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1 Meeting Conduct

In accordance with the Local Authorities (Members’ Interests) Act 1968, members are reminded to declare an interest in items in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest. In such circumstances, members are required to abstain from discussion and voting and ensure that the declaration is recorded in the Minutes of the meeting.

1.1 Apologies

The Chairperson invites notice from members of:

1. Leave of absence for future meetings of the Coromandel-Colville Community Board; or
2. Apologies, including apologies for lateness and early departure from the meeting, where leave of absence has not previously been granted.

1.2 Public Forum

A period of up to 30 minutes is set aside for the public to raise matters falling within the terms of reference of the meeting. Each speaker may speak for three minutes but time extensions may be allowed on a vote of not less than 75% of members present at the meeting. Questions from members for information or clarification may be permitted by the Chairperson (Standing Orders Appendix F).

1.3 Items not on the Agenda

The Chairperson will give notice of items not on the agenda as follows:

Matters Requiring Urgent Attention as Determined by Resolution of the Coromandel-Colville Community Board
1. The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
2. The reason why discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

Minor Matters relating to the General Business of Coromandel-Colville Community Board
No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer it to a subsequent meeting of the Coromandel-Colville Community Board for further discussion.

1.4 Conflict of Interest

The Chairperson invites notice from members of:

1. Any interests that may create a conflict with their role as an elected member relating to the agenda item(s) for the meeting; and
2. Any interests in items in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest as provided for in the Local Authorities (Members’ Interests) Act 1968.
1.5 13 February 2012 - Coromandel-Colville Community Board Minutes for Confirmation

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board
FROM Margaret Harrison - Area Coordinator Coromandel
DATE 6 March 2012
SUBJECT 13 February 2012 - Coromandel-Colville Community Board Minutes for Confirmation

1. **Purpose of Report**
   As per Council's Standing Orders, the Coromandel-Colville Community Board must confirm the Minutes of its previous meeting.

2. **Suggested Resolution(s)**
   1. Confirms the following Minutes:
      Coromandel-Colville Community Board - 13 February 2012

**References-Tabled/Agsenda Attachments**
**Attachment A** Unconfirmed Coromandel-Colville Community Board Minutes - 13 February 2012
UNCONFIRMED Minutes
of the
Coromandel-Colville Community Board

Ordinary Meeting

Date 13 February 2012
Venue Coromandel Service Centre
355 Kapanga Road
Coromandel

Present
J Walker (Chairperson)
K Brett
T Erlebach
K Stephenson

In Attendance
Name
D Hammond - Chief Executive
S Napia - Group Manager Environmental Services
J Rich - Community Services Manager
L McCormick - Area Manager
M Harrison - Area Coordinator

Item(s)
All
All
3.1, 3.3
All
All

Apologies
A Ward JP

Meeting Commenced 9.00am

Adjournments and Absences
Adjournment Chairperson
Start Finish Reason
10.30am 10.50am Morning Tea
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1 Meeting Conduct

1.1 Apologies

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives an apology from member Ward.

Moved/Seconded By: Brjjevich/Brett

1.2 Public Forum

The following members of public were received:

1.2.1 Jim Maclean attended the meeting to request that the Community Board consider putting the Wharf/Long Bay Road footpath back on the local footpath programme.

1.2.2 Jim Edmondson, property owner on The 309 Road, Coromandel attended the meeting to advise the Community Board that he was not satisfied with the process used by Waikato Regional Council to undertake the trap testing over the 400 properties identified.

In his opinion the data gathered was inaccurate and funding spent on trap testing on properties that did not require it was a waste of ratepayers money. Mr Edmondson also expressed concern about the contractor used by WRC to undertake the testing.

1.2.3 John Veysey, attended the meeting to table information and a map pertaining to the Peninsula Project possum control, phase 3.

1.2.4 Loes Beaver, Coromandel I-Site attended the meeting to request that the Community Board consider the 'big-picture' when reviewing the public toilets for the Coromandel Town area.

1.2.5 Loes Beaver, Coromandel Business Association attended the meeting for the following matters:

- Expessed concern that they were not involved in the recent meeting held with the Te Kouma Residents regarding the Wharfing Infrastructure Options Report and further request that a meeting be held with the Business Association regarding this matter
- Hannafords Jetty - this facility is a disgrace

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the speakers in public forum.
1.3 Items not on the Agenda

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Accept the following matter(s) requiring urgent attention be added to the agenda.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Retirement of 335 Albert Street, Coromandel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason Not on the Agenda
Information unavailable prior to closing of agenda

Reason Cannot be Delayed
Funding timeframes

Moved/Seconded By: Birtlevich/Walker

1.4 Conflict of Interest

No conflicts of interest were recorded.

1.5 29 November 2011 - Coromandel-Colville Community Board Minutes for Confirmation

Resolved
1. Confirms the following Minutes:
Coromandel-Colville Community Board - 29 November 2011

Moved/Seconded By: Birtlevich/Stephenson

2 Governance

3 Local Activities: Policy/Levels of Service Operational

3.1 Draft Public Convenience Strategy: Guidance for Provision, Rationalisation, and Feasibility

John Rich, Community Services Manager joined the meeting to present the Draft Public Convenience Strategy and seek feedback from the Community Board.
Ordinary Meeting
Coromandel-Colville Community Board  Order Paper  26 March 2012

Ordinary Meeting
Coromandel-Colville Community Board  Minutes  13 February 2012

The following matters were discussed:

- Long Bay Reserve
  - Investigating the benefits of combining services with the Long Bay Campground
    facilities
  - Removing the public toilet where it is currently sited would allow the opportunity
    to widen the carriageway to the entrance of the Campground which will improve
    access, particularly for emergency service vehicles

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:
1. Receives the report
2. Supports the Draft Public Convenience Strategy
3. Review the public toilets to be closed or change to limited opening hours for the
   Coromandel-Colville ward.

Moved/Seconded By: Brijovich/Stephenson

3.2 Consultation on Property Strategy to Community Board

The Draft Property Strategy was presented for Community Board comment.

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:
1. Receives the report.
2. Request that the Area Manager Whangamata/Tairua-Pauanui be requested to
   provide a working version of the Draft Property Strategy.
3. Request that the Area Manager Whangamata/Tairua-Pauanui provide the Board
   with a schedule of possible land for disposal in the Coromandel-Colville ward.
4. That a workshop be scheduled for the Board to consider the possible land for
   disposal.

Moved/Seconded By: Brijovich/Stephenson

3.3 Harbour Fees and Charges - Proposed New Structure for the 2012 Ten Year Plan

John Rich, Community Services Manager joined the meeting to request the Community
Board consider a new Harbour fees and charges structure for inclusion in the Draft 2012
Ten Year Plan.

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:
1. Receives the report.
2. Considers with the charter boat operators with regard to proposed fees and charges.

Moved/Seconded By: Brijovich/Brett

UNCONFIRMED

5
4 District Activities: Local Input Policy/Levels of Service

No items received.

5 District Activities

5.1 Retirement of 335 Albert Street, Coromandel (late Item)

The Community Board was asked to determine if it wished to contribute to a settlement of 335 Albert Street, Coromandel being the final property in the Ward located in the flood zone.

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.
2. Agrees to fund its portion of a negotiated settlement from its land subdivision reserves account for 335 Albert Street Coromandel on the understanding that the section will be retired and vested as a Reserve under the Reserves Act 1975.
3. Requests that the Waikato Regional Council fund its respective portion.
4. Provide delegated authority to members Walker and Brjevich to be part of a negotiated settlement on behalf of the Community Board.

Moved/Seconded By: Brett/Stephenson

6 Reports

6.1 2011/2012 Coromandel-Colville Community Board Work Programme - February 2012 Update

Resolved
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.
2. Request that Wharf/Long Bay Road be included in the Footpath programme.

Moved/Seconded By: Brjevich/Brett
2 Governance

No reports received.

3 Local Activities: Policy/Levels of Service Operational

3.1 Wyuna Bay Reserves - Access and Maintenance of Track

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board
FROM Claire Foster - Property Officer
DATE 14 March 2012
SUBJECT Wyuna Bay Reserves - Access and Maintenance of Track

1 Purpose of Report
The purpose of the report is to seek a recommendation from the Coromandel-Colville Community Board in regards to the physical formation of the track, future access over Wyuna Bay reserve by the both the residents and general public and the ongoing maintenance of the track once completed in reference to the easements for the affected residents.

2 Background
There are four residents over six lots that currently access their properties by vehicle over Council owned land that is local purpose (esplanade) reserve. Two of the dwellings date back to the 1960’s and have garages that face the reserve.

Through the reserve management plan process, submissions were received from all the residents affected requesting that Council grant a vehicle easement in their favour over this reserve. This was included in the Reserve Management Plan for Coromandel Colville Document 2, page 32 adopted by Council in September 2007.

Community Board
The Coromandel-Colville Community Board completed the review of the Reserve Management Plans for the area identified. Support has been given for the proposal that was subject to a public consultation period

At the meetings held 1 September 2010 and 29 September 2010 the Service Delivery Committee and Thames-Coromandel District Council resolved:
1. Receives the report.
2. Determines that it believes it has complied with the decision-making provisions of the Local Government Act 2002 to the extent necessary in relation to this decision; and in accordance with the provisions of Section 79 of the Act determines that it does not require further information prior to making a decision on this matter.
3. Pursuant to Section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977 resolves to classify the reserves Lots 18 & 38 DPS 4562 approx 2.0474ha for recreation purposes, and pursuant to Sec 48(3) of the Reserves Act 1977 is exempt from public notification.
4. Pursuant to Section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977 resolves to classify the reserve Lot 39 DPS 4562 approx 4907m2 as local purpose (esplanade), and pursuant to Sec 48(3) of the Reserves Act 1977 is exempt from public notification.
5. Pursuant to Section 48 of the Reserves Act 1977 approves a right of way access over Lot 39, DPS 4562 in favour of Lots 19 to 24, DPS 4562 subject to:
   a) The residents benefiting from the right of way meeting the future costs of survey, forming of the easement access and Council's legal and administrative costs.
   b) Council approving the classification of the reserves.
   c) Relocation of the line of easement to be as close as practical to the property boundaries.
   d) The easement to be formed in gobi blocks or similar at the applicant's cost.
   e) Removal of the encroachment on the reserve.
6. Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to complete the legal process.

Moved/Seconded By: Catran/Hewlett

Classification of the reserves has since been completed with appropriate gazette notices registered and new titles called for in Council's name.

3 Issue

Currently the residents are still working through the actual formation of the track that will form the easement. There have been many discussions and meetings with Council staff working through what the appropriate standard is to be.

The question of access by vehicles other than the residents and the future ongoing maintenance of the formed track have also been raised and needs to be addressed.

4 Discussion

Physical Formation of Track

The original plan submitted to the Development Engineer did not identify stormwater as an issue. Then a second plan was submitted for comment that proposed 4 x 100mm pipe across the reserve. This would require more digging up and may adversely affect the reserve. Council's code of practice does not support this. However, 2 x 225mm pipes would be supported.
There was also discussion around soak holes and Council would not support soak holes on the reserve but should the residents choose this option to be located on their own properties Council would have no objection.

The residents requested that the track be at the minimum width of 2.7m. Apart from the passing/turning area outside lot 20, there is no reason for any of the track to be wider.

The Community Services Manager was consulted in regards to the stormwater pipes going across reserve. He did not foresee any issues with this as long as the stormwater was included in the easement. An encumbrance can be registered on to the resident titles outlining ownership and maintenance of these stormwater pipes. The Community Services Manager view is this would lie solely with the affected residents.

**Access over reserve**

The easement will give the affected residents legal vehicular access over the reserve as there is no practical access from the legal road. The issue of access by other vehicles has now been raised. Council staff believes to protect the reserve from further erosion and damage a form of restriction needs to be put in place. There have been many instances of campervans and boat trailers being parked on the reserve, which are not permitted activities on esplanade reserve. However, this is for the Community Board to make a decision on. Restricting vehicular access will not affect the general public accessing the reserve at any time by foot.

**Maintenance**

A request has been made that Council contribute to the annual maintenance of the track once completed. This is not a view shared by staff or the previous Community Board. The easement is for the benefit of the three affected residents only. Restricting other vehicular use will reduce the maintenance costs.

**Budget Implications**

To date Council has spent $2,700 in having a surveyor prepare a topographical survey plan. At this stage staff time has not been charged, it is a project that should have been completed some time ago.

Should the Community Board consider contributing funds to the formation and legalisation of the easement a recommendation will need to go the Council to transfer funds from the local reserves/retained earnings budget for approval. The Board will need to consider the area of benefit in regards to this easement and whether the local ratepayer should contribute to the costs.

**5 Suggested Resolution(s)**

That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.
2. Confirms to continue with the ROW easements process as per the Service Delivery Committee resolution dated 1 September 2010 and Council’s resolution dated 29 September 2010; or
3. Recommends altering the Service Delivery and Council resolutions, detailing the changes, confirming the funding source and budget implications.
## 3.2 Rural Broadband Initiative

**TO**  
Coromandel-Colville Community Board

**FROM**  
Margaret Harrison - Area Coordinator Coromandel

**DATE**  
15 March 2012

**SUBJECT**  
Rural Broadband Initiative

### 1 Purpose of Report

To receive a presentation from representatives of Vodafone regarding the Rural Broadband Initiative within the Thames-Coromandel District.

### 2 Suggested Resolution(s)

That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.

### References-Tabled/Agenda Attachments

**Attachment A**  
Rural Broadband Initiative Information
Rural Broadband Initiative

The Government’s Rural Broadband Initiative (RBI) has commenced to bring significantly better broadband services to rural areas, which up until now has lacked investment. Over half a billion dollars will be invested into rural New Zealand to help break the digital divide.

The aim of the RBI is to help rural communities, schools, and health services as well as rural businesses. With food and agri-business products contributing to two-thirds of New Zealand’s export earnings, the benefits of improving rural broadband are expected to be significant.

The Ministry of Economic Development, Chorus and Vodafone are working together to deliver the RBI infrastructure. Under the Rural Broadband Initiative Vodafone will deliver a fixed wireless broadband solution to provide coverage to 80% of rural households over the next five years. This will require Vodafone to secure appropriate locations to build mobile towers and equip them with technology to meet the Government rural broadband targets. Vodafone would like to discuss the plans for the Thames and Coromandel districts.

Open access co-location requirements

A key principle underlying RBI is that any network infrastructure funded through this government initiative is to be “open access” which will allow for services to be offered to rural NZ from a range of retail providers.

Open access requirements mean the 154 new telecommunication towers need to meet government specifications. The government specifications include (but are not limited to):

a. towers to be a minimum of 25 metres in height and

b. designed with sufficient space and structural strength to accommodate equipment for up to 6 operators.

This provides potential for multiple operators’ to access government funded RBI infrastructure on a non-discriminatory basis through co-location. Co-location enables Mobile, WiFi or Wind network operators to put their equipment on the 154 RBI funded towers. The pricing for each operator is on a shared cost basis and is substantially lower than the regulated mobile co-location service for private assets and this is to encourage industry to provide competitive services to the rural market.

The open access principles and co-location of equipment on the RBI funded towers will reduce the number of masts that might otherwise be built by various operators. This will minimise the proliferation of masts in a given area, while providing rural communities with access to vastly improved and highly competitive broadband and mobile services into the future.
Rural Broadband within Thames Coromandel District

6 New Cell sites are planned for the Thames Coromandel District, these are highlighted below:

- Kaueranga Valley
- Te Puru
- Tapu
- Preece Pt
- Matangi Central
- Cooks Beach

Vodafone are currently investigating suitable tower sites at all the above locations and at this stage we have identified specific site locations at Kaueranga Valley and Preece Point. Our investigations at the other locations continue and the Council will be briefed on specific site locations when they have been identified.

Kaueranga Valley

The site has been designed to provide RBI service to the residences within the Kaueranga Valley; it will also provide general Vodafone mobile coverage to a number of households along the Kopu-Hikuai Road. The site will also improve mobile coverage to the DoC Camps located within the Kaueranga Valley. Site location shown below.
Preece Pt

The site has been designed to "off load" Vodafone’s existing site located at Tokatea, Coromandel, this ensures that the RBI Service requirements are able to be met in the area. There will also be an improvement to the RBI general Vodafone mobile coverage to the residences within the foothills to the east, south and it will enhance the marine coverage in the area. Site location shown below:
3.3 Draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board
FROM Lorna Price - Area Coordinator Tairua-Pauanui
DATE 9 March 2012
SUBJECT Draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan

1 Purpose of Report
The purpose of this report is to inform the Coromandel-Colville Community Board of the opportunity to make a submission to the Thames-Coromandel District Council’s draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan. A copy of the plan has been distributed to Community Board members under separate cover.

2 Background
The Local Government Act 2002 requires the Council to, at all times, have a Ten Year Plan.

The Ten Year Plan provides a long-term focus for the Council by providing a ten-year strategic framework within which the Council operates. The Ten Year Plan
- Provides the detail on the services Council proposes to undertake, how performance will be measured and the financial information outlining how this work will be paid for.

The draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan and summary documents were presented to the Council for endorsement as the basis for a public consultation process at their meeting on 29 February 2012.

Resolved
That the Thames-Coromandel District Council:

1. Receives the report.
2. Endorses the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan for release as the basis for a public consultation process in accordance with sections 83 and 84 of the Local Government Act 2002.
3. Endorses the Summary document for release as the basis for a public consultation process in accordance with sections 83 and 84 of the Local Government Act 2002.
4. Approves that the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan and Summary document be publicly notified for consultation.
5. Approves that the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan submission period closes 4:00pm Monday 2 April 2012.
6. Notes that the Development Contributions Policy is being consulted on in parallel but separate to the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan.

Moved/Seconded By: Fox/Hoadley
3 Issue

The Council is required to comply with the Local Government Act 2002 in respect to the community consultation process for the preparation and adoption of the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan. The draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan is required to follow the Special Consultative Procedure as described in sections 83 and 84 of the Local Government Act 2002.

In addition to the LGA 02 requirements, the Council has held a number of open days around the District for communities and Community Boards to be involved in the Special Consultative Procedure.

4 Discussion

The Role of Community Boards

In the context of the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan, Section 52 of the LGA 02 states that the role of the Community Board is to:

- represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of the community; and
- prepare an annual submission to the territorial authority for expenditure within the community.

The Council has also delegated certain responsibilities to Community Boards. In summary these are:

“The Community Board shall have the power to facilitate consultation with local residents and community groups on local issues and local aspects of district issues including input to the Long-term Council Community Plan (including key policies); Annual Plan and Asset Management Plans. The Community Board is one (and not the only) vehicle that Council may use for consulting with the local community on District and other issues.”

Specific to the draft Ten Year Plan, the Community Board has delegated responsibility for:

1. Developing a submission to the Ten Year Plan and Annual Plan processes for the delivery of all activities.
2. Commenting and making recommendations to Council on relative priorities for the delivery of all activities within their Community Board area.

Other activities the Community Board has responsibility for includes:

“The Community Board shall also have delegated authority to:

- Develop and make submissions to Council on:
  - The draft Ten Year Plan and Annual Plan commenting specifically on issues that are of interest to the community represented by the Community Board;
  - Strategic planning issues with recommendations on where and how provision should be made for unique community needs.”

The draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan submission process is a key opportunity for the Coromandel-Colville Community Board to advocate for the interests of its community. Hence, the Board should consider whether it wishes to make a submission to the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan and, if it chooses to do so, ensures this is completed by 2 April 2012.

Key dates in the draft Ten Year Plan process are as follows:

- Public consultation opened on 5 March 2012.
- Submissions close 2 April 2012.
- Hearing of submissions 7-11 May 2012.
- Deliberations 14-18 May 2012.
Final Ten Year Plan due to be adopted by Council 27 June 2012.

5 Suggested Resolution(s)
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.
2. Considers whether to make a submission to the Thames-Coromandel District Council’s draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan.

References-Tabled/Agenda Attachments
All Community Board members will have received a copy of the draft 2012-2022 Ten Year Plan.
3.4 Coromandel Hall Project upgrade: Update

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board

FROM Lesley McCormick - Area Manager, Mercury Bay & Coromandel-Colville

DATE 9 March 2012

SUBJECT Coromandel Hall upgrade: Project update

1 Purpose of Report
To receive an update on the progress made to date and determine the pathway forward to prepare a submission to the 2012/22 Ten Year Plan and future consultation requirements.

2 Background
The Community Board was successful carrying over the funding in the 2011/12 Annual Plan for the project to begin. A sum of $91,250 was approved
The Board commenced the project in 2003 when they completed two major reports.
   1. A conservation Plan report 2005

The funds now allocated in the 2011/12 Annual Plan were identified for the completion of stage one of the project being the replacement of the south wall.

It has been recognised that future on going improvements to the hall building would be undertaken in partnership with the community through the formation of a group to progress this. However due to slow progress on the project to date the community group has also not been able to progress.

3 Issue
The Board needs to be up to date with the progress made on building consents to date so it can determine the pathway forward from here to enable them to submit to the 2012/22 Ten Year Plan.

There are no funds allocated over the next ten years for a council contribution to the upgrading of the hall.

Councils obligation to provide for the Returned Services Association (RSA) is a consideration and their requirements are fundamental to the future of the facility.

4 Discussion
In order to assess the long term options for the hall a comprehensive review of community facilities available or planned for Coromandel is required.

There is a group in the community who are committed to progress the upgrade of the hall building. The RSA advise they wish to work with Council to upgrade their premises.
The building needs remedial work but as the assessment report identifies considerable work is required to address many years of neglect and lack of maintenance. There is a stormwater/drainage issue which are a result of development around the facility.

The building consents applied for in 2009 was completed but was never uplifted. As a consequence the application had to be resubmitted, however in the interim the building code has changed and the building methodology requirements have changed. The original building application has had to be amended to meet the new code.

This work has taken time with assistance from the original structure engineer and now this amended plan has now been lodged with Council.

A comprehensive review of the cost of the upgrade will now be undertaken and will be presented to the Board at the meeting for their consideration.

The Board have a Hall committee as an avenue to determine the future options for the hall. Due to the slow progress and lack of clear information no meetings have been held since the public meeting held since March 2011.

This group is committed to upgrading the hall overtime, however there are some in the community who have questioned the long term investment in the facility.

5 Suggested Resolution(s)
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the report.
2. Receives the updated costs on the project.
3. Determines their submission to the 2012/22 Ten Year Plan submission.
4. Determines the future community input/consultation to be undertaken on the project.
4 District Activities: Local Input Policy/Levels of Service

No items received.

5 District Activities

No items received.

6 Reports

6.1 2011/2012 Coromandel-Colville Community Board Work Programme - March 2012 Update

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board
FROM Margaret Harrison - Area Coordinator Coromandel
DATE 6 March 2012
SUBJECT 2011/2012 Coromandel-Colville Community Board Work Programme - March 2012 Update

1. Purpose of Report
The Community Board's Work Programme forms the priorities for the Community Board in the coming year. The Programme has been primarily developed by staff based on known priorities and is presented to the Community Board for their information and comment.

2. Background
The 2011/2012 Coromandel-Colville Community Board Work Programme Update (Attachment A) is intended to provide an overview of the Board's priorities for the 2011/2012 year as summarised in the Policy and Planning Group Business Plan.

3. Suggested Resolution(s)
1. Receives the report.

References-Tabled/Agenda Attachments
Attachment A 2011/2012 Coromandel-Colville Community Board Work Programme - March 2012 Update
COROMANDEL-COLVILLE COMMUNITY BOARD ISSUES

Community Leadership

Local Advocacy

To ensure that the Council appreciates and understands local views, the Area Manager Coromandel-Colville is responsible for this activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity &amp; Actions</th>
<th>Progress to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coromandel-Colville Community Board</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community Board meetings will be held six weekly.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coordinate and promote meetings in local area</td>
<td>2012 ordinary meeting dates confirmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coromandel and Outlying Communities Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monitor community plan through ensuring reports/submissions include reference to community aspirations</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 March 2012 - Coromandel-Colville Community Board Action Schedule

TO Coromandel-Colville Community Board
FROM Margaret Harrison - Area Coordinator Coromandel
DATE 6 March 2012
SUBJECT March 2012 - Coromandel-Colville Community Board Action Schedule

1. Purpose of Report
To provide an update on the Coromandel-Colville Community Board Action Schedule.

2. Suggested Resolution(s)
1. Receives the report.

References-Tabled/Agenda Attachments
Attachment A Coromandel-Colville Community Board Action Schedule - March 2012
## Coromandel-Colville Community Board - Action Schedule

**February 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Description of Project</th>
<th>Manager Responsible</th>
<th>Action Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/02/11</td>
<td>Plan Change 13 - Proposed Service Industrial Zone</td>
<td>Senior Policy Planner</td>
<td>Awaiting outcome of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/04/11</td>
<td>Fureys Creek Jetties</td>
<td>Area Manager</td>
<td>Two meetings held with jetty owners. A meeting has been scheduled with WRC staff to progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/04/11</td>
<td>335 Albert Street Coromandel</td>
<td>Chief Executive</td>
<td>Cr. Blaževich to recit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/07/11</td>
<td>Camaru Bay - Drainage Issues</td>
<td>Road Engineer</td>
<td>Awaiting update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/09/11</td>
<td>Woods Road South - Public Walking Access</td>
<td>Community Services Manager</td>
<td>This item to be considered at the Service Delivery Committee 3 November 2011 and Council on 16 November 2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/11/11</td>
<td>PowerCo Donation to Community Project</td>
<td>Area Manager</td>
<td>PowerCo advise that $3,000 will be provided for a project in Coromandel - awaiting public voting process for the two nominated projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1.1 Members' Reports

The Chairperson invites members to provide a brief verbal report on activities undertaken and meetings attended in the period since the last meeting.

Written reports and Minutes of meetings attended are presented below.

Recommendation
That the Coromandel-Colville Community Board:

1. Receives the Members’ Reports.