canal entrance to the Waterways development runs parallel to the harbour edge and when the canal was first constructed in the early 2000's the canal was as close as 20mtrs from the harbour edge along the estuary reserve. On average the canal is between 50 and 80mtrs max from the edge. With the increasing number of boat movements we have greater harbour/wake wash.

Much of the bank has eroded with many trees and jetties undermined. Photographic evidence is available.

Several of the residents have raised concerns about the erosion with Council officers but no action has been taken.

It is now time for Council to focus on this popular reserve and walkway to take the opportunity to work with the neighbours to come up with a long term solution.

The harbour edge erosion cannot continue to be ignored as the number of boats in the Waterways continue to increase so does the erosion.

Decision Sought:

There are a number of options that we want Council to action:

- 1 Enforcement of the 5 knot speed limit for all boats in the harbour, with particular emphasis on the canal from "Lovers Rock" to the Waterways entrance. The speed limit drops to 3 knots at the canal.
- 2 Meet with the residents on-site to develop a plan to rebuild the banks. We believe a program of sand replenishment along with compaction, contouring and re-vegetation is required. Sand dredging occurs on a regular basis in Whitianga harbour and we want these dredging's utilised to restore the harbour bank and secure the walkway.
- 3 Apply funding to progress the proposed work.
- 4 Continue to monitor the erosion and remedial work in communication with the working group of residents.

Conclusion:

The erosion of the Robinson Road harbour estuary bank adjacent to the houses has been increasing in recent years as the number of boat movements to and from waterways canals increases. Speed of these vessels as they travel up the harbour to their anchorage is not policed therefore skippers do not stick to the speed limit of 5 knots with the resulting wakes eroding the banks.

Residents adjacent to the reserve are seeing plants erode, holes forming in the ground and the bank fall into the harbour.

We want to restore the harbour edge, secure the bank, and re-vegetate the area.

Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

[Submitter requests coastal management work at Robinson Road]

This submission relates to Mercury Bay Community Board Area: Local activities

This submission is on behalf of the residents of Robinson Road, Whitianga, specifically properties with an even number that are located adjacent to the Whitianga Estuary walkway reserve that runs behind these properties.

It is now time for Council to focus on this popular reserve and walkway to take the opportunity to work with the neighbours to come up with a long term solution.

The harbour edge erosion cannot continue to be ignored as the number of boats in the Waterways continue to increase so does the erosion.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0277413230

Email

Email lesleymcc@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) Submission : On behalf Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group

Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore Group
Lesley McCormick
PO Box 422
WHITIANGA 3510

Phone: 07 866 0133
Mob: 027 741 3230

Email: lesleymcc@xtra.co.nz

9 April 2015

TCDC

Submission: 2015-2025 Long Term Plan

We would like to be heard in support of my submission

This submission relates to Mercury Bay Community Board Area: Local activities

This submission is on behalf of the residents of Robinson Road, Whitianga, specifically properties with an even number that are located adjacent to the Whitianga Estuary walkway reserve that runs behind these properties.

These properties are numbers, 2,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,26,28, and 30. All the property owners (14 in total), both resident and non-resident are in support of this submission.

For identification we can be known as the "Robinson Road Harbour Foreshore group."

Issue:

The harbour edge bank that borders the Robinson Road walkway reserve and our properties from Lovers Rock to the Waterways entrance is eroding at an alarming rate, and we want to work with Council to provide a long term sustainable solution to halt the erosion and rebuild the bank.

Background:

The canal entrance to the Waterways development runs parallel to the harbour edge and when the canal was first constructed in the early 2000's the canal was as close as 20mtrs from the harbour edge along the estuary reserve. On average the canal is between 50 and 80mtrs max from the edge. With the increasing number of boat movements we have greater harbour/wake wash.

Much of the bank has eroded with many trees and jetties undermined. Photographic evidence is available.

Several of the residents have raised concerns about the erosion with Council officers but no action has been taken.

It is now time for Council to focus on this popular reserve and walkway to take the opportunity to work with the neighbours to come up with a long term solution.

The harbour edge erosion cannot continue to be ignored as the number of boats in the Waterways continue to increase so does the erosion.

Decision Sought:

There are a number of options that we want Council to action:

1. Enforcement of the 5 knot speed limit for all boats in the harbour, with particular emphasis on the canal from “Lovers Rock” to the Waterways entrance. The speed limit drops to 3 knots at the canal.
2. Meet with the residents on-site to develop a plan to rebuild the banks. We believe a program of sand replenishment along with compaction, contouring and re-vegetation is required. Sand dredging occurs on a regular basis in Whitianga harbour and we want these dredging’s utilised to restore the harbour bank and secure the walkway.
3. Apply funding to progress the proposed work.
4. Continue to monitor the erosion and remedial work in communication with the working group of residents.

Conclusion:

The erosion of the Robinson Road harbour estuary bank adjacent to the houses has been increasing in recent years as the number of boat movements to and from waterways canals increases. Speed of these vessels as they travel up the harbour to their anchorage is not policed therefore skippers do not stick to the speed limit of 5 knots with the resulting wakes eroding the banks.

Residents adjacent to the reserve are seeing plants erode, holes forming in the ground and the bank fall into the harbour.

We want to restore the harbour edge, secure the bank, and re-vegetate the area.

Make Submission

Consultee	Mrs Pauline Odlum (59837)
Email Address	odlumengineering@xtra.co.nz
Address	872 Tauwhare Rd R D7 Hamilton 3287
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mrs Pauline Odlum
Submission ID	LTP15_139
Response Date	9/04/15 2:37 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Short term accomodation providers already pay a rate for a property they do not use all the time.

They are providing accomodation for people during peak demand periods which if they didn't do people would have nowhere to stay. Council spends thousands of dollars promoting Coormandel as the go to holiday destination. Short term holiday renters provide a much needed cash injection to local businesses. If you take away places for them to stay they will just go to Surfers!

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Susan and Anthony Jacobs (59862)
Email Address	sueandanthony@xtra.co.nz
Address	22 Motuhoa Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Susan and Anthony Jacobs
Submission ID	LTP15_140
Response Date	7/04/15 2:39 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

Our public toilets are extensively used by visitors passing through or staying in Tairua. Far more so than local use. These should be district funding.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

These are exclusive to Tairua district and should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

This is definitely NOT a local benefit only centre. The two biggest tourist attractions on the Peninsula are Cathedral Cove and Hot Water Beach. Huge numbers of visitors from Auckland by-pass Thames and head directly to Tairua as the gateway to the eastern coast of then peninsula. Our information centre is the first centre they arrive at and is always extremely busy giving out not only information on Tairua but also Cathedral Cove and Hot Water Beach. They want to know when high tide is, how they get there, where do they stay, where do they park, where do they eat and where do they camp?

Tairua is becoming more and more a base from which to explore the whole coast line from Whangamata to Whitianga. Both of which are 40 minutes' drive away. Our centre has some great events planned to encourage this and deserves to be recognised as a vital economic driver not only for Tairua but the whole eastern region. The funding for this should be district not local.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

We oppose this unless we have a guarantee that all the funds collected from Tairua would be used exclusively for economic development projects in Tairua such as visitor centre initiatives and local walkways.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Further comments on the Tairua-Pauanui Community Space activity.

MARY BAY WHARF

We require TCDC to guarantee that Mary Bay Wharf is either replaced or upgraded to ensure it is safe and in keeping with its beautiful location.

Further comments on the Water Supply activity.

Tairua Water Supply

We are extremely concerned at the lack of information on our water supply situation. We require TCDC to guarantee that we will have all the water holding tanks/dams, pumps and filtration systems to store enough water from winter/autumn rainfall to prevent our unacceptable, lengthy summertime water restrictions

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone

078648722

Email

Email

sueandanthony@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787353.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Stuart Christie (59152)
Email Address	christie@wave.co.nz
Company / Organisation	Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust
Address	PO Box 53 Whitianga Whitianga 3542
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust (Mr Stuart Christie)
Submission ID	LTP15_141
Response Date	9/04/15 2:40 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Files	Thames Coromandel District Council Long Term Plan Submission

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

The Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust wishes to make a submission asking TCDC to draft and implement an Arts Strategy within the Long Term Plan-2015. This is an outcome of the Workshop facilitated by Creative Waikato on an Arts Strategy in March this year.

A formal strategy would enable the community through the organisations like MBAET to focus on realising TCDC Long Term Plan objectives and in turn enable TCDC to effectively prioritise and channel resources and staff effort and monitor their impact.

Tourism Focus: A TCDC Arts Strategy would provide an umbrella under which all arts organisations could work towards unified arts activities across the Peninsula. There is considerable public interest in a comprehensive arts calendar for the region and a defined Arts Trail perhaps supported by permanent

signage on the State Highway network as is the case elsewhere in New Zealand. Regional promotion of these activities and these unified activities are good examples of how a strategy can work.

Economy: It is likely that the current economic contribution of the arts, its recent growth and its future potential is under reported and not understood overall . The scope for great shared utilisation of facilities community wide would be realised within a TCDC strategy. MBAET partners with Mercury Bay hospitality and tourism operators to jointly promote our events and facilitate a broader attraction.

Strategic Planning: A well crafted, professional arts strategy would guide the strategic planning of future arts facilities Peninsula wide and more immediately guide the priorities for existing shared and potentially dedicated assets and facilities.

Waikato Region: MBAET would support initiatives that see coordination of arts activity across the wider Waikato Region. Our visitor statistics show that there is strong interest in Coromandel region art activity from people particularly in Waikato/Hamilton and Auckland. Growing interest from Bay of Plenty and always visitors from other regions. A TCDC Art Strategy would support greater regional promotion and significantly more promotional reach for our wider Coromandel arts organisations into other parts of New Zealand and the potential visitors.

This submission is on behalf of the Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust (MBAET) and approximately 56 artist members on the Eastern side of the Coromandel Peninsula.

1 Summary:

1.1 MBAET proposes and supports the development of an Arts Strategy by the TCDC as part of the Long Term Plan(LTP) in 2015, to recognise the strong community support for such an initiative as seen for example in the TCDC Thames Art Strategy Workshop held with Creative Waikato in March this year.

1.2 MBAET believes that a formal working strategy can significantly support TCDC objectives in Economic Development through growing visitor numbers and constantly developing new art based commercial activity and supports integration of art and Heritage and cultural objectives through development of the longstanding traditional art community, their attention to the landscapes, the historic buildings and community activity.

1.3 The MBAET would like to speak in support of this submission at any hearings.

1 Discussion about why have a strategy.

2.1 MBAET has as its Mission 'To promote and develop the growth of the visual arts in the Mercury Bay area in particular and the wider Coromandel'. We receive strong support from TCDC in realising our objectives and in 2012 proposed to TCDC through Mayor Glenn Leach that TCDC develop an 'Arts Strategy' to focus all the elements of TCDC and Destination Coromandel on the very significant 'arts' activity in the region and the tourism, cultural, community and economic benefits and potential. 'Arts' are defined as a very broad grouping of visual, musical, performance, literature, indigenous and so on; well defined in the TCDC/Creative Waikato Workshop.

A formal strategy would enable the community through the organisations like MBAET to focus on realising TCDC Long Term Plan objectives and in turn enable TCDC to effectively prioritise and channel resources and staff effort and monitor their impact.

MBAET has a vision of the Mercury Bay Region and the wider Coromandel rivalling Nelson Marlborough and Otago as highly successful arts and cultural communities. Those regions have put considerable effort into an effective arts strategy to define the strong 'arts' driver in their economies.

2.2 Tourism Focus: A TCDC Arts Strategy would provide an umbrella under which all arts organisations could work towards unified arts activities across the Peninsula. There is considerable public interest in a comprehensive arts calendar for the region and a defined Arts Trail perhaps supported by permanent signage on the State Highway network as is the case elsewhere in New Zealand. Regional

promotion of these activities and these unified activities are good examples of how a strategy can work.

The MBAET annual Open Studio Tour over two weekends in March each year alone sees up to 2800 documented artist studio visits per day and 65% of these originate outside the region. 2015 numbers increased 30% over 2014. Comparable arts organisations in Thames and Coromandel would encounter similar numbers at various times of the year comprising a very substantial visitor count from visual arts alone. An arts strategy would see much more attention to the significant activity and potential available when ALL arts activities are counted.

2.3 Economy: It is likely that the current economic contribution of the arts, its recent growth and its future potential is under reported and not understood overall . **MBAET artists reported sales up 53% in 2015 over 2014 during the Open Studio Tour weekends** . (MBAET can confidentially provide aggregated sales value statistics). Activity levels are burgeoning and annual sales data would be a more indicative summary than single event studies. MBAET events drive the incomes of artist members these days and a growing proportion derive much of their income from visual art sales.

Other art events also attract activity and would greatly benefit from the focus an arts strategy would bring. **3 resident Whitianga visual artists now operate professional art classes for local and visitor artists and MBAET offer annual scholarships to students in conjunction with Mercury Bay Area School.** The scope for great shared utilisation of facilities community wide would be realised within a TCDC strategy.

MBAET partners with Mercury Bay hospitality and tourism operators to jointly promote our events and facilitate a broader attraction. Anecdotal evidence documents the growing impact of one off visitors on accommodation and cafes in particular and on transport/ tours. **Heavy café visitor numbers were reported in Hahei over the Open Studio Tour days for example.**

2.4 Professionalism: MBAET is a professionally organised and successful arts organisation. Like similar organisations, the Trust relies a lot on funding from funding agencies and professional donor organisations and faces competition from many other groups. **An overarching TCDC Arts Strategy would give a layer of professionalism, status and purpose overall which would greatly benefit all arts groups and genres and support funding applications.**

2.5 Social Development: Arts organisation overall support the TCDC objective and slogan “ Good for your Soul”. Arts are the soul of the Coromandel Peninsula.

2.6 Strategic Planning: A well crafted, professional arts strategy would guide the strategic planning of future arts facilities Peninsula wide and more immediately guide the priorities for existing shared and potentially dedicated assets and facilities. **The Thames Arts Workshop documented a very significant inventory of arts related facilities. A performance centre based in Whitianga and under discussion by MBAET and Creative Mercury Bay is a good example.**

2.7 Waikato Region: MBAET would support initiatives that see coordination of arts activity across the wider Waikato Region. Our visitor statistics show that there is strong interest in Coromandel region art activity from people particularly in Waikato/Hamilton and Auckland. Growing interest from Bay of Plenty and always visitors from other regions. A TCDC Art Strategy would support greater regional promotion and significantly more promotional reach for our wider Coromandel arts organisations into other parts of New Zealand and the potential visitors.

3.0 Followup: MBAET would be pleased to assist further with information and statistics, participate in working groups and LTP Hearings.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 021666961

Email

Email christie@wave.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB)

[Thames Coromandel District Council Long Term Plan Submission](#)



Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust

Registration # CC46075

PO Box 53
Whitianga 3542

9 April 2015

Thames Coromandel District Council (TCDC)- Long Term Plan Submission 2015

This submission is on behalf of the Mercury Bay Art Escape Trust (MBAET) and approximately 56 artist members on the Eastern side of the Coromandel Peninsula.

1. Summary:

- 1.1 MBAET proposes and supports the development of an Arts Strategy by the TCDC as part of the Long Term Plan(LTP) in 2015, to recognise the strong community support for such an initiative as seen for example in the TCDC Thames Art Strategy Workshop held with Creative Waikato in March this year.
- 1.2 MBAET believes that a formal working strategy can significantly support TCDC objectives in Economic Development through growing visitor numbers and constantly developing new art based commercial activity and supports integration of art and Heritage and cultural objectives through development of the longstanding traditional art community, their attention to the landscapes, the historic buildings and community activity.
- 1.3 The MBAET would like to speak in support of this submission at any hearings.

2. Discussion about why have a strategy.

- 2.1 MBAET has as its Mission 'To promote and develop the growth of the visual arts in the Mercury Bay area in particular and the wider Coromandel'. We receive strong support from TCDC in realising our objectives and in 2012 proposed to TCDC through Mayor Glenn Leach that TCDC develop an 'Arts Strategy' to focus all the elements of TCDC and Destination Coromandel on the very significant 'arts' activity in the region and the tourism, cultural, community and economic benefits and potential. 'Arts' are defined as a very broad grouping of visual, musical, performance, literature, indigenous and so on; well defined in the TCDC/Creative Waikato Workshop.

A formal strategy would enable the community through the organisations like MBAET to focus on realising TCDC Long Term Plan objectives and in turn enable TCDC to effectively prioritise and channel resources and staff effort and monitor their impact.

MBAET has a vision of the Mercury Bay Region and the wider Coromandel rivalling Nelson Marlborough and Otago as highly successful arts and cultural communities. Those regions have put considerable effort into an effective arts strategy to define the strong 'arts' driver in their economies.

2.2 Tourism Focus: A TCDC Arts Strategy would provide an umbrella under which all arts organisations could work towards unified arts activities across the Peninsula. There is considerable public interest in a comprehensive arts calendar for the region and a defined Arts Trail perhaps supported by permanent signage on the State Highway network as is the case elsewhere in New Zealand. Regional promotion of these activities and these unified activities are good examples of how a strategy can work.

The MBAET annual Open Studio Tour over two weekends in March each year alone sees up to 2800 documented artist studio visits per day and 65% of these originate outside the region. 2015 numbers increased 30% over 2014.

Comparable arts organisations in Thames and Coromandel would encounter similar numbers at various times of the year comprising a very substantial visitor count from visual arts alone. An arts strategy would see much more attention to the significant activity and potential available when ALL arts activities are counted.

2.3 Economy: It is likely that the current economic contribution of the arts, its recent growth and its future potential is under reported and not understood overall. **MBAET artists reported sales up 53% in 2015 over 2014 during the Open Studio Tour weekends.** (MBAET can confidentially provide aggregated sales value statistics). Activity levels are burgeoning and annual sales data would be a more indicative summary than single event studies. MBAET events drive the incomes of artist members these days and a growing proportion derive much of their income from visual art sales.

Other art events also attract activity and would greatly benefit from the focus an arts strategy would bring. **3 resident Whitianga visual artists now operate professional art classes for local and visitor artists and MBAET offer annual scholarships to students in conjunction with Mercury Bay Area School.** The

scope for great shared utilisation of facilities community wide would be realised within a TCDC strategy.

MBAET partners with Mercury Bay hospitality and tourism operators to jointly promote our events and facilitate a broader attraction. Anecdotal evidence documents the growing impact of one off visitors on accommodation and cafes in particular and on transport/ tours. **Heavy café visitor numbers were reported in Hahei over the Open Studio Tour days for example.**

2.4 Professionalism: MBAET is a professionally organised and successful arts organisation. Like similar organisations, the Trust relies a lot on funding from funding agencies and professional donor organisations and faces competition from many other groups. **An overarching TCDC Arts Strategy would give a layer of professionalism, status and purpose overall which would greatly benefit all arts groups and genres and support funding applications.**

2.5 Social Development: Arts organisation overall support the TCDC objective and slogan “ Good for your Soul”. Arts are the soul of the Coromandel Peninsula.

2.6 Strategic Planning: A well crafted, professional arts strategy would guide the strategic planning of future arts facilities Peninsula wide and more immediately guide the priorities for existing shared and potentially dedicated assets and facilities. **The Thames Arts Workshop documented a very significant inventory of arts related facilities. A performance centre based in Whitianga and under discussion by MBAET and Creative Mercury Bay is a good example.**

2.7 Waikato Region: MBAET would support initiatives that see coordination of arts activity across the wider Waikato Region. Our visitor statistics show that there is strong interest in Coromandel region art activity from people particularly in Waikato/Hamilton and Auckland. Growing interest from Bay of Plenty and always visitors from other regions. A TCDC Art Strategy would support greater regional promotion and significantly more promotional reach for our wider Coromandel arts organisations into other parts of New Zealand and the potential visitors.

3.0 Followup: MBAET would be pleased to assist further with information and statistics, participate in working groups and LTP Hearings.

Stuart

Stuart Christie
Chairman
christie@wave.co.nz
021 666961

www.mercurybayartescape.com

www.facebook.com/mercurybayartescape

Make Submission

Consultee	Mrs Katie Clemens (59859)
Email Address	clmkr@xtra.co.nz
Address	6 tauhara drive Pye Place hamilton 3210
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mrs Katie Clemens
Submission ID	LTP15_142
Response Date	9/04/15 3:01 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Renting a bach is a traditional Kiwi holiday option, and this charge, along with changes by Inland Revenue, forces us as bach owners to reconsider making it available. It is not a business and does not compete with motel type accommodation. We rent for about 50 to 60 nights a year, and none of

our tenants are attracted by The Hauraki rail trail etc, coming instead for a seaside holiday. We bring considerable economic benefit to TCD and do not enjoy a full year's benefit from the rates we pay, so that in effect we subsidise permanent residents.

We wish to be heard in person when this matter is considered.

Richard and Katie Clemens

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?	No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.
--	---

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078553540

Email

Email clemkr@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Rebecca Dove (59131)
Email Address	beccydove@gmail.com
Address	143 Rahu Rd R.D. 4 Paeroa 3674
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Rebecca Dove
Submission ID	LTP15_143
Response Date	9/04/15 3:04 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	Submission to sustain the Enviroschools Programme through the district wide Council

. Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

I would like to make a submission around the importance of the Enviroschools programme being sustained in the Thames Coromandel District and the benefit of this programme being funded by the central body of the Thames Coromandel District Council.

I have read through the 2015 - 2025 Long Term Plan for the Thames Coromandel District and have seen no mention of the Enviroschools Programme in it, however I have been informed by Leigh Robcke (my liaison person at TCDC) that it has been proposed that the funding for Enviroschools will move from the central District Council to Community Boards.

I believe this will have a detrimental effect on the sustainability of the programme as it means we would have to apply to the separate Community Boards for funding and it would be up to each board whether they deemed it worthy to fund. Through this process I fear that some schools/centres may lose their funding. I also think that being funded by 6 different community boards rather than one central fund will create a lot more admin and thus reducing the hours available for practical work in schools with teachers and students.

I feel strongly about sustaining the Enviroschools Programme for the next 10 years in Thames-Coromandel area. As a facilitator I see the huge value of the programme to teachers who need support with delivering environmental education in their schools and for the students who, through the kaupapa/principles of this programme, are empowered to make change, firstly in their own lives and schools and then in the wider community. I am constantly hearing about the ripple effect of the sustainable practices students are developing at school being carried back to their homes.

The programme provides Professional Development for teachers through staff meetings, teacher workshops, networking, bus trips to other enviroschools and through one to one support with their facilitator. We also provide student workshops, support, and events where they are able to share their learning and action around sustainability in creative ways.

Currently there are 11 Enviroschools in the Thames Coromandel District with some of them working towards a reflection this year. If there is to be a change of funding bodies then I would ask that there is a transition phase where schools aren't abandoned mid-year. Schools, such as Opoutere school, are working towards reflecting at a Green-Gold level by the end of the year and I would hate to see their support suspended during this time.

In summary my submission is that the on-going funding of Enviroschools stay with the central council or that if it does change that there be a funded transition period where there is ample time for schools to prepare and present to local community boards so the on-going funding of the Enviroschools Programme in their school is sustained.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078627113

Email

Email beccydove@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [Submission to sustain the Enviroschools Programme through the district wide Council](#)

Kia Ora Koutou,

I would like to make a submission around the importance of the Enviroschools programme being sustained in the Thames Coromandel District and the benefit of this programme being funded by the central body of the Thames Coromandel District Council.

I have read through the 2015 - 2025 Long Term Plan for the Thames Coromandel District and have seen no mention of the Enviroschools Programme in it, however I have been informed by Leigh Robkey (my liason person at TCDC) that it has been proposed that the funding for Enviroschools will move from the central District Council to Community Boards.

I believe this will have a detrimental effect on the sustainability of the programme as it means we would have to apply to the separate Community Boards for funding and it would be up to each board whether they deemed it worthy to fund. Through this process I fear that some schools/centres may lose their funding. I also think that being funded by 6 different community boards rather than one central fund will create a lot more admin and thus reducing the hours available for practical work in schools with teachers and students.

I feel strongly about sustaining the Enviroschools Programme for the next 10 years in Thames-Coromandel area. As a facilitator I see the huge value of the programme to teachers who need support with delivering environmental education in their schools and for the students who, through the kaupapa/principles of this programme, are empowered to make change, firstly in their own lives and schools and then in the wider community. I am constantly hearing about the ripple effect of the sustainable practices students are developing at school being carried back to their homes.

The programme provides Professional Development for teachers through staff meetings, teacher workshops, networking, bus trips to other enviroschools and through one to one support with their facilitator. We also provide student workshops, support, and events where they are able to share their learning and action around sustainability in creative ways.

Currently there are 11 Enviroschools in the Thames Coromandel District with some of them working towards a reflection this year. If there is to be a change of funding bodies then I would ask that there is a transition phase where schools aren't abandoned mid-year. Schools, such as Opoutere school, are working towards reflecting at a Green-Gold level by the end of the year and I would hate to see their support suspended during this time.

In summary my submission is that the on-going funding of Enviroschools stay with the central council or that if it does change that there be a funded transition period where there is ample time for schools to prepare and present to local community boards so the on-going funding of the Enviroschools Programme in their school is sustained.

Nga mihi

Beccy Dove

Rebecca Dove

Enviroschools Facilitator for Thames-Coromandel and Hauraki Districts

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Keith Procter (59867)
Email Address	keithaprocter@gmail.com
Address	PO Box 24-398 Royal Oak Auckland 1345
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Keith Procter
Submission ID	LTP15_144
Response Date	9/04/15 3:22 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

not applicable to all TCDC rate payers

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

helps total region just not 1 area

be good if same equal re Library funding all areas TCDC equality

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

backward step big time

another tax or rate on rate

Queenstown DC reviewing currently under disguise of Bed Tax which is another name for what is proposed by TCDC

love name under Economic Development Activity. If implemented there will be of no economic development to the region as people will go to other regions where they do not have to pay extra fee, thus less tourists and people coming to region thus less Money spent in region. There will be no growth, we will be only area NZ showing a decrease in tourists because 50% + of rate payers TCDC are absent landowners. There is no way that these ratepayers are a soft option. At the next local body election there will be changes in elected reps if this proposal is passed.

example re Beachhop just happened past 2 weeks where do you think all those people will go with no bach accommodation. Beachhop will move out of the region to a region that will welcome it. These 100,000 visitors to Whangamata and Region will be lost because of the Economic back water TCDC will become.

rental agencies will go out of business because of this fee and people living in regions will have no jobs.

bach owners will just not rent baches or start a black market for renting or get creative renting out or added to renters who will not want to pay extra costs or have fees implemented.

implement this and face the bleak outlook with it for this region and the economic back water TCDC will become.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

makes sense

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

I agree with the extended fees for Mercury Bay boat ramp and trailer parking but not the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

not needed at all - happy with current set up

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Brett Wilson (59648)
Email Address	jeanniebrett@xtra.co.nz
Address	145 Patuwai Drive Whangamata 3620
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Brett Wilson
Submission ID	LTP15_145
Response Date	9/04/15 3:44 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

Agree in principle but external debt repayment should be over a longer period to ease rate burden.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

Whangamata is a key part of the Coromandel tourist offering and through the huge visitor levels during summer and one off events provide benefits for the wider district.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

These operations are a key part of the regions current economic activity providing the means to support the many one off events (Beach Hop, Fishing Comps, festivals etc) as well as the summer season. Without this resource these events which provide a massive boost for local businesses could not take place on the scale that they do. These operations stimulate the local economy and are doing the district a service.

A standard charge of \$200 while easier to administer is not equitable as there are those that rent out properties only occasionally to support "events" and those that are available all year round.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

But criteria for commercial classification should be when more than four rooms are available.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

Not relevant

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

No opinion

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

But only if a satisfactory business case is forthcoming and that funding is supported by other means and not solely by Whangamata ratepayers.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078659302

Email

Email jeanniebrett@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr John Duthie (59876)
Email Address	john.duthie@tattico.co.nz
Company / Organisation	Burfoot Limited
Address	PO Box 91562, Victoria Street Auckland 1142
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Burfoot Limited (Mr John Duthie)
Submission ID	LTP15_146
Response Date	9/04/15 4:00 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission?

Yes

Email

Email

john.duthie@tattico.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you.

I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is not based in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Diane Baker (59873)
Email Address	anvil20@xtra.co.nz
Address	30 Malcolm Street Riverlea HAMILTON 3210
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Diane Baker
Submission ID	LTP15_148
Response Date	9/04/15 4:05 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

I do not agree with the above proposal to charge and annual fixed rate for short term accommodation. I think we pay plenty of rates for the services provided by the council. We have had to pay for the sewerage to be connected and we are still providing the water for our properties.

Short term accommodation eg renting a bach is an alternative to the other commercial accommodation which is what some visitors wish to use.

The demand for short term accommodation is only for approximately 5 weeks a year (Christmas, New Year and Easter). This is when the demand is highest for holiday accommodation in the area and there is not enough other accommodation available to meet the demand.

The extra visitors that are attracted to Cooks Beach want to stay in alternative accommodation provided eg beach front which is not available through other providers.

The extra visitors bring added economic benefit to the area, with their demand for shops, restaurants, tourist activities and their support for local market days and community fund raising events.

The expense for tracking who is making short term accommodation available would outway the benefit of collecting the money, as some years my short term accommodation is not available

Freedom campers are not charged for their short term accommodation and are not rate payers. Therefore rate payers are providing services that Freedom campers are getting for free, and should not be penalised for bringing economic and social benefit to the community.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

These accommodation providers are not commercial operators they are providing a service and alternative accomodation options for visitors.

They are already rate payers and we are providing services that Freedom Campers are getting for free.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Darryl O'Keeffe (59878)
Email Address	fishcoro@xtra.co.nz
Address	82 Pagitt Street Coromandel 3506
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Darryl O'Keeffe
Submission ID	LTP15_149
Response Date	9/04/15 4:34 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Coromandel-Colville - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Coromandel-Colville - Community Spaces activity.

I would like to propose that fees and charges for Coromandel/Colville Harbour Facilities regarding the slipway grid at Sugarloaf Landing (the grid) be reviewed. I believe that this particular charge (\$65 per berth per day) is now out dated and has definately not moved on with the times. I have been informed by a community board member that quote `these charges have always been there' unquote.

Mussel Barge Snapper Safaris 2008 Ltd (MBSS) have been using this grid for more than 15 years. We pay Berthage fees for the use of Coromandel Harbour facilities (including the grid) at \$75 per meter of vessel per annum which equates to approx \$2250. Now, in the last approx 2 years another fee has been introduced to us- the passenger fee- at \$1pp. This equates to another approximately \$9-10k a year from MBSS which apparently goes toward these exact same facilities. Why then do MBSS have to pay a 3rd time to use this harbour facility? Our charges have increased over 500% in the last 2.5 years yet the 'always been there' grid charge does not seem to have been looked at or considered in our case.

I think I have a reasonable case for consideration here and would appreciate this issue being approached, discussed and a decision made and then me being informed either way about how you came to your decision.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

Make Submission

Consultee	Mark Skelding (58845)
Email Address	songlines@paradise.net.nz
Address	308 Grafton Road Thames 3500
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mark Skelding
Submission ID	LTP15_150
Response Date	9/04/15 5:04 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.8
Files	T3 submission

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Please tell us why

Because these are east coast specific services, mostly residential, with seasonal visitor use I believe a fairer funding system would see a proportion of the debt moved to rates, and a proportion remain associated with development. This would recognise the benefits being enjoyed by current homeowners, and the commercial/investment opportunities these developments have made possible. 60% development, 40% rates might be reasonable since it would be a one-off cost to a developer, and an ongoing cost to ratepayers.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding?

Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

Yes. climate change will make these vital to our whole community.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

Because we all use them, and we need more.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Please tell us why

Because we're all in this together, and we are all going to die! The proposed change will see costs added to ratepayers on the west coast, and considerably increased in the Coromandel-Colville area. The income, financial and material well-being of those living in the west is generally lower than those in the east (and than the national average) so that increasing the burden in order for these communities to take appropriate care of their deceased seems mean and despiriting.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I support the TCDC information logic in response to the national i-Sites information (consultation document). HOWEVER - district funding should be reduced over 3 years and redirected towards web-based information and online accessibility in these centres. Over time more people will access info online and via smart phones: money needs to be spent on that side of provision.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

Seems fair enough: the market will balance this out and pass the cost onto users. Therefore, this \$200 should be noted and directed towards benefits that are of value to both community and visitors.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

Because they need to be brought under commercial oversight for health and safety etc.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Please tell us why.

Because we should support our elders, kaumatua and whaea. The retirement villages should pay a similar \$200 (or more) fee as do other commercial accommodation providers.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Please tell us why.

Elder support is important. Care needs to be taken that these flats do not become short term accomodation, however.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

I understand that savings made in other parts of the plan will offset this cost, meaning that overall very little or no rate increase will be made for what is a very much needed local provision. An overall, co-ordinated vision, plan and networking is essential.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

Carbon offsetting. Funding for these forests should be, in part, through a fund developed in co-operation with operators of petrol stations, travel agencies, and other businesses which depend on activities that result in CO2 generation. These funds would be optional, similar to how Air New Zealand offers carbon reduction contribution.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

- 1 i support the provision of electric vehicle [EV] charge points in Thames and surrounds. at present, this major tourism destination has no provision for travellers in EVs.

- 2 cycling requires a higher profile in Thames and across the District. TCDC (with Hauraki District Council) should encourage and facilitate land-owners and land-managers to work with interest groups, industry, and volunteers to develop a network of cross country cycle tracks to connect the Hauraki RailTrailheads (Thames and Waihi) with the other 5 main centres on the peninsula. better cyclist provision (bike racks, cycle paths, cycle ways marked on roads etc) around the peninsula would add to the success of the District as a destination, and to the RailTrail as a visitor experience.
- 3 i support greater TCDC assistance for householders to access insulation and energy savings initiatives. having been involved in such a scheme, i know first hand the benefits received.
- 4 i support TCDC fulfilling its obligations to have clear and visible climate change planning that detail and acknowledge Government perceived maximum and minimum anticipated impacts over 15, 30, 50 and 100 years. these need to be available on the TCDC site, and to people contemplating developments on marginal or flood prone land, and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy .
- 5 i support encouragement and facilitation of climate change resilience and transition positive relocalisation projects to build economic resilience and community across district. these would be trans-sectorial - ie: social, environmental and art/cultural co-operation to raise awareness and achieve outcomes. for example: a particular focus on food production and supply would reduce food miles and build local industry and local identity. a "homegrown" scheme (on foodstuffs and in restaurants and shops) would cost little and create high visibility and awareness. encouraging all communities of 750 people or more to establish and maintain community gardens would encourage food awareness, community cohesion, and re-establish vanishing skills. encouraging retired people to share know-how and skills bridges the generation skills gap, enhances intergenerational understanding, and adds meaning and purpose to people's lives. advancing community fruit tree and other growing projects (eg: community coppicing for fuel etc) complements community garden projects. maintenance can be achieved through working closely with rehabilitation and probation groups, as well as making use of community recycling programmes (eg: for mulch and compost). a focus on renewable and clean energy would be in line with the Urban Vision for Thamesd (2013) and provide opportunities for local employment, and to build energy resilience. encouraging businesses along Pollen Street to lay the foundations for a solar network would be a good start at no financial cost. having key council buildings fitted out with solar panels would be a cost saving, energy effective approach with a capital cost that would be recouped through depreciation and energy savings - meaning a move to zero cost electricity within 8 years, on equipment with a 25 year guaranteed lifetime.. a focus on waste reduction through zero waste policy, developing recycling activities with local groups and Smart environmental (or similar), raising awareness on food waste, promoting composting programmes at dumps and waste collection centres, increasing waste collection costs and using this to subsidise making composting and waste reduction "technology" available to householders.
- 6 promoting local and district linked business association commitment to promoting local energy efficiency and generation, encouraging local food production, raising a "Taste Coromandel" profile (or similar), and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy .
- 7 Extending a clear place in local governance conversation to tangata whenua, and showing how this is happening as part of its communication policy.
- 8 Commit to Heritage as being about how we attend to our past AND future. On page 22, it says: " Another initiative being pursued as part of the Economic Development package is the potential for the Coromandel to become a Heritage Region. There are no project costs associated with this project as, in its conceptual stage, it will only require staff resource. " however, this seems unlikely since i note the recent loss of TCDC paid and financed district heritage provision. this needs to be reinstated if we are to fulfill the promise of truly being "a heritage district".
- 9 i support the T3 document as attached.

Representation

Further comments on the Representation activity.

Extending a clear place in local governance conversation to tangata whenua, and showing how this is happening as part of its communication policy.

District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

I support TCDC fulfilling its obligations to have clear and visible climate change planning that detail and acknowledge Government perceived maximum and minimum anticipated impacts over 15, 30, 50 and 100 years. These need to be available on the TCDC site, and to people contemplating developments on marginal or flood prone land, and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy.

Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

Cycling requires a higher profile in Thames and across the District. TCDC (with Hauraki District Council) should encourage and facilitate land-owners and land-managers to work with interest groups, industry, and volunteers to develop a network of cross country cycle tracks to connect the Hauraki RailTrail heads (Thames and Waihi) with the other 5 main centres on the peninsula. Better cyclist provision (bike racks, cycle paths, cycle ways marked on roads etc) around the peninsula would add to the success of the District as a destination, and to the RailTrail as a visitor experience.

Promoting local and district linked business association commitment to promoting local energy efficiency and generation, encouraging local food production, raising a "Taste Coromandel" profile (or similar), and showing how this is happening as part of a communication policy.

Commit to Heritage as being about how we attend to our past AND future. On page 22, it says: "Another initiative being pursued as part of the Economic Development package is the potential for the Coromandel to become a Heritage Region. There are no project costs associated with this project as, in its conceptual stage, it will only require staff resource." however, this seems unlikely since I note the recent loss of TCDC paid and financed district heritage provision. This needs to be reinstated if we are to fulfil the promise of truly being "a heritage district".

Thames - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

I support the provision of electric vehicle [EV] charge points in Thames and surrounds. At present, this major tourism destination has no provision for travellers in EVs.

[Submitter has attached the submission from Transition Towns and supports the points made in this submission.]

A focus on renewable and clean energy would be in line with the Urban Vision for Thames (2013) and provide opportunities for local employment, and to build energy resilience. Encouraging businesses along Pollen Street to lay the foundations for a solar network would be a good start at no financial cost. Having key council buildings fitted out with solar panels would be a cost saving, energy effective approach with a capital cost that would be recouped through depreciation and energy savings - meaning a move to zero cost electricity within 8 years, on equipment with a 25 year guaranteed lifetime. A focus on waste reduction through zero waste policy, developing recycling activities with local groups and Smart environmental (or similar), raising awareness on food waste, promoting composting programmes

at dumps and waste collection centres, increasing waste collection costs and using this to subsidise making composting and waste reduction "technology" available to householders.

Other

Further comments.

I support greater TCDC assistance for householders to access insulation and energy savings initiatives. Having been involved in such a scheme, I know first-hand the benefits received.

I support encouragement and facilitation of climate change resilience and transition positive re-localisation projects to build economic resilience and community across district. These would be trans-sectorial - i.e. social, environmental and art/cultural co-operation to raise awareness and achieve outcomes. For example:

A particular focus on food production and supply would reduce food miles and build local industry and local identity. A "home-grown" scheme (on foodstuffs and in restaurants and shops) would cost little and create high visibility and awareness. Encouraging all communities of 750 people or more to establish and maintain community gardens would encourage food awareness, community cohesion, and re-establish vanishing skills. Encouraging retired people to share know-how and skills bridges the generation skills gap, enhances intergenerational understanding, and adds meaning and purpose to people's lives. Advancing community fruit tree and other growing projects (eg: community coppicing for fuel etc) complements community garden projects. maintenance can be achieved through working closely with rehabilitation and probation groups, as well as making use of community recycling programmes (e.g.: for mulch and compost).

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcidc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB)

[T3 submission](#)

T3 - building resilient communities

Introduction

T3 – TransitionTownThames is an incorporated society whose stated purpose is “to build resilience by enabling community solutions around food, energy and economics in ways that are environmentally sustainable, socially just and spiritually fulfilling for all.”

Some 300 people have expressed interest in and support for our objectives, signing up via the T3Connect website. This represents an eclectic and varied cross-section of ages, concerns and political persuasion. T3 has no political allegiance, and is supportive of initiatives of any political “colour” that value community, justice and sustainable economic and ecological principles.

We note that the community empowering values that underpin “transition thinking” have been incorporated in the Thames Connect site intended to capture and link a wide range of Thames' assets.

T3 has been involved in a number of activities within the community, and TCDC has generously provided operational support and advice for several T3 initiatives. These include the Thames Be Fruitful community treeplanting scheme and the T3esc (Energy Sustaining Community) project that saw 290+ households benefit from (in most cases) free insulation through a project brokered by T3 between EECA and Autex Industries, as well as bulk purchases of ultra efficient, NZ-made Pyroclassic woodstoves.

One of the insights from the insulation project was that the cheapest energy is the energy not expended in the first place! This thinking can be applied to other areas.

\$38 mill per annum opportunity for Thames area alone!

The NZ Statistics Office records that households in the Thames Community Board area spend over \$38 million per annum on food and electricity alone. The vast majority of this sum leaves the area, and so becomes lost to the local economic “money-go-round”. Every dollar that remains being spent within the local economy increases the overall value of the economy via the multiplier effect.

By making it more possible to spend this money on local services and products, local wealth, and by reducing the need to spend money on external services and products, the purchasing power of local people is increased.

T3 is not asking financial support from TCDC/Community Board at this time. Rather we seek the support that you can make through your participation in aspects of the programme. In particular, in assisting in the encouraging implementation in ways that will not only enhance household health and well-being, reduce waste and litter, and assist businesses, but will do so in ways which also enhance the reputation of Thames as “a golden hub” for the entire District, a regional exemplar, a national inspiration and an international destination.

Submission -

“Focus on building resilient communities that are prepared for and able to manage during service interruptions. This approach is supported by our Civil Defence and Emergency Management group who assist with building resilient, prepared communities.”

LTP 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy March 2015 consultation version – page 17

Whilst T3 fully supports this objective and the attendant concern regarding potential increased disruptions due to climate change, global economic disruptions, and possible refugee and population displacement, we would suggest that resilience is also about making the very best of what we already have, and this is not restricted to the very vital concerns and particular skills of Civil Defence and Emergency Services, but a matter of ongoing participation and civic engagement.

ENERGY:

T3 submits that TCDC/Thames Community develop a focus on renewable and clean energy

This would be in line with the Urban Vision for Thames (2013) and provide opportunities for local employment, and to build energy resilience.

1. Encourage businesses along Pollen Street to lay the foundations for a microgrid network (Urban Vision).

Microgrids allow for an interconnected network of grids capable of operating as a whole, integrated within and contributing excess energy to the national grid system. However, in times of service interruption, damaged elements can be separated without the rest of the microgrid network closing down. In the event of a major national power supply outage, peninsula microgrids would continue to operate. Development of microgrids is well underway in many parts of the world. It is a system that lends itself well to local power generation and storage.

2. Fit out key council buildings (eg: Council office; library) with solar panels as a cost saving, energy effective approach.

Capital cost would be recouped through depreciation and energy savings - meaning a move to zero cost electricity well within 8 years, on equipment with a 25 yr guaranteed lifetime.

3. T3 supports TCDC renewing support for EECA subsidised insulation provision.

Having been involved in the T3esc project, a scheme, we know first hand the benefits received.

4. T3 supports raising Cycling profile and provision in Thames (and across the District.)

Provide better cyclist provision (bike racks, cycle paths, cycle ways marked on roads etc) in Thames (and around the peninsula) will add to the success of the District as a tourist destination, and to the RailTrail as a visitor experience.

Encourage and facilitate with Hauraki District Council) land-owners and land-managers to work with interest groups, industry, and volunteers to develop a network of cross country cycle friendly tracks (based on current and historic tracks) to connect the Hauraki RailTrailheads (Thames and Waihi) with the other 5 main centres on the peninsula. (eg: Karaka/Waiotahi – Crosbies Clearing – Tapu crossing; Crosbies-Tairua; Tapu-Crossing-Castle Rock – Whitianga etc)

5. T3 supports the establishing of electric vehicle [EV] charge points in Thames and surrounds.

This aligns with broader renewable energy targets for the area as well as being aligned with government targets to reduce CO2 production. We note that NZ transport accounts for the majority of the nation's CO2 production, and that EVs are being encouraged via lowered road charges etc. At present, this major tourism destination has no provision for travellers in Evs. Mighty River power has already partnered with Nissan and Mitsubishi to promote EV uptake. T3 encourages TCDC fleet becoming significantly comprised of Evs.

FOOD:

T3 submits request that TCDC support focus on local food production and supply in order to reduce food miles, build local industry, strengthen community wellbeing, and enhance local identity.

6. Initiate a "Coromandel homegrown" scheme

Establishing promotional label on foodstuffs, in restaurant menus and in participating shops) would cost little to add into present foodstuffs health provision and create high visibility and awareness of local food and specialities. This label could be designed via a schools competition and applied for by local business and industry.

7. T3 asks for the widespread advancement of community fruit tree and other growing projects (eg: community coppicing for fuel etc) in tandem with community garden projects.

Promote and facilitate fruit tree and garden maintenance can be achieved through working closely with rehabilitation and probation groups, as well as making use of community recycling programmes (eg: for mulch and compost).

Assist in and support the design and production of signage to allow residents to better understand care and harvesting needs of fruit trees.

8. Encourage any communities of 750 people or more to establish and maintain community gardens and growing "berms" to encourage food awareness, community cohesion, and re-establish vanishing skills.

Encourage retired and non-working people to share know-how and skills. This will bridge the generation skills gap, enhance intergenerational understanding, and add meaning and purpose to people's lives.

9. Facilitate community and schools groups to develop food growing, harvesting and processing skills.

Waste:

T3 asks TCDC to further extend its focus on waste reduction.

Waste, in its broadest sense, has a terrible financial, social and environmental cost on the community – wasted money, wasted people, wasted materials.

10. Promote a zero waste policy.

This would include "waste" rainwater, through the development of hygienic rain water capture and storage for households (eg: bulk ordered water tanks etc).

11. Consult with health and growers groups and waste management groups - encourage greater awareness and education on reducing food waste, composting programmes and waste reduction "technology". Food waste represents an economic loss and is an environmental pollutant which is digested anaerobically to release greenhouse gasses.

A pilot project on Waiheke Island, fully funded by Auckland City Council, which focussed on reducing household food waste, noted that much of the effort to reduce food waste to landfill focuses on post waste solutions such as composting. Their findings were that a focus on **food waste reduction** is the single most important starting point for change.

12. Develop food recycling activities with local restaurants, schools and Smart environmental.

13. Initiate compost making facilities at all waste collection centres.

This can be used in parks, and schools and community garden initiatives.

14. Initiate a “not welcome” policy for plastic bags and branded rubbish (eg: fast food wrappers). Aim to have no usage of non-biodegradable wrappers for food by 2020.

Economy:

T3 asks TCDC/TCB to consider how local energy efficiency and solar generation, EV provision, local food production, a "Taste Coromandel" profile (or similar) and waste reduction measures will impact our national and international profile and enhance our attraction for visitors and businesses.

15. Demonstrating how this is happening as part of a communication policy.

This will be developed to show how community development and economic resilience can be achieved as a means towards fulfilling obligations to have clear and visible climate change planning in place.

16. Make resilience promotion a central part of town promoter's job.

encourage and facilitate of community resilience and positive relocalisation projects to build economic resilience and community cohesion across district. These would develop trans-sectorial, social, environmental and arts/cultural co-operation to raise awareness and achieve outcomes.

17. Develop and promote a TCDC Buys Local policy.

Investment in locally sourced services and goods keeps TCDC money circulating within the community. There are multiplier effect benefit calculations for the expense of paying (initially) slightly more for local contractors to fulfill contracts, rather than bringing services from elsewhere. This is one way that TCDC can easily invest in local businesses and skills.

Other:

T3 requests that TCDC commit to Heritage as being about how we attend to our past AND future.

18. fulfill its obligation to have clear and visible climate change planning in place.

Demonstrate NZ Government perceived maximum and minimum anticipated impacts over 15, 30, 50 and 100 years.

Commence community conversation about long term response to these issues – on the assumption that many responses can be achieved in ways that would be desirable anyway – eg: community building, etc etc.

Tangata Whenua:

T3 requests that tangata whenua be formally invited to be part of any community building projects along the lines of those described here and that proactive steps be taken to ensure that these initiatives are shared with the local tangata whenua community in ways that community leaders support.

Communication:

Expand the coverage given to TCDC activities via Hauraki Herald and online sources.

Make Submission

Consultee	Miss Jo Stone (59883)
Email Address	jo.stone@nzta.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	NZTA
Address	PO Box 973 Hamilton Hamilton 3240
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	NZTA (Miss Jo Stone)
Submission ID	LTP15_151
Response Date	9/04/15 5:14 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Files	NZTA Submission TCDC LTP 2015

. District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

The Transport Agency would like to be engaged in any development decisions involving future release of land and significant changes to related land use. Collaboration with Council over changes in land use of development responses to increased population growth will ensure the Transport Agency is able to give effect to the statutory relationship between transport and land use planning, and associated investment under the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding 2015.

Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

Economic development

The Transport Agency is currently working with TCDC on funding applications related to the Te Kouma road/intersection improvements. If funding is secured for this project early engagement between council and Highway Network Operations is required to ensure Transport Agency requirements are met. We are aware that the anchor projects related to the improvement will support the economic development associated with the Coromandel Harbour project.

Roads and Footpaths

Further comments on the Roads and Footpaths activity.

[Submitter is supportive of rating increases and other revenue streams which maintain current levels of service for transport infrastructure]

Council is to accelerate its footpath and kerbing programme on key roads in specific areas, and then revert to maintenance only for the balance of the long term plan. This approach needs to ensure that the integrity of the roading asset is not compromised.

Roads and footpaths

The Transport Agency supports Council's intent is to maintain current LOS related to this Activity Group with a focus on resurfacing, meeting appropriate engineering standards, safety, and alignment with One Network Road Classification levels of surface.

The Transport Agency works in partnership with Council on funding and investment in seal extension work and requests TCDC constructs any future seal extensions to the appropriate engineered standards. This is to ensure there are no impacts on future funding requests under the Transport Agency investment policy for maintenance, operations and road renewal costs.

Development Contributions Policy

Further comments on the Development Contributions Policy.

Draft development contributions policy

We note that the draft DCP has policies in place related to transport based on a single district wide catchment. We note that there are potentially circumstances where this does not allow contributions to be allocated where needed on the network and are committed to working with Council over the next three years to explore more effective mechanisms.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I am submitting on behalf of an organisation/company which is based in the Thames-Coromandel District

If you would like to attach a file to support your submission, please upload it here.

Need help uploading? Call us on 07 868 0200 or email customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz .

Upload your attachment here (file limit 4MB) [NZTA Submission TCDC LTP 2015](#)



Level 1, Deloitte Building
 24 Bridge Street
 PO Box 973, Waikato Mail Centre
 Hamilton 3240
 New Zealand
 T 64 7 958 7220
 F 64 7 957 1437
www.nzta.govt.nz

09 April 2015

The Chief Executive Officer
 Thames Coromandel District Council
 Long Term Plan Submissions
 Private Bag
 Thames 3540

Dear Sir

Thames Coromandel District Council Draft Long-term Plan 2015-25 Submission

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on your Council's Draft Long-term Plan (LTP). The New Zealand Transport Agency (the Transport Agency) acknowledges the efforts of local authorities in preparing these plans. In particular, the ten-year plan process has an important role in ensuring integrated decision making and coordination of resources while providing for a long-term focus for the decisions and activities of the Council.

The Transport Agency is committed to working collaboratively with local government and other organisations to develop the land transport system in a strategic manner. In doing this we are committed to an approach that is forward looking, collaborative, accountable and evidence based.

When carrying out our statutory functions, we must give effect to the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding (GPS) 2015, which sets detailed quantitative expenditure ranges and desired impacts and comes into effect from 1 July 2015. These targets are reflected in the National Land Transport Programme (NLTP), which ensures our investment, delivers on the Government objectives for transport and therefore must be considered in alignment with the preparation of local and regional Long -term Plans.

1. Strategic Context of the LTP

Recent significant investment in water and waste water infrastructure in the east has led to cost impacts for Council in 2015/16 across the board. The Transport Agency's interest is in maintaining current service levels (LOS) for transport infrastructure assets and therefore is supportive of Council's intent to prioritise core service needs, and to investigate other revenue streams such as, for example; proposing changes to the rating structure.

Council is to accelerate its footpath and kerbing programme on key roads in specific areas, and then revert to maintenance only for the balance of the long term plan. This approach needs to ensure that the integrity of the roading asset is not compromised.

2. Draft 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy

Significant Infrastructure Issues

Section 4.2 of the Strategy references 'Security of the Network' as a significant issue for Council relating to coastal erosion and severe storm events etc. that may impact on the roading asset. Whilst

Transport Agency emergency works funding can help respond to significant events, in areas subject or prone to coastal erosion etc. the primary responsibility lies with Council to ensure that there are adequate erosion protection measures.

3. The Business Case Approach

The Transport Agency has signalled a move to a business case approach (BCA) for new transport investments. This approach is consistent with the Treasury Better Business Case model, and ensures that investments in transport are only made where supported by a robust business case. We would like to draw Council's attention that any infrastructure changes identified through the infrastructure strategy that are likely to seek investment from the National Land Transport Fund will need to be consistent with the principles of the Business Case Approach. We are happy to work with Council to ensure the Infrastructure Strategy remains aligned with our requirements in this regard.

4. Growth

The district has significant changes in peak population at certain times of the year, creating increased short term peak demand on council infrastructure which impacts on how to respond with strategic investment of assets. We also recognise the district has an increasingly growing aging population that will require for instance, different types of movement and access solutions.

The Transport Agency would like to be engaged in any development decisions involving future release of land and significant changes to related land use. Collaboration with Council over changes in land use or development responses to increased population growth will ensure the Transport Agency is able to give effect to the statutory relationship between of transport and land use planning, and associated investment under the GPS.

5. Groups of Activities

Roads and Footpaths

The Transport Agency supports Council's intent is to maintain current LOS related to this Activity Group with a focus on resurfacing, meeting appropriate engineering standards, safety, and alignment with One Network Road Classification (ONRC) levels of service.

The Transport Agency works in partnership with Council on funding and investment in seal extension work and requests TCDC constructs any future seal extensions to the appropriate engineered standards. This is to ensure there are no impacts on future funding requests under the Transport Agency investment policy for maintenance, operations and road renewal costs.

Economic Development

The Transport Agency is currently working with TCDC on funding applications related to the Te Kouma road/intersection improvements. If funding is secured for this project early engagement between Council and Highway Network Operations is required to ensure Transport Agency requirements are met. We are aware that the anchor projects related to the improvements will support the economic development associated with the Coromandel Harbour project.

6. Draft Development Contributions Policy

We note that the draft DCP has policies in place related to transport based on a single district wide catchment. We note that there are potentially circumstances where this does not allow contributions

to be allocated where needed on the network and are committed to working with Council over the next three years to explore more effective mechanisms.

7. NLTP Development

The alignment between the LTP transport funding and TIO needs to accurately reflect Council local share, Transport Agency funding assistance, and funding from other sources e.g. development contributions to enable alignment of Councils programme and NLTP allocation. NZTA will confirm indicative programme allocations (maintenance, operations and renewals) by 24 April, with the NLTP being signed off by the Transport Agency Board on the 30 June.

8. One Network Road Classification

The Transport Agency supports the Council's activity management planning activities. All road controlling authorities are working to embed the One Network Road Classification principles over the next 3 year period in preparation for implementation by the commencement of the 2018 -21 National Land Transport Programme.

The ONRC initiative is a significant step towards even smarter investment and asset management, and will provide confidence for future investment decisions. During the next three years the Agency will provide technical and financial support through co-investment to enable the transition to occur.

Summary

The Transport Agency has a statutory function to fulfil which includes strategic investment in transport networks throughout all districts. The Transport Agency looks to Council to work collaboratively on ensuring appropriate processes are used in line with investment policy to enable the preparation of best and appropriate integrated transport response locally. Consideration of future growth demands on core infrastructure and land use while maintaining and developing the transport network is a key focus of the Transport Agency.

The Transport Agency does not seek to be heard in respect of this submission, although we would be pleased to expand or clarify any matters if requested. We would like to thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Long-term Plan. If you have any queries relating to this submission, please do not hesitate to contact Joanna Stone, Senior Planning Advisor on 07 958 7884 or at jo.stone@nzta.govt.nz.

Yours sincerely,



Dennis Crequer
Planning and Investment Manager, Waikato/Bay of Plenty
New Zealand Transport Agency

Make Submission

Consultee	B Turner (59892)
Email Address	museahorse1@xtra.co.nz
Address	200 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	B Turner
Submission ID	LTP15_152
Response Date	6/04/15 7:43 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787105.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Christopher Baddeley (59893)
Address	1916 Whangamata Road RD1 Taupo 3377
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Christopher Baddeley
Submission ID	LTP15_153
Response Date	9/04/15 7:52 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787352.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Lindsay King (59895)
Address	79/67 Ranfurly Road Epsom 1023
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Lindsay King
Submission ID	LTP15_154
Response Date	6/04/15 8:17 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 096302302

Make Submission

Consultee	Kield and Shirley Pederson (59896)
Email Address	shirleykell@xtra.co.nz
Address	P O Box 15 Tairua 3544
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Kield and Shirley Pederson
Submission ID	LTP15_155
Response Date	8/04/15 8:28 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years,

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787081.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Kim Wilton (59897)
Address	12 Ailsa Place Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Kim Wilton
Submission ID	LTP15_156
Response Date	1/04/15 8:36 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787080.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Lionel Martin Warrington (59898)
Email Address	dunflyin@gmail.com
Address	6 Pepe Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Lionel Martin Warrington
Submission ID	LTP15_157
Response Date	2/04/15 8:43 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787079.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Paul Goodwin (59899)
Email Address	nzlbp@xtra.co.nz
Address	174 Ocean Beach Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Paul Goodwin
Submission ID	LTP15_158
Response Date	7/04/15 8:51 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board funds the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787078.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Valerie Gordon (59901)
Address	17 Pahu Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Valerie Gordon
Submission ID	LTP15_159
Response Date	7/04/15 8:59 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

But for WWI, WWII and others

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787070.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Grant Wicksteed (59903)
Email Address	sanchez.c@ihug.co.nz
Address	47 Autumn Ave Auckland 0602
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Grant Wicksteed
Submission ID	LTP15_160
Response Date	6/04/15 9:06 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787069.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Carmen Wicksteed (59904)
Email Address	sanchez.c@ihug.co.nz
Address	47 Autumn Ave Auckland 0602
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Carmen Wicksteed
Submission ID	LTP15_161
Response Date	6/04/15 9:18 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Communtiy Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Communtiy Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you.

I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787068.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Judith Elliot (59906)
Email Address	jude_mudhut@yahoo.co.nz
Address	35 Railway Row Ohakune 4625
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Judith Elliot
Submission ID	LTP15_162
Response Date	6/04/15 9:24 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission?

No

Please select the option that best describes you.

I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787061.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Laurie Franks (59907)
Address	25 Windsor Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Laurie Franks
Submission ID	LTP15_163
Response Date	7/04/15 9:31 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787060.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Barbara Lockington (59910)
Address	331 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Barbara Lockington
Submission ID	LTP15_164
Response Date	10/04/15 9:38 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787059.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Margaret Chick (59912)
Email Address	jetmarg@gmail.com
Address	273 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Margaret Chick
Submission ID	LTP15_165
Response Date	1/04/15 9:44 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787058.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Rowena Brown (59916)
Email Address	kiwijamm@xtra.co.nz
Address	16 Tirinui Cres Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Rowena Brown
Submission ID	LTP15_166
Response Date	15/03/15 9:52 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I support the TPCB funding the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 8647179

Email

Email kiwijamm@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787057.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Marie Newton (59918)
Email Address	newtonm@xtra.co.nz
Address	11 Chestnut Grovre Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Marie Newton
Submission ID	LTP15_167
Response Date	1/04/15 10:04 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

Further comments on the Water Supply activity.

Tairua water supply is very inadequate - this year so far 3 months hose ban is ridiculous considering we have had rain.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787055.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Michael Newton (59920)
Email Address	newtonm@xtra.co.nz
Address	11 Chestnut Grove Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Michael Newton
Submission ID	LTP15_168
Response Date	31/03/15 10:11 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

Tairua-Pauanui Community Space

Further comments on the Tairua-Pauanui Community Space activity.

Stone/tar from Chestnut Grove continues to plague our garage floor, carpets and driveway.

Water Supply

Further comments on the Water Supply activity.

Water supply poor

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787054.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Pamela Ferla (59922)
Address	14 Ridge Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Pamela Ferla
Submission ID	LTP15_169
Response Date	16/03/15 10:17 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787053.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Sharon Marr (59924)
Email Address	sharonmarrism@actrix.co.nz
Address	40 Windsor Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Sharon Marr
Submission ID	LTP15_170
Response Date	8/04/15 10:24 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

Tairua is gate way and should be part of main funding.

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787048.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Albert Marr (59928)
Email Address	albieandsharon@actrix.co.nz
Address	40 Windsor Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Albert Marr
Submission ID	LTP15_171
Response Date	2/04/15 10:39 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

All funded by the District Plan.

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787047.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Glenda Aitkenhead (59931)
Email Address	glendagussy@gmail.com
Address	20 Pepe Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Glenda Aitkenhead
Submission ID	LTP15_172
Response Date	7/04/15 10:49 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787046.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Robyn Hunter (59934)
Email Address	robynhuntertairua@gmail.com
Address	35 Corey Wright Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Robyn Hunter
Submission ID	LTP15_173
Response Date	8/04/15 10:58 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787045.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Jenny Galloway (59937)
Email Address	jennifer.patricia54@gmail.com
Address	649 S H 25 RD1 Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Jenny Galloway
Submission ID	LTP15_175
Response Date	8/04/15 11:27 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787043.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Patty Lee (59948)
Address	28B Hornsea Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Patty Lee
Submission ID	LTP15_176
Response Date	7/04/15 11:42 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787042.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Frank Gosling (59953)
Email Address	frank.gosling46@gmail.com
Address	2 Tirinui Crescent Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Frank Gosling
Submission ID	LTP15_177
Response Date	2/04/15 11:50 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

International and domestic tourists arriving at Auckland Airport or transiting from other regions seeking out the two iconic attractions on the Coromandel do not pass any other information centre. Why fund Thames? Why fund Whitianga? Tairua does it. Keep funding your most important i site.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

I agree with the extended fees for Mercury Bay boat ramp and trailer parking but not the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

N/A

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078647033

Email

Email frank.gosling46@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787030.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mrs June Gosling (59955)
Email Address	frank.gosling46@gmail.com
Address	2 Tirinui Crescents Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mrs June Gosling
Submission ID	LTP15_178
Response Date	2/04/15 12:00 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

The most useful information centre in the TCDC area for international visitors and kiwis alike is in Tairua. It is the only one that traffic passes on route to Cathedral Cove and Hot Water Beach and should receive the largest branch of funding. It is not true that visitors only use "iPad" type systems for information. Face to face communication and assistance is always preferred.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787029.pdf>

115B Tararu Rd
Thames

25-03-2015

Thames Coromandel District Council
Mackay St
Thames.

THAMES-COROMANDEL
DISTRICT COUNCIL

26 MAR 2015

RECEIVED BY: *MI*

**SUBMISSION TO THE THAMES COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL LONG TERM
PLAN FOR 2015 – 2025**

From Beverly Woods, Resident of Thames.

Area concerned: Responsibility for refuse when special events are held in Thames- Coromandel District Council area.

- On Waitangi Day there are recycling bins for different purposes placed there by the organisers of the event. I have been involved in monitoring of the bins as a member of an NGO.
- At the end of the day there was no rubbish to be dealt with.
- Point one – does the TCDC have a similar arrangement with all organisers of special events? ie. Do the organisers have to take responsibility for the refuse? Is there a bond?
- Point two – if there is no specific arrangement this is a submission to ask that TCDC instigate a system whereby organisers of special public events are made responsible for all refuse.

I do not wish to be heard on this matter but would like a reply as to the present situation and what what might need to be done.

B. Woods Signed

B. Woods.

Make Submission

Consultee	Thomson Mary (59962)
Email Address	mary.davidthomson@xtra.co.nz
Address	224 Tony Ave Thames 3500
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Thomson Mary
Submission ID	LTP15_180
Response Date	8/04/15 12:51 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
 - . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.
- Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .*

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Thames - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

Multisport Facility - If we are spending 4.15 m on a multisport facility at the Thames High School and 2.90m on Rhodes Park, would it not be logical to amalgamate the two into the one project. I dont see that there is enough parking at the High School, and the facility wouldnt be able to be used during school hours by outside groups that are not connected to the school. There is ample parking at Rhodes park, with plenty of outdoor area for outdoor sports all happening together. Would there be a flooding problem at Rhodes Park if the Kauaeranga River was dredged and the entrance of the harbour freed of mangroves which would allow the river to flow its natural course.

Comments for the Kopu Business Hub

Toilets - Toilets need to be situated at the turning area between the two bridges. These would then accomodate visitors to the area and the Rail Trail users. Picnic Area - If the Cook Memorial is going to be re-instated in the area, (at the end of the bridges) then it would also be an ideal place for playground, picnic tables with trees planted for shade. (Not necessarily natives).

Wharf - Upgraded to entice river users to Kopu. Business, pleasure, fishing etc. Removing some of the mangroves to enhance the area.

Roads and Footpaths

Further comments on the Roads and Footpaths activity.

Comments to the Kopu Business Hub

Footpaths - Footpathes needed to connect area from Kopu Hotel to the roundabout on State Hwy, along Kopu Road, there is a footpath from Kopu Hotel to Queen Street, and also from Placemakers to the roundabout but nothing along Kopu Road.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787028.pdf>

From: Coromandel Community Library Inc

To: Thames Coromandel District Council
Coromandel Colville Community Board

Submission To Long Term Plan

The Coromandel Service Agreement ceases on 30 June 2015.

We are requesting a renewal from T.C.D.C. via the C.C.C.B. from 1 July 2015 with the same conditions apart from the grant, which should be reduced to \$10,000 per annum. We have been informed that it is T.C.D.C.'s intention to reduce the library grant to an unworkable amount of \$6,000. To say that we are shocked is an understatement and we question whether this severe reduction is intended to penalise us for our successful management as volunteers, or to devalue the library with the intention to downsize. There is no doubt that the library is a success story, and the unique way we operate and manage so effectively and efficiently on a yearly budget of under \$18,000, including the grant, amazes people. The allocated amount documented by Council for the library was \$44,954, a debatable figure given that apart from the grant, rates etc; no maintenance has been carried out on the building in years, nor has it required any. Compare that amount with the \$100,000 plus costs for the District Libraries. We rest our case in terms of value for money and cost effectiveness.

A library is an essential part of a community's well-being. Our ward is no exception, nor should it deserve less. Our costs are continuing to rise; books are more expensive, but rest assured we have honoured our part of the Service Agreement and used the grant for the purpose it was given.

In 2013 when it was realised that we could manage without the grant for the year because we had reasonable reserves, we offered the grant back and were told that this might not be a good idea. So, we used our reserves to pay to have the roof repainted, enabling this work to come forward in the program by two years, and thus using the grant for the purpose it was given. In 2014, again with reasonable reserves, we reduced the subscription from \$20 to \$15.

We will continue to provide the service our community deserves, with specific targets in mind to achieve good outcomes. What we will not do is go beyond what we are capable of doing as volunteers, nor do we intend to return to the days of continual outdoor fundraising to make ends meet.

A precedent was set when Council accepted responsibility for the library in 19890, when it was accepted that it would need some financial support.

As volunteers we go beyond the norm; we are committed to providing an excellent recreational library and we are well trained to do so. When we generate reserves, it is by sheer hard work, always with the intention of using them wisely for the benefit of the Community. Consideration is being given to further reducing our family subscription, recognising people's ability to pay. The carpet is 35 years old and is showing wear in some areas, especially behind the counter and could be deemed a safety hazard. It will need replacing sooner rather than later.

In recognition of our history and heritage, dating back to 1870, we have been establishing a rare and valuable book collection, which needs to be housed in a glass cabinet to prevent theft, but to be available in the library for our local families, and visitors who are interested to read and understand our community and its history.

Through this submission we ask that the Council re-consider and increase the grant to the requested \$10,000.

This community deserves a library that is well stocked with good books, that is managed efficiently, with a grant that meets those requirements, balanced with affordable subscriptions.

It is a library to be proud of autonomous and unique. Do not undervalue it nor take for granted the valuable work of the volunteers.

Carlene Carmichael
Chairperson

Make Submission

Consultee	Eric and Sue Wight (59966)
Email Address	es.wight@xtra.co.nz
Address	P O Box 480 Whitianga 3542
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Eric and Sue Wight
Submission ID	LTP15_182
Response Date	30/03/15 1:18 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.3

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I fully support the development of a district arts strategy with funding being allocated to consultation and development of the strategy,

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3778046.pdf>, <http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3778045.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Donald Hughes (59967)
Address	407 Te Kouma Road RD1 Coromandel 3581
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Donald Hughes
Submission ID	LTP15_183
Response Date	7/04/15 1:20 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Please tell us why

I acknowledge the benefit I receive as a Coromandel resident from the existence of a stormwater system in Thames or Whitianga (or elsewhere on the peninsula) as I use services such as the dentist or stores in these places, which are stafeguarded by such systems.

But I am unable to support the change whilst large disparities exist in the provision of stormwater infrastructure between communities and the benefits of serving to residents in these communities.

Whilst there is no Council stormwater infrastructure where I live I must continue to support the status quo.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

I cannot support the inclusion of even a 'guess-timate' of costs for the Coromandel Harbour Sugar Loaf development, in the absence of information on how these costs are made up and notably, estimates of the possible rate of return on this considerable investment.

. Coromandel-Colville - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Coromandel-Colville - Community Spaces activity.

The 'Blue Highway' concept and the "... improved facilities for Coromandel Harbour users focused on improved wharfage facilities, all-tide access and parking capacity."

The above are all tourism focused and only incidentally and indirectly benefit the bulk of local people. They make very sweeping assumptions on the margin of benefits over costs and the consequent flow on effects of that margin.

Moreover, no mention is made of the externalities that exist because of the difficulty of measuring them.

In the absence of such detail and full accounting information I cannot support the proposals.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone

078668885

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3787027.pdf>

From: Craig Collier [cwcollier22@me.com]
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2015 5:05:13 PM
To: TCDC General Mail Address
Subject: Rates, long term plan targeted rate of \$200.

Please supply me with information on what the commercial rate is and how it is applied to motels. EG A 10 unit motel, with operator housing. What is the total rate paid per year.

My wife and I run the Paku Vista B&B at 308 main road Tairua and offer 4 bedrooms for guests.

Because we have 2 houses on the same property that are available to visitors and already pay double rates on all services supplied by council we feel that this proposed extra rate is unfair.

Are we paying a commercial rate?

If not, what would we pay on our property if you applied the commercial rate.

Looking forward to your reply.

Craig Collier

Make Submission

Consultee	Emma Darragh (59970)
Email Address	emmadarraghheath@gmail.com
Address	30 Gallagher Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Emma Darragh
Submission ID	LTP15_186
Response Date	9/04/15 1:37 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789681.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	David Rolfe (59971)
Email Address	drolfe@hotmail.com
Address	296 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	David Rolfe
Submission ID	LTP15_187
Response Date	9/04/15 1:42 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789680.pdf>

Re submissions on Long Term Plan

Why are you reducing the Development Contributions, so this will mean the rate payers that have full sections will be tempted to cross lease, there fore creating more housing, in a supposedly already crowded town. Isn't that why you want to charge Bach owners \$200 for the privilege of being able to rent out their Bach's-to help pay for more infrastructure? Whangamata doesn't want to become the next Whitianga or in competition with it, it's a great size the way it is....

As for this \$200 for Bach owners, we will have to pass this onto tenants, and therefore affecting the affordability of holidays for the average New Zealander, and this in turn will not support your 5% increase in visitor guest nights-just another money grabbing scam from an under performing council that seems to have more problems than solutions. Bach owners pay **full** rates, and we are only there a small percentage of the time, its not up to you to decide if it's a luxury item, its none of your business. I will be very interested on how you are going to "police" this?

TO
RECEIVED
30 MAR 2015
Thames-Coromandel District Council
ECM No:

Make Submission

Consultee	Wendy Kinzett (59973)
Email Address	dwkinzett@ihug.co.nz
Address	295 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Wendy Kinzett
Submission ID	LTP15_189
Response Date	27/03/15 1:52 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose the Tairua Community Board continues to fund the Information Centre for the next 3 years.

Rent at Tairua is high.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3775820.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Dorothy Payne (59974)
Email Address	brianpayne@slingshot.co.nz
Address	269 Paku Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Dorothy Payne
Submission ID	LTP15_190
Response Date	10/04/15 1:53 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789925.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Brian Payne (59975)
Email Address	brianpayne@slingshot.co.nz
Address	269 Paku Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Brian Payne
Submission ID	LTP15_191
Response Date	10/04/15 1:58 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789928.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Gary Nevin (59978)
Address	1367 SH25 RD1 Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Gary Nevin
Submission ID	LTP15_192
Response Date	9/04/15 2:06 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

Support the development of a District wide Arts Strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789677.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Peter Lockart (59979)
Address	235 Onemana Drive RD1 Whangamata 3691
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Peter Lockart
Submission ID	LTP15_193
Response Date	26/03/15 2:09 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.5

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

May I suggest that the iron swing section on the old Kopu Bridge be shifted to a site adjacent to the new facility and this be a dual memorial to the WW1 soldiers and Thames history

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3776680.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Colin Verner (59980)
Email Address	colinvnz@yahoo.com
Address	19 Cemetery Road RD2 Whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Colin Verner
Submission ID	LTP15_194
Response Date	7/04/15 2:12 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I support the development of a District wide arts strategy with funding being allocated to consultation and development of the strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789672.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Ginney Deavoll (59983)
Email Address	ginneydeavoll@gmail.com
Address	19 Pa Road RD1 Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ginney Deavoll
Submission ID	LTP15_196
Response Date	7/04/15 2:20 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I would like to see TCDC develop and adopt an arts strategy to encourage and promote all arts and creativity in our District.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789668.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Dhyana Muir (59984)
Email Address	dhyanaphotography@gmail.com
Address	3 Pacific Drive Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Dhyana Muir
Submission ID	LTP15_197
Response Date	7/04/15 2:26 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I request that TCDC develop and adopt an arts strategy to encourage and promote all arts and creativity in our District.

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789662.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Natasha Courtney (59986)
Email Address	tashcourtney@yahoo.com
Address	60 Grange Road Hahei Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Natasha Courtney
Submission ID	LTP15_198
Response Date	7/04/15 2:31 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I fully support the development of a District wide arts strategy, with funding being allocated to the development and implementation of it.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789653.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Margaret Ivory (59987)
Email Address	david.margaret.ivory@xtra.co.nz
Address	105 Grange Road RD1 Hahei 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Margaret Ivory
Submission ID	LTP15_199
Response Date	7/04/15 2:36 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I fully support the development of a District wide strategy for the arts with funding being allocated to the development and implementation of the strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789652.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Sandie Murray (59988)
Email Address	sandyrosebandb@gmail.com
Address	122 Hetherington Road Whangamata 3620
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Sandie Murray
Submission ID	LTP15_200
Response Date	31/03/15 2:39 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078656911

Email

Email sandyrosebandb@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District
but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

**If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below
into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.**

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3780804.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Gail Taylor (59990)
Email Address	gailtayloenergyworks@xtra.co.nz
Address	165 Kaimarama Road RD1 Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Gail Taylor
Submission ID	LTP15_201
Response Date	7/04/15 2:43 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I request that TCDC to develop and adopt an arts strategy to encourage and promote all arts and creativity in our District.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789651.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Andy Murray (59991)
Email Address	murrmoss@gmail.com
Address	122 Hetherington Road Whangamata 3620
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Andy Murray
Submission ID	LTP15_202
Response Date	31/03/15 2:45 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.5

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078656911

Email

Email murrmoss@gmail.com

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3780806.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Beverley Ross (59996)
Email Address	bevandlou@slingshot.co.nz
Address	163 Buffalo Beach Road Whitianga 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Beverley Ross
Submission ID	LTP15_203
Response Date	2/04/15 3:05 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Please tell us why.

I am opposed to paying \$200.00 extra rates because I have accommodation downstairs.

Since my husband died and my financial circumstances changed, I rely on this extra income, which does not even cover my yearly rates, as my only other income is the pension.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
 - . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.
- Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .*

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Coastal and Hazard Management

Further comments on the Coastal and Hazard Management activity.

Why is nothing been done about the continuing loss of beach front at Brophys? It is now extremely difficult to get down on to the sand.

I have no interest in paying for special walkways and rail bike trails. It would make more sense to try and stop beach erosion. What we already have with Hot Water Beach, Cathedral Cove and lovely beaches etc. is what people visit for.

. Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

[Submitter expresses preference for funds to be spent on preventing coastal erosion]

I have no interest in paying for special walkways and rail bike trails. It would make more sense to try and stop beach erosion. What we already have with Hot Water Beach, Cathedral Cove and lovely beaches etc. is what people visit for.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3782340.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Judith Foster (60001)
Email Address	fosrack@gmail.com
Address	14 Mahakirau Road Coromandel 3581
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Judith Foster
Submission ID	LTP15_204
Response Date	1/04/15 3:17 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I fully support the development of a district wide arts strategy with funding being allocated to consultation and development of the strategy. The strategy should:

- 1 Be inclusive of all art forms
- 2 Involves consultation with and be relevant to all residents and ratepayers in the district
- 3 Acknowledge the economic significance of the arts in the region

- 4 Include action plans for the support and development of art and creativity in our district.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3782341.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Margherita Giampietri (60009)
Email Address	maggie@villatoscano.co.nz
Address	PO Box 43 Whitianga 3542
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ms Margherita Giampietri
Submission ID	LTP15_205
Response Date	7/04/15 3:40 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I support the development of an Art Strategy for the Coromandel District to encourage and promote all of the Arts, with funding being allocated to implement the strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789643>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Ian Calloway (60013)
Email Address	ian@iancalloway.co.nz
Address	6 Puriri Place Whitianga 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mr Ian Calloway
Submission ID	LTP15_206
Response Date	7/04/15 3:59 PM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I request that TCDC develop and adopt an Arts Strategy to encourage promotion of the arts in our area.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789650>

Make Submission

Consultee	Roger Turner (60035)
Email Address	rogarandpat@xtra.co.nz
Address	Box 5 Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Roger Turner
Submission ID	LTP15_207
Response Date	10/04/15 7:15 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

[pre-populated form]

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

Not TCDC core business

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

N/A

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3790152.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Raewyn Helms (60036)
Email Address	helmsdavis@paradise.net.nz
Address	9A Lee Street Whitianga 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Raewyn Helms
Submission ID	LTP15_208
Response Date	7/04/15 7:25 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I support the development of an arts strategy for the Coromandel District to encourage and promote all of the arts with funding being allocated to implement the strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789642.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Ian Webster (60037)
Address	325 Kuaotunu Wharekaha Road Whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ian Webster
Submission ID	LTP15_209
Response Date	7/04/15 7:33 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that public toilets should be funded locally.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I request that TCDC to develop and adopt an arts strategy to encourage and promote all arts and creativity in our district.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789640.pdf>

From: David M H [xrdave1@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2015 12:43:12 PM

To: TCDC General Mail Address

Subject: Submission, Long Term Plan 2015-25; Boat docking facilities at Whitianga

Dear TCDC

As a long time property (and boat) owner at Cooks Beach, I would like to urge you to consider building short term docking spaces at Whitianga, to allow boating visitors to disembark and visit the town.

The existing marina and boat ramp do not have any spaces available for hourly (or overnight visitors). Boat owners from nearby communities would like to be able to stop in Whitianga for periods of 1-4 hours to have a drink or meal, go shopping, or explore. Currently this is not an option. In fact, Marina staff aggressively and rudely expel any visiting boats who enter the marina.

respectfully submitted

David Hough

27 Banks Street, Cooks Beach

Make Submission

Consultee	Beverley and John Maplesden (60039)
Address	185 Main Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Beverley and John Maplesden
Submission ID	LTP15_211
Response Date	7/04/15 7:45 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to locally funded over the next three years.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

[pre-populated form]

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board fund the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789498.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Lizzy Leckie (60040)
Email Address	ccandll@xtra.co.nz
Address	P O Box 316 Whitianga 3542
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Lizzy Leckie
Submission ID	LTP15_212
Response Date	2/04/15 7:51 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?	Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.
---	--

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

I fully support the development of a District wide arts strategy with funding being allocated to consultation and development of the strategy.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078660002

Email

Email ccandll@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789496.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Kathey Warner (60041)
Email Address	onewomansway@yahoo.com
Address	P O Box 146 Tairua 3544
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Kathey Warner
Submission ID	LTP15_213
Response Date	10/04/15 7:57 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

[pre-populated form]

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board funds the tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789494.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	John McGregor (60042)
Address	68 Woods Road RD4 Coromandel 3584
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	John McGregor
Submission ID	LTP15_214
Response Date	6/04/15 8:04 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? No, I do not agree. Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should not be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

I agree with the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride but not the extended fees for the Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

Economic Development should be central Govt. funded.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789490.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	John Reeves (60043)
Email Address	john.reeves@xtra.co.nz
Address	Not supplied Not supplied Not supplied
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	John Reeves
Submission ID	LTP15_215
Response Date	10/04/15 8:09 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

[pre-populated message has been crossed out]

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

I only agree with the additional \$50,000 per year, not the new role at a cost of \$90,000 a year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789485.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Simon Faire (60044)
Email Address	simonfaire@vodafone.co.nz
Address	21 Pentland Ave Auckland 1024
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Simon Faire
Submission ID	LTP15_216
Response Date	4/04/15 8:13 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Please tell us why

+ sliding scale from 2-4

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I own a property in the Thames-Coromandel District but I live elsewhere in New Zealand

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789469.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Michael Smither Gilliam McGregor (60046)
Address	8 Otama Beach Road RD2 Whitianga 3592
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Michael Smither Gilliam McGregor
Submission ID	LTP15_217
Response Date	8/04/15 8:22 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.5

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move to be locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Please tell us why.

- As indicated, we support the memorial native forests, and the mix of contractor and volunteer involvement. Provide at outset opportunities for creative thinking and public art events/statements.

Have more to tell us? Record it below.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

Full support for District wide arts strategy and creation of full time job consulting and developing the strategy.

. District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

- Ensure New Chum/Wainuiototo remains development free, and fund other bays into the public experience.

. Coastal and Hazard Management

Further comments on the Coastal and Hazard Management activity.

- Initiate and realise more marine reserves, or create local government equivalent marine reserves.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

- [on the idea of] Coromandel as a heritage region, start planning now to ensure integrity behind the claim.
- Need to plan for ensuring sufficient motor camps remain providing the iconic NZ holiday family experience.

. Mercury Bay - Community Spaces

Further comments on the Mercury Bay - Community Spaces activity.

- Re. Whitianga medical centre, consider instead a wider concept, a health complex that provides on the Eastern side of the peninsula, a 50m swimming pool. A community pool.
- Consider planning for Whitianga to become the Venice of the South: meet rising sea levels and treat the fact as a platform for creative and exciting responses. Canals in the town like waterways has.

. Roads and Footpaths

Further comments on the Roads and Footpaths activity.

- Recognise w roading planning for the significant differences created by our geography. Don't seal all roadways, but keep well maintained gravel roads. Provide more pull-over areas for visitors to enjoy the spectacular of our everyday.

. Other

Further comments.

- provide mechanisms that support the early and significant life style patterns that are part of the District's cultural heritage. Communes, organic agriculture. Foster and promote such structures.
- Establish a fundamental of respect for early M ori pre-European lifestyles. All headlands with pa sites be preserved and respected.

- as a council policy ensure all properties Council owned are solar powered, to feed excess power generated back into the National grid.
- TCDC should lead by example and ensure all land managed by TCDC, that has waterways flowing through, have riverside planting.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078664331

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789468.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Darian Lunjevich-West (60052)
Email Address	Louise.West@waikatodhb.health.nz
Company / Organisation	Thames Youth Forum and Thames Youth Supporters Network
Address	C/o Louise West Population Health Thames 3540
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Thames Youth Forum and Thames Youth Supporters Network (Darian Lunjevich-West)
Submission ID	LTP15_218
Response Date	9/04/15 8:26 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.11
	. Representation

Further comments on the Representation activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

Over half of the respondents [to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 and in the Thames area] feel that it is important for young people to be involved in decision making. A quarter of the respondents don't know whether they should be involved or not, and only a very small percentage feel youth do not need to be involved in decision making.

[Refer to submission for an overview of key results from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 which collected 788 total district responses and 293 responses from youth in the Thames Community Board area relating to youth priorities.]

We feel the 2015-2025 Draft Ten Year Plan is completely focused around rates, roading, and rubbish and the community factor is not present. We challenge Council as to what level of commitment youth can expect from you? How and what will you do? What level of resource do you intend to commit? Are you honouring your youth strategy? Youth are in a transitional stage, they will grow up - their experiences as youth may well shape their decision to stay in the district. We would like to reinforce that community and people are everything and we insist that Council members consider what the priorities and issues are for youth when making decisions that will affect them. [Refer to submission for full detail].

Create more opportunities:

1 Give youth a voice and identity.

Ensure there's more support for youth:

1 Respectful interactions with youth without discrimination.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Thames area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

1 Ensuring consideration is given to young people, particularly Maori, who may be hard to reach, may be isolated, may be impacted by unpredictable environments, and/or may be disengaged from marae/iwi.

Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

1 Ministry of Youth Development Partnership Fund administrator.

Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

One of the three most cited things [from responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 in the Thames area] that impact youth in the Thames area are:

1 Boredom, sick of the same old stuff, and feeling that there is nothing fun to do.

Offer more youth focused activities:

1 More activities - go karts, bowling, trips away, ice skating, laser tag, mini putt, outdoor stuff. More after school clubs and programs for youth.

2 More youth entertainment such as social events, concerts, nightlife, and productions.

More sports, workshops, and cheap activities.

Invest in new developments:

1 Make school start later, have shorter class times, and have a study room.

Upgrade current facilities:

1 Invest in improving I upgrading current facilities with a specific focus on:

1 Support the local high school by upgrading the classrooms, funding more education opportunities; and ensuring everyone attended school.

Create more opportunities:

1 Promote youth activities better.

Ensure there's more support for youth:

1 Youth experiencing hardship such as homelessness are supported.

The most cited things [from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] that youth would change in Thames to better support youth include:

1 More youth focussed activities.

2 More entertainment.

For Thames to remain youth-friendly it is suggested that:

1 Community relationships remain supportive and positive

2 Activities and options that youth enjoy remain available

3 There continues to be opportunities for community to unite.

In order to improve Thames to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

1 Motivating a desire in youth to participate in their communities.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Thames developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

1 Respect young people and celebrate the valuable contribution they make to the community. More emphasis should be put into progressing the Youth Strategy.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Thames-Coromandel District Council Youth Strategy.
- 2 Supporting the 2015 Coromandel Peninsula Youth Awards Members in the Coromandel Peninsula Youth / Council Partnership.

District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Thames developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

1 Create a lush and healthy environment that young people are proud to call their own, and that focuses upon sustaining natural resources into the future.

Provide a healthier environment:

1 No mining.

Community Health and Safety

Further comments on the Community Health and Safety activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

One of the three most cited things [from responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 in the Thames area] that impact youth in the Thames area are:

1 The legal and illegal use of drugs.

Improve safety and security:

1 Eliminate violence, aggression, bullying, tagging, crime, drugs, smoking, and gangs.

2 Add more streetlights, police on duty, and security cameras.

3 A curfew to keep troublesome youth off the streets.

4 Alcohol made less available to youth.

5 More consequences around drug and alcohol use by youth.

6 Reduce the amount of liquor stores and improve regulations around sale and supply.

7 Improve road safety by installing traffic lights, and challenging bad driving.

8 Expect better behaviour and role modelling by adults.

In order to improve Thames to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

1 Reducing incidences of crime, violence, and intimidation towards youth.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Thames area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

1 Reducing the prevalence and impact of legal and illegal drug use.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Thames developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Create healthy public policies that challenge the factors identified by young people as making them feel unsafe and vulnerable, and ensure more action is taken to challenge unreasonable and unlawful behaviours.
- 2 Ensure young people have access to robust support and education around the aspects of youth health that are impacting their ability to prosper.

Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

The most cited things [from responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 in the Thames area] that impact youth in the Thames area includes:

- 1 Not enough youth focused shops, activities, and good facilities available.

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More community events like other towns had (Paeroa Battle of the Streets, Beach Hop, Coro Gold, and Celtic Fair).

Improve retail options:

- 1 More youth focused clothing and interest shops - skate/surf, menswear, Glasson's, Valley Girl, Jay Jays, and Cotton On and science shops and Spotlight.
- 2 Thames Mall - bigger, more exciting, take it off the market, stop shops from leaving, increase opening hours, and lower the rent.
- 3 More food outlets - fast food, café's, Carts Jnr, Burger King, Kiwi Yo, juice bars, lolly shops, pizza parlours, and Burger Fuel.
- 4 Open a Z gas station.

Create more opportunities:

- 1 More employment.
- 2 More free WIFI hotspots and fast broadband.

One of the most cited things [in the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] that youth would change in Thames to better support youth:

- 1 More youth focused clothing shops.

In order to improve Thames to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Targeting youth interests when developing new facilities, shops and activities.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Thames area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Utilising modern marketing systems and youth focused promotion when presenting youth targeted activities.
- 2 Involving youth in the planning and implementation of new developments.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Thames developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Increase opportunities for young people to get ahead in life, become more connected, independent and financially sound, and ensure their skills remain in our district.
- 2 Invest in new developments that improve young people's access to activities that excite them and enable them to foster skills in what they are passionate about, and that encourage people to come to the area.
- 3 Advocate that new retail opportunities consider youth as a target market when setting up in the area.

Further comments on the Thames - Community Spaces activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

The findings [of the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] show that although eight youth opted not to respond to the question, slightly more young people felt that the Thames area was youth friendly, than those that did not.

The three things young people feel most make Thames youth friendly are when:

- 1 People are nice, friendly, caring and helpful
- 2 There are a variety of activities I options that youth enjoy available
- 3 Everyone knows everyone.

The three things young people feel most make Thames non-youth friendly are:

- 1 Inappropriate behaviour.
- 2 There is nothing to do and they are sick of the same old things.
- 3 A lack of youth focused facilities, shops and activities are available.

The biggest impacts on youth in the Thames area are (in order of responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013):

- 1 Vulnerability
- 2 Unengaged youth
- 3 Substance abuse
- 4 Lack of opportunities
- 5 Isolation.

[Refer to full submission for more detail.]

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More cool, safe places to hang out.

Invest in new developments:

- 1 More entertainment - a bowling alley, a water park, an ice skating rink, a paintball field, a go-kart track, a youth zone, more youth centres, and a mini putt.
- 2 More indoor facilities - more sport, rock climbing walls, tennis, a skate/sport/ pool with hydro slides complex, and dance studios.
- 3 New outdoor facilities - a new skate park, a grand stand, more parks and playgrounds, a mountain bike track/park, and shelters for when it rained.
- 4 More attractions such as zoo, more hotels, a nightclub, and a sky city complex.
- 5 All facilities to be affordable for young people to use.
- 6 Improve access to Thames beach.

Upgrade current facilities:

- 1 Invest in improving I upgrading current facilities with a specific focus on:
 - 1 The skate park - flatter concrete and more gear.
 - 2 Community pools - deepen and heat them and include hydro slides and wave pools.
 - 3 Playgrounds - remove the bark and add softer material, remove the swings, add more gear.
 - 4 Improve the Rhodes Parks drainage system.
 - 5 Make the movie theatres bigger.
 - 6 Improve the Civic Centre.
 - 7 Repair important facilities such as the public toilets and the wharf.

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Fund youth facilities.

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 Beautify the area through colour, art, flowers and gardens.
- 2 Eradicate gorse.
- 3 Tidy up the mudflats, mangroves, and the muddy river.

4 Up keep bush tracks.

Ensure there's more support for youth:

1 Ensure a great youth / family environment with good community spirit.

One of the four most cited things [in the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] that youth would change in Thames to better support youth:

1 More indoor facilities.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Thames developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

1 Develop plans to maintain and improve established facilities that young people use on an ongoing basis.

2 Offer activities and events targeted towards areas that interest youth and create safe areas for young people to socialize.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

1 Support to local youth centres / spaces.

We are extremely disappointed with the continual lack of progress with regard to the Thames Skate Park project. The amount of funds this project has used in further feasibility studies and new plans is remarkable and to be told again and again that there have been hold ups due to "not realising there were drains" beneath a community park that has already been built on, is astounding. We would like to see immediate action on this project please!

Roads and Footpaths

Further comments on the Roads and Footpaths activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

Upgrade current facilities:

1 Invest in improving I upgrading current facilities with a specific focus on:

1 Make a lot more parking.

Create more opportunities:

1 Free public transport.

Solid Waste

Further comments on the Solid Waste activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

Provide a healthier environment:

1 Remove rubbish and pollution from the community.

2 Clean up the beaches.

. Water Supply

Further comments on the Water Supply activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

- 1 Provide a healthier environment:
- 2 No chlorine in town water supply.

. Rates/Debt

Further comments on Rates/Debt.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Reduce costs.

. Other

Further comments.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Thames Community Board]

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Make the driver's license process easier.
- Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 Become sustainable and self-reliant.
- 2 Protect the wildlife.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Community Development Officers youth focus.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3788695.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	John North (60048)
Email Address	j.t.north@xtra.co.nz
Address	72 Pa Road Hahei Whitianga 3591
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	John North
Submission ID	LTP15_219
Response Date	8/04/15 8:29 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.6

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Please tell us why.

[Submitter ticked both "I do not agree" and also "I only agree with the fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking" on the submission form.]

2. Local Fees and Charges Hahei Park and Ride -a new fee is proposed of \$2 per hour or \$15 daily (Pay and Display) in the parking area. **AGAINST** the proposal. Unlike Hot Water Beach where vehicle parking is very much confined to the Pay and display, small area adjacent and the ' bull paddock area' Hahei presents multiple options of parking in and around streets within the Village. More effective to maintain a free vehicle park(and increase the Bus fares to reduce/minimise /eliminate the cost to the Ratepayer.) Cease financial DOC Cathedral Cove Car park is where a Pay and display should be introduced. Currently the tendency is that all traffic goes to this parking area as a first point of reference to visit the Cathedral Cove. Pay and Display in this area would have the impact of reducing traffic on Grange Road and increase patronage at the Park and Ride. **3.Hahei Park and Ride Development 2015/16 \$438k** **CONDITIONAL ON A PROFESSIONAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION** Refers to a 'Pay and display' all weather car park on TCDC Hahei Waste treatment land. The capacity 130 cars/15 Camper Vans and 2 buses. Last 2 summers the Park and Ride operated 28 and 32 days and the treatment land was utilised as a secondary overflow parking area the primary area being the entrance off Hahei Beach Road i.e.'Jackson paper road' Peak hourly vehicles parking during this period of operation was recorded as 280 vehicles and a further 300 vehicles plus were parked at the Beach front park and on the roadside of Hahei Beach Road and adjacent roads(Harsant,Dawn, Cathedral Court up to Grierson Close) Visitor numbers peak during the boxing day to mid january with a recorded 55,000 people visiting Cathedral Cove during january. Are TCDC able to definitively state that the Waste Treatment land will not be required within the next 10years to accommodate a Village wide Waste treatment? Visitor numbers to the area have grown Annually and given Tourism NZ/Destination Coromandel promotion and Auckland's increasing population will continue to do so. A further Car park expansion is scheduled for 2020/21 —\$179k to accommodate an additional 130 vehicles. The capacity would then be sufficient to accommodate peak vehicles in 2015!!!! Visitor attractions currently revolve around Hot Water Beach, Cathedral Cove and once funding is in place—Cathedral Cove/Blowhole walkway. Do we have a strategy formulated that will manage visitor vehicles throughout the South Mercury Bay area during the peak period? Have options been evaluated ? Effectiveness of an alternative entry to Cathedral Cove via a Lees Road Car park? Cause an effect—at the moment and unless we attempt to control the cause we shall always be in catch up mode. Recommend TCDC to approach DOC to implement an entry permit/capacity system at Cathedral Cove for 1 to 2m during the summer—numerous advantages.

Plus Coromandel ET walsk

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? Please tell us why.

N/A

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Please tell us why.

N/A

Do you agree with the proposed consutruction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Please tell us why.

N/A

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? Yes, I support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Wastewater

Further comments on the Wastewater activity.

1. Hahei Waste Water upgrade 2017/18 \$350k SUPPORT the expenditure which is required to upgrade the electrical control board and the effluent pre-screening. The plant has been performing seamlessly during the past 5 years and it is essential that the plant reliability is maintained. Major downtime would result in emergency discharges into the Wigmore stream.

. Other

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078663310

Email

Email j.t.north@xtra.co.nz

Hearing requirements:

Submitter noted the following on his submission form -

"Require submission hearing for park and ride fees and car parking capital 15/16 + 20/21
Plus Coromandel *ET(?)* walks"

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789466.pdf> <http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3788039.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Mrs Wendy Pond (60049)
Email Address	w.swallow@clear.net.nz
Address	Wyuna Bay Road Coromandel 3581
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Mrs Wendy Pond
Submission ID	LTP15_220
Response Date	9/04/15 8:31 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Further comments on the Rates Remission Policy.

SUBMISSION ON "A Separately Used or Inhabited Part of a Rating Unit includes any portion inhabited or used by a person other than the owner, and who has the right to inhabit or use that portion by virtue of a tenancy lease, license, or other agreement. For the purpose of this policy, vacant land and vacant premises offered or intended for use or habitation by a person other than the owner and usually used as such are defined as 'used'. The Council will charge each separately used or inhabited part of a rating unit for UAGC and some targeted rates."

2014/15 Annual Plan, p.184. TCDC Long Term Plan 2015-2025, rates remission policy, p.24, fairness, equity and empathy.

* This regulation takes away the living of poorer members of the community.

* Where one unit is let by a pensioner there is rarely an increase in the demand on council services above the demands of an average family.

* Tenants are found by word of mouth, not by Council services such as Destination Coromandel.

*When Glenn Leach was elected Mayor, he gave an undertaking to restrain rises in rates.

RELIEF SOUGHT: My submissions seek full remission for private householders of the rates charged for "separately used or inhabited parts of a rating unit" where a private householder is letting one unit on the same title or a private householder is providing holiday accommodation for less than 90 days a year. In detail:

A. LONG TERM TENANTS. I suggest full remission for private property owners who let an accommodation unit within their title to *long-term tenants*. Coromandel is short of rental accommodation and property owners are rendering a social service that makes the town viable. A recent past headmaster at Coromandel Area School commented that current students are unlikely to own their own property in Coromandel. A good supply of accommodation at reasonable rates will attract permanent residency in the town. It is said that 50 percent of Coromandel houses are empty in winter. Permanent residents shopping locally are necessary to keep local businesses going in winter. There is often a mutual benefit where a tenant assists an elderly property owner with property maintenance. Availability of rental accommodation at reasonable rates is a social good. People in this situation will pay rates twice for the same property. This is a fundamental wrong

B. HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION. I suggest full remission for property owners who let holiday accommodation for less than 90 days a year. I suggest they be recognized under units "not usually used for letting" in the definition currently in force, so no change to the wording is needed, but affirmation of this interpretation of the wording. Coromandel needs holiday accommodation to support tourism. Professional motellers do not meet the holiday demand. I have heard visitors in the Coromandel Information Centre begging for a garage to sleep in.

It is not reasonable to levy a whole year's rates for 13 weeks of guests. The regulation has a severity that is unreasonable in relation to the small scale of the enterprise.

FOUNDATIONS FOR SUBMISSIONS In 2003 the Local Government Rating Act 2002 was used by Thames Coromandel District Council to demand two sets of rates from householders who let an accommodation unit (a.) to annual tenants, and (b.) to short-term holiday guests. One property owner I spoke to, who rents out a garden cottage, calculated that he is paying the rate for his property plus an additional 65 percent of that rate. For these people, rates have galloped. No-one I know in Coromandel likes having tenants. Numerous Coromandel property owners have given up renting, citing property damage, social disruption, and failure to pay rent. Others rent below market price in order to retain good tenants. These property owners are not engaging in a commercial enterprise. Most private householders I know make little out of renting after paying IRD tax, insurance, letting costs, and repair bills. They rent because their means are limited. Nineteenth century English literature records the practice of poor widows taking in lodgers as a means of income. With a rise in the standard of modern living, self-contained quarters are provided to tenants and paying guests. But the principle is the same. Pensioners without adequate savings gain capital for roof repairs and home maintenance, hospital bills and travel by letting accommodation to tenants and holiday makers.

These remissions could be a permitted activity that does not need application or affidavits. United Nations has advised governments that legislation should not disadvantage people in lower income brackets. Council's "core values of empathy and compassion, along with fairness and equity" are affirmed in its public statements.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 078668581

Email

Email w.swallow@clear.net.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789513>

Submission to TCDC long term plan 2015--2025

Personal submission by Wendy Pond,
Secretary, Manu Waiata Restoration & Protection Society.

Submissions on THE NEW TARGETED RATE OF \$200 + GST LEVIED ON SHORT TERM ACCOMMODATION PROVIDERS

Proposed: Introduce a new targeted rate to apply to all residential properties offering short term accommodation of \$200 per property and reClassify Bed and Breakfast businesses with four or more bedrooms for hire as commercial properties. Thames Coromandel District Council Long Term Plan 2015-2025, Economic Development Policy, p.23

My submissions seek full remission of the targeted rate for non-commercial accommodation providers.

Private people who let accommodation to holiday visitors are not operating commercial, professional businesses. Most of their clientel is from word-of-mouth and guests returning, or from their own websites and private agencies.

The new targeted rate is unreasonable in these respects:

1. PAYING V. NON-PAYING VISITORS. The targeted rate makes a distinction between paying and non-paying guests. This is unreasonable.

a.) There is no difference in impact on Coromandel's resources between relatives (sometimes three generation families) who swarm into our private houses and camp on our lawns, and paying guests who stay at B&Bs and motels. In fact, relatives often are more socially disruptive and make a greater demand on services, than the middle class couples who can afford paid accommodation. That is, Council does not have scientific grounds for targeting accommodation providers with paying guests.

b.) Visitors do have detrimental impacts on the natural environment. They deplete shellfish beds; tramp and camp in wildlife habitat spreading foreign organisms (Australian crickets), diseases (guidardia), and viruses (kauri dieback); let dogs roam (a major predator on kiwi); leave behind cats (a major predator on native skinks); drive badly, causing accidents; thieve; disturb the peace (rock music, vehicle movements at odd hours).... But these impacts are by paying and non-paying visitors alike.

c.) Accommodation providers pay income tax to IRD. The additional targeted rate is opportunistic: it doesn't have a sound justification : it creates contradictions as described. Further, it will require staff time to police, it will create distrust (will Council staff searching B&B and BookAbach websites?), and it will antagonise the general public.

d.) The new targeted rate breaches the Mayor's undertaking to restrain rate rises.

2. COMMERCIAL V. PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION PROVIDERS. It is unreasonable to lump together large scale professional and commercial accommodation providers (hoteliers, pub-keepers, moteliers, camping ground proprietors who are running a large-scale business) with private, small-scale providers (holiday home owners, RentABach owners who are accommodating one family at a time, B&Bs and homestays who have only the bedrooms of a house to let).

That is, the targeted rate creates a by-catch. It places a private activity (renting a cottage) in the same category as a commercial enterprise (running a motel as a business). Recreational fishermen are not asked to pay the licence fees of a trawler, but they are limited by catch. Similarly, a line can be drawn between private and commercial activity by limiting private rental activity to one cottage per title, four B&B beds, and so on, and excluding these small scale activities from the targeted rate.

The moteliers have complained of competition, but that is the nature of their business: moteliers compete against each other for clients!

Further, the moteliers are not able to meet peak holiday demand; and their tariffs are too expensive for many families to be able to enjoy the peninsula's resources. That is, small accommodation providers are rendering an adjunct service that maintains the peninsula's tourism industry. They should not be penalised.

The new targeted rate is unscientific in these respects:

3. WHAT CREATES A CLIENTEL? The rate is levied as a contribution to Council expenditure on advertising the peninsula's attractions. However, Council advertising is not the source of clientel for small accommodation providers. The strongest factors in choice of accommodation are word of mouth (widely attested by B&B providers) and habit (attested by camping ground proprietors).

4. WHAT ATTRACTS VISITORS? The rate is levied as a contribution to Council expenditure on providing visitor services. However, it is the private owners who largely provide the services that attract visitors: they build and furnish the accommodation (motels, camping grounds, B&Bs, baches); find the clients through their own websites and their reputation; build and man the tourist attractions (Waiau WaterWorks, Driving Creek Railway, yacht charters, fishing barges, discovery tours); provide transport (buses, shuttles); run cafes, takeaways, pubs, and restaurants for dining out.

5. WHO CREATES THE BENEFITS OF VISITORS? Tourism is said to be the peninsula's main source of income. This implies that paying and non-paying visitors bring greater economic benefit to the peninsula than the costs they incur (otherwise tourism would run at a loss), so why should Council levy those who accommodate the visitors? They already pay income tax to IRD. The Council is putting a greedy hand in the till, and penalising the very people who make tourism a viable industry.

50 percent of huses are empty during the winter when they do not support local

businesses. Shops in Coromandel say they depend of income from holiday visitors to survive the winter, and these visitors are accommodated by short term accommodation providers.

The targeted rate endorses a problem. BookaBach is causing social disruption where guests come and go at irregular hours, and introduce additional traffic in quiet streets. The targeted rate allows the problem to continue, and gives it authority to continue! Social relations between neighbours need their own kind of resolution.

The targeted rate does not serve fundamental prosperity. The targeted rate is a response to complaints from moteliers that the economic costs of tourism are not spread equitably. Tourism is a fickle industry, it is dependent on outside wealth, it enriches private entrepreneurs, and it has serious environmental impacts. Greater equitability for the resident population would be achieved by spending economic development funds on converting the landscape from pastoral farming to horticulture; securing land for community gardens for future generations who will not own land; reducing poisoning of wildlife habitat and agricultural land; ensuring local fishing resources are protected for local use; replacing short-term radiata production forestry with long-term hardwoods; establishing manufacturing and processing industries; moving settlement away from coasts and flood plains; and such activities as will ensure reliable, sustainable prosperity of the residents, wildlife, and eco-systems.

I wish to be heard.

Wendy Pond
Wyuna Bay R D 1
Coromandel 3581
Ph 866 8581

Make Submission

Consultee	Gordon Coates (60050)
Address	169 Buffalo Beach Road Whitianga 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Gordon Coates
Submission ID	LTP15_221
Response Date	9/04/15 8:36 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Yes, I agree that Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should move be to locally funded over the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? No, I do not agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? No, I do not agree with either of the fees.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? Yes, I agree that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads to be completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Other

Further comments.

- More efficiency
- Less bureaucracy
- Once democracy
- Now dictatorship!

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789465.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Patrick Maenulein (60051)
Email Address	patairua@xtra.co.nz
Address	44 Ocean Beach Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Patrick Maenulein
Submission ID	LTP15_222
Response Date	6/04/15 8:44 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? Yes, I agree that cemeteries should be funded locally.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? Please tell us why

[Statement pre-populated on submission form.]

I propose that the Tairua-Pauanui Community Board funds the Tairua Information Centre for the next three years.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

No, I do not agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

Yes, I agree with both of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000 per year.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads?

No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers?

Yes, I support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? Yes

Telephone

Telephone 0212178196

Email

Email patairua@xtra.co.nz

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789447.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Guy Robinson (60053)
Email Address	guy.robinson@windowslive.com
Address	80 Cook Drive Whitianga 3510
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Guy Robinson
Submission ID	LTP15_223
Response Date	7/04/15 8:50 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? Yes, I agree

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? No, I do not agree. Stormwater should remain a locally funded activity.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded?

No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years?

No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers?

Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties?

Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes?

Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less?

Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking?

I agree with the extended fees for Mercury Bay boat ramp and trailer parking but not the new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride.

Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

I strongly disagree with the proposal to investigate a 50m Sub-regional Aquatic Centre. There is no justification for either a 50m pool or a sub-regional pool in Thames. I would support investigating a common 25m pool complex design that could be built both in Whitianga and Thames. With certain additional features for one or both pools, such as a fast FINA standard pool, water polo and/or hydro slides.

The justification given in the LTP for investigating a 50m pool seems to primarily centre on the distance to a 50m pool for competitive swimmers in the region. Without spending any money, a bit of research and quite a few phone calls would tell you what I learnt when I investigated and talked to managers of large pool complexes and Pool engineers around New Zealand:

Even large cities struggle to justify the construction and operational expenses of a 50m pool.

A 25m pool + Hydro slide represent a better revenue stream than a 50m pool.

In a well-managed swimming pool complex competitive swimming represents less than 33% of the revenue.

A 50m pool is of limited attraction to the non-competitive swimmer. Particularly if it is designed to FINA standards, ie deep.

The cost of constructing and operating a fast 50m pool is considerably more than 2x the area of the pool.

The widely acknowledged figure of 5-7 swims/person/year struggles to cover the operating costs of an efficiently run 25m pool. This figure does not change for a 50m pool as the benefit is mainly to the limited number of competitive swimmers in a community. As a sub-regional swimming pool this figure would drop even further. Larger communities outside of Thames would have considerable travelling time to get to a sub-regional complex making it only attractive to the competitive swimmer.

A talented swimmer will develop and succeed regardless of the length of the pool. Yes, competitive swimming in a 50m pool is different but there are many other factors that affect performance that have nothing to do with the length of the swimming pool.

Much of the population within the district lives close to water. Therefore, being able to swim competently is a vitally important skill. Ready access to a local swimming pool is critical to that skill developing. With the permanent and transient population of Whitianga increasing all the time, and an above average median age of resident. There is every justification for Whitianga to be considered on equal footing with Thames in consideration of swimming pool facilities.

The Whitianga pool is in an even worse condition than the Thames Pool. It is not a legal FINA pool (too shallow) nor an all year, all weather pool facility. This severely restricts revenue opportunities and opening times. While access to coaches & competition is a factor, the principle reason for Whitianga swimmers travelling to Thames is because from April to November the Whitianga pool is too cold and consequently closed to the public.

Using the 5-7 swims/person/year and a conservative 6000 permanent persons in the greater Whitianga area we could expect at a minimum 36000 users of the pool/year. Over a 3 week period last year I counted the number of active users of ALL Whitianga Sports Grounds during the winter months (heaviest usage). Extrapolating this to an annual figure showed an all year swimming complex would have 1.5 times as many users as all other field sports in the Whitianga community.

Yet, the TCDC and MBCB have spent more than \$12 million on just the Moewai Park site. And is budgeting to spend \$1.72M more over the next 10 years on this site alone. \$4M+larid would build a new, all year, covered 2 pool complex for Whitianga. The lack of fair consideration of swimming pool facilities in Whitianga is criminal and long overdue for rebalancing.

Therefore I repeat, I strongly disagree with the proposal to investigate a 50m Sub-regional Aquatic Centre. There is no justification for either a 50m pool or a sub-regional pool in Thames. I would support investigating a common 25m pool complex design that could be built both in Whitianga and Thames.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789462.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Jill Abbott (60055)
Email Address	jill@realbaselive.com
Address	54 Ocean Beach Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Jill Abbott
Submission ID	LTP15_224
Response Date	9/04/15 8:56 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
 - . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.
- Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .*

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area?

No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel?

No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

Red Bridge Road Industrial area must be sorted out now. 30 years is too long to wait - economic development essential. Tairua can't wait.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789432.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Hannah Palmer (60054)
Email Address	whangamatayouthforum@gmail.com
Company / Organisation	Whangamata Youth Forum and Whangamata Youth Supporters Network
Address	C/o Helen McCabe, 500 Tairua Road Whangamata 3691
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Whangamata Youth Forum and Whangamata Youth Supporters Network (Hannah Palmer)
Submission ID	LTP15_225
Response Date	9/04/15 8:57 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.10
	. Representation

Further comments on the Representation activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Just under two-thirds of respondents [to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 and in the Whangamata area] felt that it was important for young people to be involved in decision making. Only four young people felt they did not need to be involved in decision making. Just over one-third of the sample didn't know whether they should be involved in making decisions or not.

[Refer to submission for an overview of key results from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 which collected 788 total district responses and 59 responses from youth in the Whangamata Community Board area relating to youth priorities.]

We feel the 2015-2025 Draft Ten Year Plan is completely focused around rates, roading, and rubbish and the community factor is not present. We challenge Council as to what level of commitment youth can expect from you? How and what will you do? What level of resource do you intend to commit? Are you honouring your youth strategy? Youth are in a transitional stage, they will grow up - their experiences as youth may well shape their decision to stay in the district. We would like to reinforce that community and people are everything and we insist that Council members consider what the priorities and issues are for youth when making decisions that will affect them. [Refer to submission for full detail].

Ensure there's more support for youth:

- 1 Respectful interactions with youth without discrimination.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Whangamata area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Ensuring consideration is given to young people, particularly Maori, who may be hard to reach, may be isolated, may be impacted by unpredictable environments, and/or may be disengaged from marae/iwi.

The Whangamata Youth Forum would strongly like to advocate for:

- 1 The Youth / Council Partnership programme to be supported by a Council representative from Whangamata.

. Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Ministry of Youth Development Partnership Fund administrator.

. Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More activities.
- 2 More youth entertainment.
- 3 More sports.
- 4 More leadership opportunities.

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Better community leaders.

The most cited things [from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] that youth would change in Whangamata to better support youth includes:

- 1 More youth-focused activities.
- 2 More opportunities.

For Whangamata to remain youth-friendly it is suggested that:

- 1 Community relationships remain supportive and positive.
- 2 Activities and options that youth enjoy remain available.
- 3 There continues to be opportunities for community to unite.

In order to improve Whangamata to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Motivating a desire in youth to participate in their communities.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Whangamata developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Respect young people and celebrate the valuable contribution they make to the community. More emphasis should be put into progressing the Youth Strategy.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Thames-Coromandel District Council Youth Strategy.
- 2 Supporting the 2015 Coromandel Peninsula Youth Awards Members in the Coromandel Peninsula Youth / Council Partnership.

. District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Whangamata developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Create a lush and healthy environment that young people are proud to call their own, and that focuses upon sustaining natural resources into the future.

. Community Health and Safety

Further comments on the Community Health and Safety activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Improve safety and security:

- 1 Expect better role modelling and behaviour by adults.
- 2 Keep troublesome youth occupied.
- 3 Eliminate discrimination and bullying.

In order to improve Whangamata to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Reducing incidences of crime, violence, and intimidation towards youth.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Whangamata area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Reducing the prevalence and impact of legal and illegal drug use.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Whangamata developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Create healthy public policies that challenge the factors identified by young people as making them feel unsafe and vulnerable, and ensure more action is taken to challenge unreasonable and unlawful behaviours.
- 2 Ensure young people have access to robust support and education around the aspects of youth health that are impacting their ability to prosper.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More community events.

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Better access to free WIFI hotspots and fast broadband.

Improve retail options.

- 1 More food outlets - KFC, McDonalds.
- 2 More youth focused shops available.
- 3 Build a mall or shopping centre.

The most cited things [from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] that youth would change in Whangamata to better support youth includes:

- 1 More new developments.

In order to improve Whangamata to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Targeting youth interests when developing new facilities, shops and activities.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Whangamata area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Utilising modern marketing systems and youth focused promotion when presenting youth targeted activities.
- 2 Involving youth in the planning and implementation of new developments.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Whangamata developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Increase opportunities for young people to get ahead in life, become more connected, independent and financially sound, and ensure their skills remain in our district.
- 2 Invest in new developments that improve young people's access to activities that excite them and enable them to foster skills in what they are passionate about, and that encourage people to come to the area.
- 3 Advocate that new retail opportunities consider youth as a target market when setting up in the area.

The Whangamata Youth Forum would strongly like to advocate for:

- 1 More WIFI hotspots around town.

Whangamata Community Spaces

Further comments on the Whangamata Community Spaces activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

The findings [of the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] have shown that although two youth opted not to respond to the [survey] question, the majority of the youth that participated feel that the Whangamata area is youth friendly, compared with a much smaller amount that feel the area is not youth friendly.

The three things young people feel most make Whangamata youth friendly are when:

- 1 people are nice, friendly, caring and helpful
- 2 there are a variety of activities available that youth enjoy

3 it feels safe.

The three things young people feel most make Whangamata non-youth friendly are when:

- 1 there is nothing to do and nowhere to hang out
- 2 it feels unsafe
- 3 there are no facilities for youth.

The biggest impacts on youth in the Whangamata area are (in order of responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013):

- 1 Vulnerability
- 2 Unengaged youth
- 3 Substance abuse
- 4 Lack of opportunities
- 5 Isolation.

The things most that impact youth in the Whangamata area are:

- 1 Lack of motivation due to boredom, sick of the same old stuff, and feeling there was nothing to do.
- 2 Alcohol use.
- 3 Bullying, intimidation, and peer pressure.

[Refer to full submission for detail]

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More places to hang out.

Invest in new developments:

- 1 An amusement park.
- 2 A new multi-purpose indoor facility.
- 3 A new community pool.
- 4 A new park.
- 5 Provide a space for a youth centre.
- 6 All facilities to be affordable for young people to use.

Upgrade current facilities:

- 1 The skate park - bigger and include lighting, water, more gear, and flatter concrete.
- 2 Improve the sports facilities.
- 3 Make the cinema bigger.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Whangamata developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Develop plans to maintain and improve established facilities that young people use on an ongoing basis.
- 2 Offer activities and events targeted towards areas that interest youth and create safe areas for young people to socialize.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Support to local youth centres / spaces.

The Whangamata Youth Forum would strongly like to advocate for:

- 1 A Youth Centre.

Further comments on the Roads and Footpaths activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Free public transport for youth.

. Solid Waste

Further comments on the Solid Waste activity.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 Remove rubbish and pollution from the community.
- 2 Clean up the beaches particularly swimming areas and reserves.

. Other

Further comments.

[Submitter addressed submission to the Whangamata Community Board]

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Community Development Officers youth focus.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3788694.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Darian Lunjevich-West (60056)
Email Address	louise.west@waikatodhb.health.nz
Company / Organisation	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network
Address	C/o Louise West Population Health Thames 3540
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Coromandel Peninsula Youth Collective and Coromandel Peninsula Youth Supporters Network (Darian Lunjevich-West)
Submission ID	LTP15_226
Response Date	9/04/15 9:00 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.5
	. Representation

Further comments on the Representation activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

The findings [of the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] highlighted that the majority of young people in the Tairua Pauanui Hikuaui area felt that it was important for young people to be involved in decision making. Not one young person believed that young people did not need to make decisions, however the next largest group of respondents acknowledged that they did not actually know whether they should be involved in making decisions or not.

[Refer to submission for an overview of key results from the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 which collected 788 total district responses and 41 responses from youth in the Tairua Pauanui Hikuaui area relating to youth priorities.]

We feel the 2015-2025 Draft Ten Year Plan is completely focused around rates, roading, and rubbish and the community factor is not present. We challenge Council as to what level of commitment youth can expect from you? How and what will you do? What level of resource do you intend to commit? Are you honouring your youth strategy? Youth are in a transitional stage, they will grow up - their experiences as youth may well shape their decision to stay in the district. We would like to reinforce that community and people are everything and we insist that Council members consider what the

priorities and issues are for youth when making decisions that will affect them. [Refer to submission for full detail].

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Give young people had a voice that is taken seriously.
- 2 Youth and adults have the same rights.

Ensure there's more support for youth:

- 1 No discrimination particularly around age and race.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Tairua Hikuai Pauanui area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Ensuring consideration is given to young people, particularly Maori, who may be hard to reach, may be isolated, may be impacted by unpredictable environments, and/or may be disengaged from marae/iwi.

Grants and Remissions

Further comments on the Grants and Remissions activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuai Community Board]

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Ministry of Youth Development Partnership Fund administrator.

Strategic Planning

Further comments on the Strategic Planning activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuai Community Board]

Create more opportunities:

- 1 More learning opportunities in areas such as languages and music.

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More free activities
- 2 More youth entertainment - social events and movie nights.
- 3 More adventure options.
- 4 More youth programs with help to run those programs.

For Tairua Hikuai Pauanui to remain youth-friendly it is suggested that:

- 1 Community relationships remain supportive and positive
- 2 Activities and options that youth enjoy remain available
- 3 There continues to be opportunities for community to unite.

In order to improve Tairua Hikuai Pauanui to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Motivating a desire in youth to participate in their communities

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Tairua Hikuai Pauanui developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Respect young people and celebrate the valuable contribution they make to the community. More emphasis should be put into progressing the Youth Strategy.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Thames-Coromandel District Council Youth Strategy.
- 2 Supporting the 2015 Coromandel Peninsula Youth Awards Members in the Coromandel Peninsula Youth / Council Partnership.

. District Plan

Further comments on the District Plan activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Tairua Hikuaui Pauanui developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Create a lush and healthy environment that young people are proud to call their own, and that focuses upon sustaining natural resources into the future.

. Community Health and Safety

Further comments on the Community Health and Safety activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 Ban 1080.

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 No marinas.

In order to improve Tairua Hikuaui Pauanui to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

- 1 Reducing incidences of crime, violence, and intimidation towards youth

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Tairua Hikuaui Pauanui area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

- 1 Reducing the prevalence and impact of legal and illegal drug use

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Tairua Hikuaui Pauanui developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Create healthy public policies that challenge the factors identified by young people as making them feel unsafe and vulnerable, and ensure more action is taken to challenge unreasonable and unlawful behaviours.
- 2 Ensure young people have access to robust support and education around the aspects of youth health that are impacting their ability to prosper.

. Economic Development

Further comments on the Economic Development activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

Invest in new developments:

- 1 Grow the community.
- 2 Encourage innovative new business ventures such as industrial hemp farms, and wind turbines.

Improve retail options:

- 1 More youth focused clothing shops available.
- 2 More food outlets - KFC, Pak n Save, healthier options.

Create more opportunities:

1 Better access to free WIFI hotspots and fast broadband.

Offer more youth focused activities:

1 More community events.

Ensure there's more support for youth:

1 More whole community fundraising events and activities.

The most cited things [from responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 in the Tairua Hikuai Paunui area] that youth would change to make the Tairua Paunui Hikuai area better for youth includes:

1 More youth focused clothing shops.

In order to improve Tairua Hikuai Paunui to make it more youth friendly it is recommended that efforts are put into:

1 Targeting youth interests when developing new facilities, shops and activities.

When putting projects in place to address issues impacting youth in the Tairua Hikuai Paunui area it is suggested that consideration be made towards:

1 Utilising modern marketing systems and youth focused promotion when presenting youth targeted activities

2 Involving youth in the planning and implementation of new developments

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Tairua Hikuai Paunui developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

1 Increase opportunities for young people to get ahead in life, become more connected, independent and financially sound, and ensure their skills remain in our district.

2 Invest in new developments that improve young people's access to activities that excite them and enable them to foster skills in what they are passionate about, and that encourage people to come to the area.

3 Advocate that new retail opportunities consider youth as a target market when setting up in the area.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

1 Support to local youth centres / spaces.

Tairua-Pauanui Community Space

Further comments on the Tairua-Pauanui Community Space activity.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuai Community Board]

The findings [of the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013] have shown that, of those that opted to answer the question, there was basically a straight split between the 19 young people that feel that Tairua / Paunui / Hikuai is youth friendly and the 18 young people that do not feel that way.

The three things young people feel most make the Tairua Paunui Hikuai area youth friendly are when:

1 there are a variety of activities / options that youth enjoy

2 people are nice, friendly, caring and helpful

3 there is access to great outdoor facilities.

The two things young people feel most make the Tairua Paunui Hikuai area non-youth friendly are when:

1 there is nothing to do and sick of the same old things

2 there are not many youth around.

The biggest impacts on youth in the Tairua Pauanui Hikuai area are (in order of responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013):

- 1 Unengaged youth
- 2 Isolation
- 3 Vulnerability
- 4 Lack of opportunities
- 5 Substance abuse.

[Refer to full submission for more detail.]

Invest in new developments:

- 1 A skate park in Tairua.
- 2 Build more outdoor facilities such as a rugby field in Pauanui.
- 3 New playgrounds, parks, outdoor entertainment areas, and walkways.

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More places to hang out.
- 2 More youth facilities.

Offer more youth focused activities:

- 1 More places to hang out.
- 2 More youth facilities.

The most cited things [from responses to the Coromandel Youth Survey 2013 in the Tairua Hikuai Pauanui area] that youth would change to make the Tairua Pauanui Hikuai area better for youth include:

- 1 Build a skate park in Tairua .
- 2 Upgrade the Pauanui skate park to include lighting, water, and seating.

Upgrade current facilities:

- 1 Pauanui skate parks with suggestions of installing lights, water, and seating.
- 2 Improve campgrounds.
- 3 Improve the BMX tracks.

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 No marinas.

When striving to include youth priorities in planning for future Tairua Hikuai Pauanui developments it is recommended that consideration be made towards developing the following areas:

- 1 Develop plans to maintain and improve established facilities that young people use on an ongoing basis.
- 2 Offer activities and events targeted towards areas that interest youth and create safe areas for young people to socialize.

We sit in support of all of the young people and adults that have campaigned to the Tairua Hikuai Pauanui Community Board year after year for a skate park in Tairua. Here, yet again they have indicated through the survey results, that this is still one of, if not the biggest priority for youth in the area. Skate parks appear in all of the survey results for Thames-Coromandel communities indicating that they are important for a large number of youth.

Skate parks are great for skill development, physical activity, and coordination for all young people involved but particularly young males. They can create an opportunity for controlled risk taking and can also be a safe place to socialise. Another priority identified in Tairua Hikuai Pauanui was giving young people a voice and taking it seriously. Youth in this area have been knocked back time and time again with regards to their request for a skate park. This has resulted in them feeling unvalued by their community, particularly the community board, and especially when the people whose views are supported are not usual residents. Youth have every right to advocate for what is important to them just as anyone else in the community can. They may not be rate payers but many of their parents are and given opportunity to make change, they may even continue to reside in the area and raise their families. We ask the Tairua Hikuai Pauanui Community Board to reconsider the young people's request for a skate park in Tairua.

. Rates/Debt

Further comments on Rates/Debt.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

Create more opportunities:

- 1 Reduce costs such as tax, power, and water rates.

. Other

Further comments.

[The submitter addressed submission to the Tairua-Pauanui-Hikuaui Community Board]

Provide a healthier environment:

- 1 Limit commercial fishing.

We would like to acknowledge some of the great things the Council is doing and we would like to see Council continue to support these and other initiatives including:

- 1 Community Development Officers youth focus.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3788694.pdf>

Make Submission

Consultee	Ron Abbott (60058)
Email Address	ron.abbott@gmail.com
Address	54 Ocean Beach Road Tairua 3508
Event Name	2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document
Submission by	Ron Abbott
Submission ID	LTP15_227
Response Date	9/04/15 9:03 AM
Consultation Point	Submit on the draft 2015-2025 Long Term Plan Consultation Document (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

We are proposing to move the funding of \$46.6M of debt on the Eastern Seaboard plants to be paid by rates rather than development. This is because some areas are growing slowly which means this debt would not be repaid until after the plant has been retired and latest capacity information shows much of the capacity of the plants is being used today.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 15 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move the funding of debt on the Eastern Seaboard wastewater plants from development to rates? No, I do not agree.

Stormwater is currently an activity which is paid for by ratepayers within each community board area. We are proposing that this should be funded at a district level as one of our essential services.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 19 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move stormwater from being locally funded to district-wide funding? Yes, I agree that stormwater should be funded district-wide.

Public toilets are currently paid for by a district wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as they are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move public toilets from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Public toilets should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

Cemeteries are currently paid for by a district-wide rate. We are proposing that these facilities should be paid for by ratepayers within each of the local community board areas as these are a local service.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 20 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal that we move cemeteries from being funded district-wide to being locally funded? No, I do not agree. Cemeteries should remain funded through a district-wide rate.

All information centres are currently funded through a district-wide rate. We are proposing over the next three years that the funding for the Tairua, Pauanui, Whangamata and Coromandel information centres be funded locally by ratepayers in those community board areas and that the Thames and Whitianga information centers remain funded at a district-wide level as they are key visitor information locations for the whole of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 21 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to move Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres to local funding over the next three years? No, I do not agree. Tairua, Pauanui Whangamata and Coromandel information centres should remain district funded.

We are proposing a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers (e.g. Bed and Breakfast operators, Book a Bach owners) as a contribution towards economic development expenditure.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to charge a new annual fixed rate of \$200 for all short term accommodation providers? Yes, I agree that short term accommodation providers should be charged an annual fixed rate.

We are proposing that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire be reclassified as commercial properties.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 23 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to reclassify Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire as commercial properties? Yes, I agree that Bed and Breakfast operators with four or more rooms for hire should be reclassified as commercial properties.

We are proposing a rates remission (refund) for residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rate remission to residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes? Yes, I agree that residents in a retirement village who don't qualify for the central government rates rebate because of how they own their homes should be given a rates remission.

We are proposing that all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less (i.e. "Granny Flats") will receive a rates remission (refund) of 50% of their fixed rates charges (e.g. UAGC, water charges).

Information relating to this question can be found on page 24 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with our proposal to give a rates remission to all second dwellings of 50 square metres or less? Yes, I agree with giving a rates remission to second dwellings of 50 square metres or less.

We are proposing a new fee for the Hahei Park and Ride, and to extend fees for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking areas to all upgraded boat ramp areas.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 25 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking? Yes, I agree with the fees for the Hahei Park and Ride and for Mercury Bay boat ramps and trailer parking.

We are proposing that the Thames Community Board make additional investment in economic development to be funded from the targeted local rate in the Thames Community Board Area at a total cost of \$140,000. The proposal, which is for the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan includes:

- . A new position to promote Thames at a cost of \$90,000 a year
- . An additional \$50,000 per year to facilitate economic development in the area.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed additional investment in economic development in the Thames Community Board Area? No, I do not agree with either of the additional measures taken to support economic development in the Thames Community Board Area.

We are proposing that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads so that the programme is completed in years 1 and 2 of the Long Term Plan.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 26 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposal that the Whangamata Community Board accelerates their current gradual programme of footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads? No, I do not agree. The Whangamata Community Board should maintain their long term gradual footpath construction and kerbing and channel to key roads.

We are proposing a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway. This would be a project funded by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers and would support economic development.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you agree with the proposed construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway, to be funded locally by Whangamata Community Board ratepayers? No, I do not support the construction of a new Wentworth Valley walkway and cycleway.

We are proposing a series of memorial native forests around the Coromandel Peninsula in order to commemorate the NZ soldiers who died in World War 1.

Information relating to this question can be found on page 27 of the Consultation Document .

Do you support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel? No, I do not support a series of memorial native forests here in the Coromandel.

Hearing

Hearings will be scheduled for late April.

Would you like to speak at a hearing in support of your submission? No

Please select the option that best describes you. I live in the Thames-Coromandel District

If Council received this submission via email or hard copy, you can copy and paste the link/s below into the address bar of your web browser to view the original submission.

<http://docs.tcdc.govt.nz/store/default/3789426.pdf>